SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1 2 FOR THE COUNTY OF MONTEREY 3 CERTIFIED CALIFORNIA AMERICAN WATER, 4 TRANSCRIPT 5 Plaintiff, 6 VS. Case No. M66343 7 CITY OF SEASIDE, et al., Defendants. 8 9 10 MONTEREY PENINSULA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT, 11 Intervenor. 12 13 MONTEREY COUNTY WATER RESOURCES AGENCY, 14 Intervenor. 15 AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS 16 17 18 19 BEFORE THE HONORABLE LESLIE C. NICHOLS 20 DEPARTMENT 13 21 JUNE 17, 2016 22 9:40 A.M. 23 --000--24

25

1	APPEARANCES
2	ON BEHALF OF THE SEASIDE BASIN WATERMASTER:
3	BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK BY: RUSSELL M. MCGLOTHLIN, ESQ.
4	1020 State Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101
5	TEL: (805) 963-7000 Email: rmcglothlin@bhfs.com
6	
7	ON BEHALF OF THE MONTEREY PENINSULA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT:
8	DE LAY & LAREDO
9	BY: DAVID LAREDO, ESQ. 606 Forest Avenue
10	Pacific Grove, CA 93950 TEL: (831) 646-1502
11	Email: Dave@laredolaw.net
12	ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF SEASIDE:
13	LAW OFFICES OF PERRY & FREEMAN
14	BY: DONALD FREEMAN, ESQ. P.O. BOX 805
15	CARMEL, CA 93921 TEL: (831) 624-5339
16	Email: klglegal@hotmail.com
17	ON BEHALF OF THE MONTEREY PENISULA WATER MANAGEMENT
18	DISTRICT:
19	(Appearing telephonically)
20	ANTHONY LOMBARDO & ASSOCIATES Anthony Lombardo, Esq.
21	450 Lincoln Avenue, Suite 101 Salinas, CA 93901
22	TEL: (831) 751-2330 Email: tony@alombardolaw.com
23	
24	
25	

1	ON BEHALF OF CALIFORNIA AMERICAN WATER:
2	LORI GERARD, ESQ.
3	Cal-Am Water Company 51 Forest Lodge Road, Suite 100
4	Pacific Grove, CA 93950 Email: Lori.girard@am.water.com
5	ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS, LLP
6	BY: ROBERT DONLAN, ESQ. (Appearing telephonically.) 2600 Capitol Avenue, suite 400
7	Sacramento, CA 95816 TEL: (916) 447-2166
8	red@eslawfirm.com
9	000
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

MONTEREY, CALIFORNIA, JUNE 17, 2016
9:39 A.M.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Your Honor, again, Russell McGlothlin on behalf of the Watermaster. It's -- we're very pleased to have you with us and as a partner in managing this essential resource.

If I may say a couple of high level comments about the process. As for myself, an admission, I am not a day-to-day litigator, my expertise is in California water resource management, and particularly ground water.

I have been involved in this adjudication since the filing of the complaint. I've worn different hats.

I've represented the City of Seaside, and, with the permission of the city, appropriate conflict waivers,

I'm now representing the Watermaster.

I am pleased, and I am hopeful to say that they will maintain that there is a very significant degree of cooperation -- cooperative enterprise is the word you used -- and that is the case, I think much to the wise judgment of Judge Randall, to appoint the Watermaster as he did.

There is -- I am not aware of any active conflict presently with respect to the management of the groundwater basin. Rather, the Watermaster is acting

cooperatively among the members of the Board of Directors, and we are hopeful that we will work cooperatively with the Court.

THE COURT: That's what I concluded. It seemed apparent to me that it is a public -- we have an open courtroom. It happens that the only people that are here are related in some way to the parties, but this is different than a legislative hearing room or passing a huge federal law, just -- nobody -- public doesn't know about all these amendments, it's very transparent, and that's the purpose of this meeting. I appreciate your inviting me.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Certainly, Your Honor, and I will acknowledge, as a principal of water law generally, that conflicts and judicial actions concerning water have both the interest of the parties at stake in adjudicating the rights of the party. They also are impregnated with an extensive public interest that stems from our California Constitution, Article 10, Section 2.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: I think, as an overarching principal, we acknowledge that there are issues. There are, directly, problems that need to be addressed over time in both of the active sub-basins in the -- in the groundwater basin.

THE COURT: Again, those two sub-basins are?

MR. McGLOTHLIN: The coastal sub area -- I may have misspoke mistake, they should be referred to sub-areas to be distinguished from sub-basins.

THE COURT: Sub-basins.

2.4

MR. McGLOTHLIN: And, if I may, Seaside

Groundwater Basin is technically a sub-basin of the greater Salinas Valley Ground Water Basin, but it is separately managed and often referred to generally as just the basin. Technically speaking, it is a sub-basin.

Within that sub-basin there are four sub-areas, but only two are the ones that are really active where there's pumping, and that is the coastal sub-area, and what is referred to as the Laguna Seca sub-area.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: They have different challenges going forward. Related, there's hydrogeologic connectivity between them, the coastal sub-area being next to the coast.

The concern is sea water intrusion, as is the case occurring -- it has been occurring for decades -- to the north within the other sub-basins -- coastal sub-basins of the Salinas Valley.

THE COURT: Laguna Seca extends beyond the

direct jurisdiction of this order, doesn't it?

MR. McGLOTHLIN: The groundwater stratigraphy does continue beyond the adjudicated boundaries, and what the implication of that is that groundwater production and activities to the east of the adjudicated boundaries has an influence within the adjudicated boundaries, and that's why you've seen the intention to work cooperatively with the stakeholders and the regulatory overlap there to address the problem.

THE COURT: And I think that's the Monterey County Water Resources Agency?

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Your Honor, it is the Monterey County Water Resources Agency, but more specifically it will be an entity that will be formed within the next year, referred to as a Groundwater Sustainability Agency.

THE COURT: That's under the 2014 law?

MR. McGLOTHLIN: That's correct, that comes

under what is the Sustainable Water Management Act, and,

if you may, I will probably refer to it as SWMA.

THE COURT: I'd like a glossary so --

MR. McGLOTHLIN: So you have the issues of the potential sea water intrusion in the costal sub-area, you have the issues of a gradual decline, but, nonetheless, a continuing decline of groundwater levels

in the east Laguna Seca area. These are issues that must be addressed over time.

The Watermaster is acutely aware of what the issues are and is doing its very best and hopes to work cooperatively, of course, with the Court to address these, but the good thing is that these are not issues that are likely to develop into an acute problem in the near future. They are gradual and long-term problems and --

THE COURT: At some point I'd very much appreciate understanding a little bit about how the Watermaster works. You have a staff, it's funded, and the staff interacts with voting members, and so at some point it would be helpful to me to see how the players come together and reach this cooperation, but I don't want to disturb the order of your presentation.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Absolutely, and we can address that in Item 2 in the agenda with respect to the basin management structure.

I'm pretty much finished with the overarching perspectives.

THE COURT: Is -- is the whole issue of county compliance with the order something you're going to get to?

MR. McGLOTHLIN: I was just going to get to

that.

The management of the Seaside basin, of course, is not in a vacuum, and, as you read in our report, the community of the Monterey Peninsula has been dealing with a water supply challenge for decades which has become more acute beginning in 1995 with the first order from the State Water Resource Control Board and then again in 2009 with the Cease and Desist Order which was, frankly, the State saying time is up, we really need and mean for you to find new water supplies.

And as I think -- I don't have to elaborate, the issues concern diversion from the Carmel River, the other primary source of water supply for the peninsula, which is having a deleterious effect on riparian habitat, and specifically the threatened species of the coastal steelhead population.

THE COURT: Again, because you had a nice synopsis there of the several efforts that the county has made, one to work towards the dam and certain other things, finally into desalinization.

Could you recap that?

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Certainly. In 1995, the State issued Order 9510, State Water Resources Control Board, that said you must, Cal Am, move with diligent efforts to obtain a new water supply.

First efforts were with cooperation with the Water Management District to put a storage -- new dam on the Carmel River that would legalize the diversions, and, unfortunately, that effort failed for -- on a vote concerning bonding for the dam.

1.5

And, if I may, we have some individuals here, particularly my good friend Mr. Laredo to my left, who is -- has been general counsel to the Water Management District since 1979, and he could tell you the very sordid details of water politics on the peninsula better than I could.

THE COURT: I want to keep this uplifting. You know --

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Well, it's interesting. There's nothing more interesting than social dynamics.

MR. LAREDO: Mr. McGlothin is doing a wonderful job.

MR. McCLOTHLIN: I'm doing my very best, Your Honor.

So, following that, Cal Am itself tried for a dam project and had an application before the California Public Utilities Commission which, of course, asserts jurisdiction, and is the gatekeeper for anything that the utility does, and the utility is the service provider to probably well over 95 percent of the

municipal and urban use on the peninsula.

2.3

And during that process, Senator Keeley -State Senator Keeley, I believe, brought a legislative
effort and ultimately legislation to require the
Commission to look at all alternatives to a damn on the
river.

I may be out of line here, but I think the premise was new dams on the Carmel River weren't very popular and we needed to find another alternative.

May I just pause and say it's a unique situation you find yourself here on the Monterey Peninsula compared to the rest of the state. There is no state plumbing to the peninsula. We cannot reach out to the Central Valley or any other distant locations for a new water supply, that much of the other -- rest of the state, including Southern California and Central Valley, et cetera, the Bay area, all have their sources of imported water, whether it's from the Sierras, the Delta, the Colorado River, the Owens Aqueduct, et cetera.

THE COURT: I worked my way partly through
Stanford working five summers at Yosemite, so I was a
firefighter, and I worked across Hetchy, up to Miguel
Meadows, out there, and -- so, of course, I noticed the
anomaly that San Francisco has said on their sign that

they're progressive, but when they got to the question of whether or not to -- let's get rid of the dams, they were quite resolute in opposing that.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Very good quality to get something through --

THE COURT: I don't smile at this. It's -it's not a matter of politics, people look for an
interest, and they can sometimes conflict with the most
robust public decisions, just life.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: We'd agree, for better or not, we are a locally sustained community here for water supply.

THE COURT: That is a big challenge.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: It's a very big challenge. As the population has grown and the like, the limited water supply from the river -- and when I say the river, it's actually a subterranean take, but it's directly affecting the river.

THE COURT: What is your sense of -- of course, my daughter, who is a high school teacher, used to laugh when I had friends of more than 25 years standing, but now she has a daughter who's a college graduate, 25 years doesn't look quite so long to her.

I, of course, note that -- the Water Resources
Board made that order 21 years ago, and, you know, you

have to -- you have challenges and you do get a sense of 1 urgency from the water board that their -- they're 2 looking at this more closely, and if so, that 3 implication is there. 4 It does, Your Honor, and very MR. McGLOTHLIN: 5 closely. I wouldn't guess where this stacks in their 6 agenda, but I know it's towards the top of the list. 7 That means we get close attention 8 THE COURT: because we want to be in compliance. 9 MR. LAREDO: Your Honor, if I can comment. 10 know that the State Water Resources Control Board's most 11 recent order was in 2009. That is time limited in terms 12 of when it has to be performed and the parties to that 13 proceeding have a pending application to the State Water 14 Resources Control Board to revisit its order and to 15 extend and modify the limits, and that's on the State 16 Water Resources Control Board calendar for July 19th of 17 18 this year. Was that the 2020? 19 THE COURT: MR. LAREDO: That's correct. 20

THE COURT: 2020, 2022, or is it just --

MR. McGLOTHLIN: No, your Honor, 2020 moving around in different places.

THE COURT: Right.

21

22

23

24

25

MR. McGLOTHLIN: That is the -- that's the

compliance deadline under SGMA, but under this -- what we call the CDO, the Cease and Desist Order -- add that to the glossary -- the CDO had a deadline of the end of this year to get off the -- to cease illegal diversions or unauthorized diversions from the Carmel River.

Because of constraints at the California Public

Utilities Commission that all interests acknowledged are beyond the effect of the parties here and California

American, they are a big concern.

The California Environmental Quality Act,
National Environmental Policy Act compliance that has
taken some time, that process has been delayed for
upwards of four to five years, and so there is now an
application that is going before the state board to
extend that time period now until 2021.

THE COURT: So if I understand it -- Mr. Laredo?

MR. LAREDO: Yes.

THE COURT: It sounds like an impossibility in the sense that there are multiple procedures that you must comply with, and going through the federal and state regulations has created, from your perspective, and you hope this is convincing with the board, not recalcitrance or delay, but necessarily, by virtue of those timelines, has extended compliance and you need

1 relief. That's correct, when we talk about MR. LAREDO: 2 community itself. Technically the order is against Cal 3 My client is the Monterey Peninsula Water 4 Management District. We are a party to that proceeding, 5 but I believe that you are correct that many, many 6 7 factors have caused the delay for which there is no 8 particular party at blame. The Public Utilities Commission recognized that 9 and passed a resolution directing that its Executive 10 Director communicate the position of the Public 11 Utilities Commission that the amendment to the State 12 Board Order was appropriate, and the State Board is 13 poised to consider that matter on July 19th. 14 THE COURT: And you are in harmony with Cal Am 15 on that application? 16 MR. LAREDO: That's correct. 17 THE COURT: And who is Cal Am's counsel? 18 Lori Gerard, and also on the MS. GERARD: 19 20 phone we have Mr. Donlan. THE COURT: Yes, thank you. 21 The interruptions are not to interrupt, I'm 22 just trying to follow along. 23 MR. McGLOTHLIN: Entirely understandable. 24 The takeaway from this, the high level 25

perspective, is that the State understands where -- or I believe they understand -- and I believe we will likely see some form of favorable action next month on that application because we are -- we, as a community, Cal Am specifically, as a company, have been diligently making efforts to complete a new water supply project.

If I may, there are different braids of that water supply project, it's not just desalination, although desalination it a meaningful -- probably is the largest -- what's sometimes referred to as a three-legged stool.

THE COURT: That's what stuck in my mind. I knew it was broader in scope, but I wasn't sure what it was.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Great.

And, if you will, I will explain. There are -two other braids of the collective new water supply will
be not only the desalination project that California
American is developing -- we can talk about the details
at your request -- as well as an advanced recycled water
project that involves the Seaside Basin in that it will
inject highly treated -- advanced treated, going through
reverse osmosis, micro filtration, ultraviolet,
et cetera, before being injected into the Seaside Basin.
This is -- by the way, this is extraordinarily high

1 quality water.

THE COURT: I think the science is away ahead of public opinion.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Perfectly stated.

And that is a project that is being undertaken jointly by Mr. Laredo's client, the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District and the Monterey Regional Water Pollution Control Agency with a Water Purchase Agreement from California American. That allows the downsizing of the desalination project and some of the environmental effects there, and also diversifies the portfolio of water, and is supported by the community at large to date.

MR. LAREDO: For the purposes of your glossary, that is commonly referred to as the Groundwater Replenishment Project.

THE COURT: Oh, yes, I saw the -- is it the case that you're developing some plans for desalination, but trying to ramp up on the replenishment so as to diminish the reliance on desalinization?

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Yes, Your Honor. The intention is a hybrid of -- portfolio hybrid approach.

THE COURT: So desalination will remain in the mix.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Desalinization -- they are not

complimentary, and the only competition is the size of the desalinization project.

MR. LAREDO: Yes, and also one advantage of the ground water replenishment project is that it that appears that will be able to come on line and deliver water faster than the desal plan.

THE COURT: And the third?

MR. McGLOTHLIN: The third is referred to as aquifer storage and recovery water.

What that is is diversions from the Carmel
River during the winter, during periods of excess flows
when it's not necessary or critical for riparian
habitat, all authorized by permit from the State Water
Resources Record Board, that water taken over the hills
and injected -- just like the ground water replenishment
water, injected through aquifer storage and recovery
wells, wells that go both ways, into the Seaside
Basin for recovery when -- during dryer or other periods
when were necessary.

And Cal Am has a very sophisticated procedure for managing its apply to supply to lessen its draw from the Carmel River during dry months when it's most critical to the riparian habitat during this interim period.

So what we --

THE COURT: Will that require CEQA review?

MR. McGLOTHLIN: The CEQA review has already been done for that and is already occurring. The ASR is already on line, with the exception it will be augmented, provided that infrastructure that we are presently -- I should say Cal Am is presently seeking permission from the California Public Utilities

Commission to add in an booster pump and an essential pipeline that will add to our ability to do aquifer storage and recovery, sometimes referred to as ASR.

And the future, post 2021, should look like a combination of five sources of water for the peninsula largely, which include the desalination, the GWR, Groundwater Replenishment, also known formally as the Pure Water Monterey Project, aquifer storage and recovery water, the native Seaside groundwater basin water consistent and within the confines of the basin safe yield, and some continuing diversions from the Carmel River within the legal authorized diversions under state law.

Those are the five large sources of water for the peninsula. The three new ones are the -- are the desal, GWR and ASR, and the next five years is the critical --

THE COURT: And the ASR is, again, what?

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Aquifer storage and recovery. 1 2 That's the Carmel River reversion to injections into the 3 Seaside basin. THE COURT: The diversion and the Seaside basin 4 5 are existing? MR. McGLOTHLIN: The existing -- yeah, the 6 fourth and fifth, which are not new supplies, are the 7 safe quantity of water from the Seaside basin, within 8 the safe yield, and the safe or authorized legal 9 diversions from the Carmel River underflow. 10 THE COURT: Part of this -- you're going to get 11 to it, I think -- is that you anticipate it may -- there 12 may be a petition to the court because the express terms 13 14 of Judge Randall's order dealing with the triennial drawdown; is that correct? 15 16 MR. McGLOTHLIN: That's correct. 17 THE COURT: Under those express terms, 18 that's -- that's felt to comply with. MR. McGLOTHLIN: Yes, we don't have a project 19 that match the words of the judgment, that's correct. 20 THE COURT: And so you're anticipating working. 21 What regard. 22 Α. If I --MR. McGLOTHLIN: 23 THE COURT: Continue with --24 MR. McGLOTHLIN: No, I think this is fine, and 25

I think we put the items here and at the end we can go down the agenda --

THE COURT: I'm getting the cart before the horse, but these are just the things that are pressing.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: I'm fine working that way,
Your Honor, and I think it's constructive for you to ask
questions as they come up.

The subject you just raised was the potential request for relief from the next scheduled tri-annual ramp down of the judgment, which would go into the effect for the 2018 water year through 2021, and that would be -- what that is is a 560 acre feed, ten percent of the original combined safe yield of 5,600, reduction in allowed diversions under the safe yield, and the judgment has parameters in it which said -- and if I may be colloquial for a moment -- you will either bring in new water of equivalent quantity or you will suffer -- not suffer, that's probably a wrong word -- you will implement a ten percent reduction on a gradual -- every third year rampdown.

THE COURT: Because we need to maintain a perpetual supply --

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Because we need to maintain a perpetual supply, and this is your -- this is the judge that -- Your Honor's staircase, not a cliff, a

staircase, a potential staircase, is to say, again, the problems are long-term, they don't demand an immediate knee jerk reaction, they deserve long-term proceedings.

THE COURT: And there are procedures for amendment of the judgment, and we can go very cautious about that because we don't want to defeat the purpose of perpetual surprise.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Certainly, and the -- so what we brought to your attention, we wanted -- we wanted to bring it to your attention, since you are new to this case -- had Judge Randall been here we were intending to bring the same subjects so that there was advance notice.

We don't know where the demand and -- will stack up on the peninsula in the next couple years. We are not certain yet how -- what form of revised cease and desist order from the State Water Resource Control Board we will get in July or -- this year, and, importantly, we don't know yet where we will be with the water supply projects, including the GWR and desalination projects and augmented ASR for storage and recovery.

We don't then, sitting here in June of 2016, next year -- better part of next year we will have a better grasp on that.

THE COURT: I have a question at this point, before I forget it.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Certainly.

2.4

THE COURT: One of the portions of the report it talks about the -- the -- tread cautiously because of deleterious economic impacts.

Having been a mayor of a city and recognizing that developer will come in and say, "Look, there's a housing imbalance, there's a need for affordable housing, there's a need for high end housing, these are pressing needs. We need to find new business opportunities."

What is the nature of the public information so that those elected officials carrying out their responsibilities always have clearly in their mind that there's ongoing supervision of the water supply and that the -- so they -- in effect, someone is not claiming foul later and saying, my goodness, the Watermaster and the Court is shutting down our water for the 250 new units which we just created, when, if they had advance notice, of course, responsibilities fall upon many officials and participants, they might have said we need to go slower on these new opportunities for development because these new opportunities for development, although attractive, may impact the water supply unduly.

I'm not pointing fingers at anybody, I'm saying this is a highly calibrated process.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: I have a couple thoughts, if I may, on that, and I think there are others in the room that should be heard on that subject.

THE COURT: Sure.

2.2

MR. McGLOTHLIN: A couple observations.

First, that there are many different actors that need to coordinate for proper public policy here. We are balancing environmental needs together with economic and consumptive use needs.

THE COURT: It's an everyday decision at City Hall.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Understood.

On the subject of water management and particular development, one aspect of the cease and desist order issued in 2009 was a moratorium on new water connections, so the prospect of new water connections, until we have complied with the order, can remove the unauthorized diversions from the river, are really not a viable prospect.

MR. LAREDO: And the Water Management District has an active permitting program so it examines any expansion of use down to the fixture level, or existing structures, so if you wanted to add a bathroom to your

home, you would have to show that you have an on-site water credit for that.

The District regulates expansions of seats in a restaurant, bars, stools in a restaurant, so it is a very highly regulated matter. There is very little water that would be available for that new development, so the economic impact comment would be if there is this ramp down, or the state board cliff, that -- the means to meet that water supply that's available would have to come from reducing those people who are presently using water.

THE COURT: And, of course, the serious impacts that would flow from that.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: And this community is highly dependent economically on its tourism and transient occupancy.

THE COURT: Come and visit.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: And so -- there is obvious concern if there was an acute water shortage, that would have ripple effects into the economics, for not just the Peninsula but the entire region, so -- I don't think anybody has intentions of making that into more than it is. It is -- it is just what it is.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: And I want to -- the other

aspect of balancing the water supply is we anticipate that this revised CDO will have parameters --

THE COURT: For which there's a hearing scheduled in July?

MR. LAREDO: That's correct.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Correct. We anticipate that it will have conditional permission for continuing diversions at levels that they presently are.

If milestones are not met for various reasons, we may be facing reduced water, even under our existing CDOs. It's not an all or nothing type situation.

The obvious intention of the State is to tighten screws where appropriate and leave them tightened as they are, where they are equally appropriate.

We are trying to balance the CDO situation, the development of new water supplies, when I say we, the community, Cal Am, together with the Water Management District. Another hat I wear is for the local Monterey Peninsula Regional Water Authority, which is sometimes referred as the Mayor's authority, which is an entity -- a joint powers authority created to cooperate, and, if you will, birddog the process to ensure consistency with the public interest as we develop these new water supplies.

All three of those entities, along with many stakeholders, some behind me, and in this water community here in Monterey, are jointly working through this process. We need to balance between interest in the Seaside basin, interest on the Carmel River, the economy, the response to the state, response to the Public Utilities Commission, so you are a very important piece of a constellation of actors.

THE COURT: I don't have any false pride over this, but there are many responsible players. The court's supervision is important here.

Are you involved as counsel in any -- I read from the reports that -- there are about a dozen instances with the new law does not specifically usurp the authority of ongoing judicial supervision.

I thought I read about a dozen.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Two dozen.

THE COURT: Are you involved in any of those?

MR. McGLOTHLIN: I am, Your Honor, in several

20 of them.

2.3

THE COURT: So they have these similar type issues that occur.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: They do.

A broader picture is we do not have ubiquitous one size fits all side groundwater management in

California.

The most challenged groundwater basins, of which the Seaside Basin is one, either because of overdraft or because of high social quorums, have gone through the adjudicatory process.

Until now, outside of the adjudicatory process, there's been loose to no groundwater management.

THE COURT: That led to the 2014 Act.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: As it did. As the Act was passed, there was recognition that it had to be coordinated with management under -- in those basins where the judiciary is already managing it.

There also needs to be acknowledgement that groundwater is highly interdependent and interrelated both between other groundwater supplies and between the surface water, and so we will manage discreet uses, with the understanding that there is impacts both from other areas to the area of interest and vice versa. That is the case here, for example, with the Coral de Tierra Area to the east of Laguna Seca sub-area, and vice versa, so there is -- needs to be coordination between existing management, both institutionally and hydrogeologically, to manufacture a word there, to achieve sustainable groundwater water management.

THE COURT: Does the failure -- I want to

forbid that possibility -- but does the failure of 1 cooperation have implications for court here? 2 3 MR. McGLOTHLIN: Yes, it does. THE COURT: How would that develop? 4 MR. McGLOTHLIN: I'm glad you asked, and it 5 reminds me of you asking for and anticipate questions 6 and what the devil's arguments are. 7 I found that's a sound practice. THE COURT: 8 MR. McGLOTHLIN: Understood. 9 I'll give you some of the nightmares that we 10 might face and we will try to avoid. 11 Both sea water intrusion in the costal 12 sub-area, that's a real problem. That doesn't 13 necessarily involve cooperation with outsiders, but it 14 15 will -- if that were to occur, I have impacts that 16 insiders within the basin have to cooperate. THE COURT: Nobody wants that. It would spoil 17 18 the supply, wouldn't it? MR. McGLOTHLIN: It would spoil the supply, it 19 would reduce the storage, you know, for the better part 20 of our live times at least, and it would also, under the 21 intentional plan that's already in effect, immediately 22 reduce water withdrawals from the coastal sub-area. 23

THE COURT: How is that -- who goes out to make

whatever inspections are appropriate to make the best

24

25

judgment as to whether there is intrusion? 1 MR. McGLOTHLIN: My good friend Bob Jake and 2 his staff are, every year, monitoring those wells 3 4 Is that within the Watermaster. THE COURT: 5 MR. McGLOTHLIN: Watermaster, your special 6 7 master. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. 9 MR. McGLOTHLIN: And the County Water Resources Agency and the Water Management District also have staff 10 and responsibilities on various areas of groundwater as 11 12 well. Sounds to me like generally there's THE COURT: 13 been a high level of vigilance. You certainly explained 14 15 the response to my questions about no new hookups and --16 because if you didn't have it managed to the -- right down to the lowest level, you'd have all sorts of excess 17 18 usage. MR. LAREDO: It could be a runaway freight 19 train, and I don't believe that exists, and there is a 20 tremendous level of cooperation and trust among the 21 22 parties. 23 MR. McGLOTHLIN: The only thing I would mention in brief, the Laguna Seca sub-area --24

THE COURT:

25

You were going to talk about Corral

de Tierra.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Yeah, you asked what happens if there's not cooperation. I interpret that as to, you know, "What are the potential conflicts, be honest with me."

THE COURT: That's right, just the kind of things so I wouldn't be totally blindsided if something came up.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: So that could come in two forms. We are hopeful that we -- well, if I may, the -- the SGMA, Sustainable Groundwater Management Act framework is that local agencies and the critical basins as designated by the state must develop and appoint a groundwater sustainability agency, which is either an agency with groundwater or water management functions and/or the cities and counties with general police powers, or some combination of various overlapping stakeholders which is most likely to be the case for the Corral de Tierra sub area and the Salinas Valley proper, so you have a GSA which needs to be developed by next year, June of 2017.

THE COURT: Is that under the state law?

MR. McGLOTHLIN: That's under the state law.

And that agency, the GSA, has either until 2020 or 2022,

depending on the status of the basin. It's somewhat

confusing. The intention was two years shorter if the basin is critical overdraft.

The Corral de Tierra is not designated as critically overdrafted. The 180 foot 400 foot aquifer which is highly treated with sea water has been designated as critically overdrafted.

The plan needs to come into fruition by 2020-2022 time frame. In that process, Watermaster intends to collaborate, cooperate, negotiate with the GSA for the Corral de Tierra sub-area, which may be the GSA for the broader Salinas Valley. As things are looking, that's probably going to be the case, but not necessarily, it could be a single GSA for just that sub basin -- cooperate and negotiate with that entity and all of the other stakeholders that are in the Laguna Seca sub-area, which include a golf course.

California American has its satellite system there, as well as some mutual water companies, rural domestic users, and cooperate and discuss what management looks like there together with what management looks like in the Laguna Seca sub-area, with the acknowledgement we all have to be cognizant that over time we have to cure the collective overdraft that is lowering the water tables, particularly in the eastern portion of the sub area.

If we do not, the first thing that will occur is that it's unlikely the Department of Water Resources will approve the groundwater sustainability plan promulgated for the Corral de Tierra. Moreover, you have continuing jurisdiction -- the court has continuing jurisdiction and a responsibility to correct that overdraft.

2.2

In that process, just to be transparent, there's going to have to be a discussion about either reducing production in likely both locations, inside and outside of the basin, and/or bringing in new water, which is expensive and that expense has to be allocated.

THE COURT: You mean new water, not through desalination. In other words, find a way to have it trucked in or something like that?

MR. McGLOTHLIN: The beauty of it is we have time. It won't be trucked in, that's very inefficient. That's the call of last resort.

THE COURT: You said there's no pipeline.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: There's no pipeline.

THE COURT: So what do you mean when you say "bring in water?"

MR. McGLOTHLIN: I'm ducking underneath the table right now because I don't want to be shot by anybody here.

It could be -- it could be desalination. It could be water done in cooperation with the Salinas Valley proper. There are a variety of different sources.

THE COURT: I'm not writing a book. One of the CEQA cases I had involved of the City of San Jose and several contiguous counties to the north, and it involved traffic cooperation, and the city was taking the position that it was entirely within their domain, and I said, well, yes, but the law of CEQA requires you to proceed in good faith, and they came to the table.

You know, it's -- people don't want decisions made for them, but we know in the system of law that if people fail or refuse, that there has to be procedures to break the deadlock. Often people -- as we know, the best negotiated solution is one that everybody grumbles at a little bit, assuming it is a rational and reasonable position.

I won't require you to go further on that because I don't want anybody to think, oh, the judge has already made up his mind, I'm just trying to broadly inform myself.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: I think we all appreciate the push/pull relationship between the regulatory overlay and stakeholder cooperative, which is where we want to

be. The State's obviously been doing that in some parts here, tightening the screws here, and Judge Randall did that with his order, which has driven and -- you know, birthed the cooperation that you see at Watermaster's.

1.5

THE COURT: Your job as special master, though, is if you feel there is a lack of fruitful interchange and the judge's orders, you know, are -- you don't want to wait five years if they're not being reasonably addressed, then, to bring recommendations to the court; is that right?

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Yes, Your Honor, and that process starts -- you asked about the Watermaster structure. The intention, as I understand it from the Watermaster designed by Judge Randall, is for the parties themselves to meet, to discuss, if necessary to ultimately vote, but it's really an advisory decision and advisory role to the court, so any party can bring a challenge to a Watermaster decision.

THE COURT: It's a de novo review.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: It is de novo review, and, furthermore, the Watermaster, as it is here today, will seek the court's intervention and cooperation and oversight on a regular basis, so -- and more promptly when necessary.

So what you asked about what conflicts would

becoming down the line, those issues of combination or -- either/or of reduced production and/or new water supplies to the collective Laguna Seca-Corral de Tierra, hopefully the parties will negotiate in good faith and come to stipulations that the Court can support, or the Court is independent to make the decision whether or not the stipulation is in the public interest and consistent with law.

THE COURT: If those stipulations do not require the amendment of Judge Randall's in any respect, has it been the practice or consequence that they would nonetheless, because they are policy changes, be submitted to the court for review?

MR. McGLOTHLIN: It is my counsel to

Watermaster that they do, that the management is

something -- the management structure, the physical

management structure is something that the court should

be in depth aware of and approve and be marching hand in

hand. I do not think it is proper for the court to be,

you know, off to the side.

And if I may digress, that is the case in some other basins where the court is not aware that it still has these cases.

THE COURT: No, I'm very much attuned for so long as I'm active, so I would like to -- and, you know,

it's really for the protection of all. If a recommendation is made to the Court for a signature, there should be a sufficient basis that any critical person could look at it and say yes, it's substantiated, so if someone — if it goes through that rigorous level of analysis and recommendation, it may deter adversarial proceedings because it's well founded, all things considered, and if it's deficient in some way, a party might petition the court and say we want you to hear this.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: I would make one observation that Watermaster is comprised of interested stakeholders, but, as a collection, the intention is to act not disinterested but in the collective interests.

THE COURT: Correct, I understand that. And it's not just a cumbia moment, each party is looking to the interest of their constituents and so forth, but in the aggregate we can't have it all.

Are there any other -- so I think you indicated in the report that later you'd be filing a report, and even earlier if you anticipated a need to calendar something, otherwise it would come up on an annual report, because didn't you determine that sometime after the water resources board makes a determination you'll be in a better position by later in the year to

determine whether you're likely to have to petition to amend any part of Judge Randall's decision.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Yes. What is proposed as next steps, Your Honor, is that we proceed through this year, gather more information; we produce the annual report at the end of the year, which the judgment requires that we do.

We think it is --

THE COURT: And what's the date for that?

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Mid-December.

MR. LAREDO: December 15th.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: And we think it would be prudent during this -- particularly during this, you know, challenging time or important time of water development on the peninsula, that we meet with you, you know, annually following the annual report to receive your questions, input, conversation like we're having today.

We would propose that we set a calendar, a status conference first quarter of 2017, and that at that time we would provide you an update on developments with respect to Laguna Seca sub-area and the GSA composition for the Corral de Tierra sub-area, and also discuss with you the need and prudence of making an

application for relief from the ramp down.

You've referred to that as an amendment of the judgment. How we engage semantically, it's not important. The key there, Your Honor, is that the judgment specifically says that we can relieve ourselves in ramp down if we were bringing in comparable quantity each and every year during that three-year period.

The difference here is we brought in more than that quantity previously which I can elaborate on how we did that if you're interested. And since we've effectively brought in and stored 2,500 acre feet, we would be asking for a 1,680 -- 1,680-acre foot relief, so it would not be, per se, per the terms of the judgment, but certainly consistent with the spirit of the judgment and consistent with potential need during these later years, these crunch years, as we finalize the water supply projects.

THE COURT: Any brief elaboration that you can give me now would be fine.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Certainly.

Your Honor, one of the producers from the is the City of Seaside. Counsel sitting to my left for the City of Seaside today operates two 18-hole golf courses. They produce water as an alternative producer, and they have a 540-acre foot allocation.

The City of Seaside, by contract, made an arrangement with the water purveyor to the north and the water purveyor that serves the former Fort Ord area by designation by the Fort Ord Use Authority, that is the Marina Coast Water District. The City exchanged property that the Marina Coast Water District wanted in exchange for 2,500 acre feet, not annually, in total, 2,500 acre feet of wet molecules of water supply that it delivered to the golf course --

THE COURT: Is wet molecules a term of art?

MR. McGLOTHLIN: It's distinguishing between an annual acre feet, as in an allocation.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: 2,500 acre feet of water.

It doled that out to the golf course as needed for a course of many years in lieu of the golf course producing its up to 540 acre feet of production right.

Judge Randall approved of a memorandum of understanding between the Watermaster and the City of Seaside, whereby the Watermaster said bring that water in, do not produce your groundwater, that will augment the ground water supply. In exchange, they have provided a credit to the City of Seaside -- they, the Watermaster, to the City of Seaside against financial responsibilities that the city has accrued and will be

accruing for replenishment assessments associated with its municipal system, which is a separate water demand at the City of Seaside, which operates as a standard allocation, hence why it's incurring the replenishment assessment.

2.2

So, if I may -- and fundamentally, the
Watermaster has purchased through this credit program
2,500 acre feet of water that has now been, in lieu,
replenished into the basin and would not otherwise be
there but for that arrangement, and it's effectively
stored in the basin, and, if necessary, and the
Watermaster decides and the community decides it's
necessary, the hope was Your Honor would recognize that
that is a good faith effort to replenish some 2,500 acre
feet in exchange for a 1,680 foot relief during this
critical period towards the end of this extension while
the groundwater replenishment program is coming on line,
the desalination is finalized and in completion, if
necessary.

THE COURT: We'll complete here before too long.

There are many -- I've written lengthy decisions, but I certainly believe that Judge Randall's giving terms of art, definition, and the like must have been collaborative.

Did he ask for -- is this an adversarial proceeding, but I'm sure many terms were agreed to; is that right?

MR. McGLOTHLIN: The judgment is a product of stipulation amongst the parties, with the good judge's interlineations, things he wanted and did not want.

THE COURT: Generally what I try to do is find out what are the decisions that an informed layperson needs to make and set up the skeleton and then let the experts put it all together, and that proceeding a different way is not a very comfortable approach, because my view is within the confines of the jurisdiction that the law confers upon a judge, we have a lot of muscle and it should be used carefully, and when it has to be, used with full vigor, there's no way around that, but other times it's best I think to receive -- best input, especially when the parties seem to be reasonably aligned, at coming to a good operational decision.

That would be my opinion.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: If you read the judgment in comparison to judgments that have been written in other basins, mostly in Southern California over the last forty years, you would see very similar terminology, verbatim in some places. The judgment was not -- we

didn't reinvent the wheel. It was written by the 1 parties taking advantage of the good thought of other 2 judges and other judgements previously. 3 THE COURT: Sure. 4 MR. McGLOTHLIN: And Judge Randall was not 5 asleep, by any means, at the wheel. He took his pen and 6 scratched out, and we rewrote it as he desired. 7 8 THE COURT: I understand. I'm looking at Friday, March 17th. 9 Do you want to set a date now? 10 MR. McGLOTHLIN: Yes, Your Honor. 11 I'll put that date down. It's in THE COURT: 12 the first quarter. 13 To repeat, Friday, March 17th. MR. McGLOTHLIN: 14 15 THE COURT: Yes. If you could prepare notice on that. 16 17 MR. McGLOTHLIN: Absolutely. THE COURT: The outstanding agreement is that I 18 can either call you or email you if, for example, I 19 20 found that my own schedule required modifying that, I'd tell you and you'd handle that? 21 MR. McGLOTHLIN: Yes, Your Honor, absolutely. 2.2 Is that all right? 23 THE COURT: And that would be for the -- I certainly accept 24 25 the invitation to -- because the tenor of a couple

letters, I didn't misunderstand it, it was simply respectful letter saying we don't know of any new facts. There's not been not adversarial proceedings. We'll certainly be attentive, but we don't -- you know, they're not inviting new information, but I'm very grateful for the chance to meet with the stakeholders, to receive information, not only in text form but through updates, to satisfy myself that the parties are working diligently and for the parties to be satisfied that they don't have a cold bench, they have a hot bench, and I have been active in my work.

Is there any other input that anyone would like to provide at this time?

MR. LAREDO: Your Honor, if I may, between now and March, there will be significant development and information on the other aspects of the three-legged stool.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. LAREDO: Which is the ultimate answer.

THE COURT: Part of it is the State Water

Resources Control Board, part of it is the desalination

efforts.

MR. LAREDO: That's correct. The desalination effort has been the focus of a hearing that just concluded before the CPUC for significant bays and the

promised draft EIR on the desal plant is scheduled to come out this year, at least the Public Utilities

Commission has set a public participation hearing in, I believe, September on that.

The groundwater replenishment also is actively proceeding. The MRWPC, Monterey Regional Water Pollution Control Agency, has already certified the EIR on its aspect of that project, and the Water Management District has, this coming Monday, the 20th, its action as a responsible agency to certify that, so we anticipate that there will be significant development to report at the next status conference.

THE COURT: Is there a regular calendar of meetings for the Watermaster Board?

MR. McGLOTHLIN: The Watermaster Board is calendared to meet monthly, unless there's no relative substantive agenda items.

THE COURT: So those meetings have occurred?

MR. McGLOTHLIN: They occur regularly.

THE COURT: And are there staff reports in advance?

MR. McGLOTHLIN: There are, and if Your Honor would like, we certainly be willing to provide you with the agenda and staff reports for -- there are not only board meetings, there are also technical advisory --

technical committee --1 2 3

THE COURT: I don't think I require that at this time. I don't want to put my nose too far under the tent, as if I'm an expert on that.

I assume that the Watermaster will draw that together in good, intelligible form and give me all the details that you think I need.

Also, accept my invitation to present all the questions that you would anticipate that an informed judge would.

And we would always welcome MR. McGLOTHLIN: Your Honor to ask to see any materials, any underlying materials, agendas, as necessary.

THE COURT: I will say to the Watermaster, if you see that a particular report is particularly illuminating on an issue, feel free to send it to me.

I think you have an email address for me.

I do, Your Honor. MR. McGLOTHLIN:

THE COURT: And you're free to do that, without me requesting each and every communication be sent out to me.

I have a question for the court. MR. LAREDO: Would you like that Watermaster glossary in advance of March 17th?

> I think it would be helpful. THE COURT: Ιf

22 23

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

you could just prepare it, maybe file it, and email it 1 2 to me. Maybe we could do that and MR. McGLOTHLIN: 3 file that with the annual report. 4 That's okay too. THE COURT: 5 Actually, with the annual report is fine. 6 On the events that Mr. Laredo was MS. GERARD: 7 describing, we can include that in the annual report. 8 9 THE COURT: Oh, yes, I would expect that -because you're talking about the three-legged stool, 10 and all of these things need to come together, and I 11 need to be well informed on those developments and how 12 they impact any judicial involvement. 13 MR. McGLOTHLIN: Your Honor, the only other 14 matter -- I'm looking through the agenda -- that we 15 16 didn't really touch on is the basin boundary modification. 17 Why don't we just ask, because I THE COURT: 18 may ask for a transcript, let's say we're going off the 19 record and the only main thing you'll be reporting on 20 is --21 MR. McGLOTHLIN: The basin boundary 22 23 modification. THE COURT: That's fine, that will be covered 24 in the next annual report. 25

```
And we thank the court reporter, and I'm sure
1
     they'll be asking for a transcript.
2
              (The matter was adjourned at 10:38 a.m.)
3
4
                                --000--
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF MONTEREY I JUDIE A. NICHOLAS, Certified Shorthand Reporter, License 12229, in and for the State of California, do here by certify: That said proceedings were reported at the time and place stated herein, and thereafter transcribed by computer-aided transcription. I further certify that I am not interested in the outcome of this action, nor connected with, nor related to any of the parties of said action. Dated this 21st day of September, 2016. JUDIE A. NICHOLAS, CSR 12229 

1 1,680 [2] - 39:12, 41:15 **1,680-acre** [1] - 39:12 10<sub>[1]</sub> - 5:19 10:38[1] - 48:3 15th [1] - 38:11 **17** [1] - 4:1 17th [3] - 43:9, 43:14, 46:24 18-hole [1] - 39:23 **180** [1] - 32:4 1979 [1] - 10:9 1995 [2] - 9:6, 9:22 19th [2] - 13:17, 15:14

# 2

2[2] - 5:19, 8:18 **2,500** [6] - 39:11, 40:7, 40:8, 40:14, 41:8, 41:14 **2009** [3] - 9:8, 13:12, 24:17 2014 [2] - 7:17, 28:8 2016 [2] - 4:1, 22:23 2017 [2] - 31:21, 38:21 2018 [1] - 21:11 2020 [4] - 13:19, 13:21, 13:22, 31:24 2020-2022 [1] - 32:8 2021 [3] - 14:15, 19:11, 21:11 2022 [2] - 13:21, 31:24 20th [1] - 45:9 **21** [1] - 12:25 **25** [2] - 12:21, 12:23 250 [1] - 23:19

# 4

400 [1] - 32:4

### 5

5,600 [1] - 21:13 540 [1] - 40:17 **540-acre** [1] - 39:25 560 [1] - 21:12

#### 9

95<sub>[1]</sub> - 10:25 9510 [1] - 9:23 9:39 [1] - 4:2

### Α

a.m [1] - 48:3 A.M [1] - 4:2 ability [1] - 19:9 able [1] - 18:5 absolutely [3] - 8:17, 43:17, 43:22

accrued [1] - 40:25 accruing [1] - 41:1 achieve [1] - 28:24 acknowledge [2] - 5:14, 5:22 acknowledged [1] - 14:7 acknowledgement [2] -28:13, 32:22 acre [9] - 21:12, 39:11, 40:7, 40:8, 40:12, 40:14, 40:17, 41:8, 41:14 act[1] - 37:14 Act [6] - 7:19, 14:10, 14:11, 28:8, 28:9, 31:11 acting [1] - 4:25 action [2] - 16:3, 45:9 actions [1] - 5:15 active [6] - 4:23, 5:24, 6:13, 24:23, 36:25, 44:11 actively [1] - 45:5 activities [1] - 7:5 actors [2] - 24:8, 27:8 acute [3] - 8:7, 9:6, 25:19 acutely [1] - 8:3 add [4] - 14:2, 19:8, 19:9, 24:25 address [4] - 7:9, 8:5, 8:17, 46:17 addressed [3] - 5:23, 8:2, 35:9 adjourned [1] - 48:3 adjudicated [3] - 7:3, 7:5, 7:6 adjudicating [1] - 5:17 adjudication [1] - 4:12 adjudicatory [2] - 28:5, 28:6 admission [1] - 4:8 advance [4] - 22:12, 23:20, 45:21, 46:24 advanced [2] - 16:20, 16:22 advantage [2] - 18:3, 43:2 adversarial [3] - 37:6, 42:1, 44:3 advisory [3] - 35:16, 35:17, affecting [1] - 12:18 affordable [1] - 23:9 agencies [1] - 31:12 **Agency** [6] - 7:11, 7:13, 7:16, 17:8, 30:10, 45:7 agency [4] - 31:14, 31:15, 31:24, 45:10 agenda [6] - 8:18, 13:7, 21:2, 45:17, 45:24, 47:15 agendas [1] - 46:13 aggregate [1] - 37:18 ago [1] - 12:25

agree [1] - 12:10

agreed [1] - 42:2

**Agreement** [1] - 17:9

agreement [1] - 43:18

aligned [1] - 42:18 allocated [1] - 33:12 allocation [3] - 39:25, 40:12, 41:4 allowed [1] - 21:14 allows [1] - 17:9 alternative [2] - 11:9, 39:24 alternatives [1] - 11:5 Am's [1] - 15:18 amend [1] - 38:2 amendment [4] - 15:12, 22:5, 36:10, 39:2 amendments [1] - 5:10 American [4] - 14:9, 16:19, 17:9, 32:17 analysis [1] - 37:6 annual [9] - 21:9, 37:22, 38:5, 38:17, 40:12, 47:4, 47:6, 47:8, 47:25 annually [2] - 38:17, 40:7 anomaly [1] - 11:25 answer [1] - 44:19 anticipate [6] - 20:12, 26:1, 26:6, 29:6, 45:11, 46:9 anticipated [1] - 37:21 anticipating [1] - 20:21 apparent [1] - 5:5 application [6] - 10:21, 13:14, 14:14, 15:16, 16:4, 39:1 apply [1] - 18:21 appoint [2] - 4:21, 31:13 appreciate [3] - 5:11, 8:11, 34:23 approach [2] - 17:22, 42:11 appropriate [5] - 4:15, 15:13, 26:13, 26:15, 29:25 approve [2] - 33:3, 36:18 approved [1] - 40:18 Aqueduct [1] - 11:19 aquifer [5] - 18:9, 18:16, 19:9, 19:15, 32:4 Aquifer [1] - 20:1 Area [1] - 28:20 area [20] - 6:2, 6:14, 6:15, 6:19, 7:23, 8:1, 11:17, 28:18, 28:20, 29:13, 29:23, 30:24, 31:19, 32:10, 32:16, 32:21, 32:25, 38:23, 38:24, areas [4] - 6:4, 6:12, 28:18, 30:11 arguments [1] - 29:7 arrangement [2] - 40:2, 41:10 art [2] - 40:10, 41:24 Article [1] - 5:19

ahead [1] - 17:2

aspect [3] - 24:16, 26:1, 45:8 aspects [1] - 44:16 **ASR** [5] - 19:3, 19:10, 19:23, 19:25, 22:21 asserts [1] - 10:22 assessment [1] - 41:5 assessments [1] - 41:1 associated [1] - 41:1 assume [1] - 46:5 assuming [1] - 34:17 attention [3] - 13:8, 22:9, 22:10 attentive [1] - 44:4 attractive [1] - 23:25 attuned [1] - 36:24 augment [1] - 40:21 augmented [2] - 19:5, 22:21 Authority [2] - 26:20, 40:4 authority [3] - 26:21, 26:22, 27:15 authorized [3] - 18:13, 19:19, 20:9 available [2] - 25:6, 25:9 avoid [1] - 29:11 aware [4] - 4:23, 8:3, 36:18, 36:22

# В

balance [2] - 26:16, 27:4 balancing [2] - 24:10, 26:1 bars [1] - 25:4 basin [23] - 4:25, 5:25, 6:7, 6:10, 6:11, 6:12, 8:18, 9:2, 19:16, 19:17, 20:3, 20:4, 20:8, 27:5, 29:16, 31:25, 32:2, 32:14, 33:11, 41:9, 41:11, 47:16, 47:22 Basin [6] - 6:7, 6:8, 16:21, 16:24, 18:18, 28:3 basins [11] - 5:24, 6:1, 6:4, 6:5, 6:23, 6:24, 28:2, 28:11, 31:12, 36:22, 42:23 basis [2] - 35:23, 37:3 bathroom [1] - 24:25 Bay [1] - 11:17 bays [1] - 44:25 beauty [1] - 33:16 become [1] - 9:6 becoming [1] - 36:1 beginning [1] - 9:6 behalf [1] - 4:4 behind [1] - 27:2 bench [2] - 44:10, 44:11 best [6] - 8:4, 10:18, 29:25, 34:16, 42:16, 42:17 better [6] - 10:10, 12:10, 22:24, 22:25, 29:20, 37:25 between [9] - 6:19, 27:4,

28:15, 28:21, 34:24, 40:11,

accept [2] - 43:24, 46:8

1

asleep [1] - 43:6

40:19, 44:14 beyond [3] - 6:25, 7:3, 14:8 big [3] - 12:13, 12:14, 14:9 birddog [1] - 26:23 birthed [1] - 35:4 bit [2] - 8:11, 34:17 blame [1] - 15:8 blindsided [1] - 31:7 board [6] - 13:2, 14:14, 14:23, 25:8, 37:24, 45:25 Board [13] - 5:1, 9:7, 9:23, 12:25, 13:15, 13:17, 15:13, 18:14, 22:18, 44:21, 45:14, 45:15 Board's [1] - 13:11 **Bob** [1] - 30:2 **bonding** [1] - 10:5 **book** [1] - 34:5 booster [1] - 19:8 boundaries [3] - 7:3, 7:6, 7:7 boundary [2] - 47:16, 47:22 braids [2] - 16:7, 16:17 break [1] - 34:15 brief [2] - 30:24, 39:18 bring [7] - 21:16, 22:10, 22:12, 33:22, 35:9, 35:17, 40:20 bringing [2] - 33:11, 39:6 broader [3] - 16:13, 27:24, 32:11 broadly [1] - 34:21 brought[4] - 11:3, 22:9, 39:8, 39:11 business [1] - 23:11

#### C

Cal [9] - 9:24, 10:20, 15:3, 15:15, 15:18, 16:4, 18:20, 19:6, 26:18 calendar [4] - 13:17, 37:21, 38:20, 45:13 calendared [1] - 45:16 calibrated [1] - 24:2 CALIFORNIA [1] - 4:1 California [13] - 4:10, 5:19, 10:21, 11:16, 14:6, 14:8, 14:10, 16:18, 17:9, 19:7, 28:1, 32:17, 42:23 cannot [1] - 11:13 carefully [1] - 42:14 Carmel [10] - 9:12, 10:3, 11:8, 14:5, 18:10, 18:22, 19:19, 20:2, 20:10, 27:5 carrying [1] - 23:14 cart [1] - 21:3 case [8] - 4:20, 6:22, 17:18, 22:11, 28:19, 31:18, 32:12, 36:21 cases [2] - 34:6, 36:23

cautiously [1] - 23:5 CDO [4] - 14:2, 14:3, 26:2, 26:16 CDOs [1] - 26:11 Cease [2] - 9:8, 14:2 cease [3] - 14:4, 22:16, 24:16 Central [2] - 11:14, 11:16 CEQA [4] - 19:1, 19:2, 34:6, 34:10 certain [2] - 9:19, 22:16 Certainly [2] - 5:13, 22:8 certainly [9] - 9:22, 23:3, 30:14, 39:14, 39:20, 41:23, 43:24, 44:4, 45:23 certified [1] - 45:7 certify [1] - 45:10 cetera [3] - 11:17, 11:20, 16:24 challenge [4] - 9:5, 12:13, 12:14, 35:18 challenged [1] - 28:2 challenges [2] - 6:17, 13:1 challenging [1] - 38:15 chance [1] - 44:6 changes [1] - 36:12 cities [1] - 31:16 City [11] - 4:14, 24:12, 34:6, 39:22, 39:23, 40:1, 40:5, 40:19, 40:23, 40:24, 41:3 city [4] - 4:15, 23:7, 34:8, 40:25 claiming [1] - 23:17 clearly [1] - 23:15 client [2] - 15:4, 17:6 cliff [2] - 21:25, 25:8 close [1] - 13:8 closely [2] - 13:3, 13:6 Coast [2] - 40:5, 40:6 coast[1] - 6:20 coastal [6] - 6:2, 6:14, 6:19, 6:23, 9:16, 29:23 cognizant [1] - 32:22 cold [1] - 44:10 collaborate [1] - 32:9 collaborative [1] - 41:25 **collection** [1] - 37:13 collective [4] - 16:17, 32:23, 36:3, 37:14 college [1] - 12:22 colloquial [1] - 21:16 Colorado [1] - 11:19 **combination** [3] - 19:12, 31:17, 36:1 combined [1] - 21:13 comfortable [1] - 42:11 coming [3] - 41:17, 42:18, 45:9

caused [1] - 15:7

cautious [1] - 22:5

comment[2] - 13:10, 25:7 comments [1] - 4:7 Commission [8] - 10:22, 11:5, 14:7, 15:9, 15:12, 19:8, 27:7, 45:3 committee [1] - 46:1 commonly [1] - 17:15 communicate [1] - 15:11 communication [1] - 46:20 community [9] - 9:4, 12:11, 15:3, 16:4, 17:12, 25:14, 26:18, 27:3, 41:12 companies [1] - 32:18 company [1] - 16:5 comparable [1] - 39:6 compared [1] - 11:12 comparison [1] - 42:22 competition [1] - 18:1 complaint [1] - 4:13 complete [2] - 16:6, 41:20 completion [1] - 41:18 compliance [5] - 8:23, 13:9, 14:1, 14:11, 14:25 complied [1] - 24:19 complimentary [1] - 18:1 comply [2] - 14:21, 20:18 composition [1] - 38:24 comprised [1] - 37:12 concern [4] - 6:21, 9:12, 14:9, 25:19 concerning [2] - 5:15, 10:5 concluded [2] - 5:4, 44:25 conditional [1] - 26:7 conference [2] - 38:21, 45:12 confers [1] - 42:13 confines [2] - 19:17, 42:12 conflict [3] - 4:15, 4:24, 12:8 conflicts [3] - 5:15, 31:4, 35:25 confusing [1] - 32:1 connections [2] - 24:18, 24:19 connectivity [1] - 6:19 consequence [1] - 36:11 consider [1] - 15:14 considered [1] - 37:8 consistency [1] - 26:23 consistent [4] - 19:17, 36:7, 39:14, 39:15 constellation [1] - 27:8 constituents [1] - 37:17 Constitution [1] - 5:19 constraints [1] - 14:6 constructive [1] - 21:6 consumptive [1] - 24:11 contiguous [1] - 34:7 continue [2] - 7:3, 20:24 continuing [5] - 7:25, 19:18,

26:7, 33:5 contract [1] - 40:1 Control [9] - 9:7, 9:23, 13:11, 13:15, 13:17, 17:8, 22:17, 44:21, 45:7 conversation [1] - 38:18 convincing [1] - 14:23 cooperate [5] - 26:22, 29:16, 32:9, 32:14, 32:19 cooperation [11] - 4:19, 8:15, 10:1, 29:2, 29:14, 30:21, 31:3, 34:2, 34:8, 35:4, 35:22 cooperative [2] - 4:19, 34:25 cooperatively [4] - 5:1, 5:3, 7:8, 8:5 coordinate [1] - 24:9 coordinated [1] - 28:11 coordination [1] - 28:21 Coral [1] - 28:19 Corral [7] - 30:25, 31:19, 32:3, 32:10, 33:4, 36:3, 38:24 correct [13] - 7:18, 13:20, 15:2, 15:6, 15:17, 20:15, 20:16, 20:20, 26:5, 26:6, 33:6, 37:15, 44:23 costal [2] - 7:23, 29:12 counsel [5] - 10:8, 15:18, 27:12, 36:14, 39:22 counties [2] - 31:16, 34:7 County [3] - 7:11, 7:13, 30:9 county [2] - 8:22, 9:18 couple [5] - 4:7, 22:15, 24:3, 24:7, 43:25 course [13] - 8:5, 9:2, 10:22, 11:24, 12:19, 12:24, 23:21, 25:12, 32:16, 40:9, 40:15, 40:16 courses [1] - 39:23 Court [6] - 5:3, 8:5, 23:19, 36:5, 36:6, 37:2 court [12] - 20:13, 29:2, 33:5, 35:9, 35:17, 36:13, 36:17, 36:19, 36:22, 37:9, 46:22, 48:1 COURT [99] - 5:4, 5:20, 6:1, 6:5, 6:16, 6:25, 7:10, 7:17, 7:21, 8:10, 8:22, 9:17, 10:12, 11:21, 12:6, 12:13, 12:19, 13:8, 13:19, 13:21, 13:24, 14:16, 14:19, 15:15, 15:18, 15:21, 16:12, 17:2, 17:17, 17:23, 18:7, 19:1, 19:25, 20:4, 20:11, 20:17, 20:21, 20:24, 21:3, 21:21, 22:4, 23:1, 23:4, 24:6, 24:12, 25:12, 25:17, 25:24, 26:3, 27:9, 27:18, 27:21, 28:8, 28:25, 29:4, 29:8,

29:17, 29:24, 30:5, 30:8, 30:13, 30:25, 31:6, 31:22, 33:13, 33:19, 33:21, 34:5, 35:5, 35:19, 36:9, 36:24, 37:15, 38:9, 38:12, 39:18, 40:10, 40:13, 41:20, 42:7, 43:4, 43:8, 43:12, 43:15, 43:18, 43:23, 44:18, 44:20, 45:13, 45:18, 45:20, 46:2, 46:14, 46:19, 46:25, 47:5, 47:9, 47:18, 47:24 court's [2] - 27:11, 35:22 courtroom [1] - 5:6 covered [1] - 47:24 CPUC [1] - 44:25 created [3] - 14:22, 23:20, 26:22 credit [3] - 25:2, 40:23, 41:7 critical [7] - 18:12, 18:23, 19:24, 31:12, 32:2, 37:3, 41:16 critically [2] - 32:4, 32:6 crunch [1] - 39:16 cumbia [1] - 37:16 cure [1] - 32:23

## D

dam [4] - 9:19, 10:2, 10:5, 10:21 damn [1] - 11:5 dams [2] - 11:8, 12:2 date [4] - 17:13, 38:9, 43:10, 43:12 daughter [2] - 12:20, 12:22 day-to-day [1] - 4:9 de [10] - 28:19, 31:1, 31:19, 32:3, 32:10, 33:4, 35:19, 35:20, 36:3, 38:24 deadline [2] - 14:1, 14:3 deadlock [1] - 34:15 dealing [2] - 9:4, 20:14 decades [2] - 6:22, 9:5 December [2] - 38:10, 38:11 decides [2] - 41:12 decision [6] - 24:12, 35:16, 35:18, 36:6, 38:2, 42:19 decisions [4] - 12:9, 34:12, 41:23, 42:8 decline [2] - 7:24, 7:25 defeat [1] - 22:6 deficient [1] - 37:8 definition [1] - 41:24 degree [1] - 4:18 delay [2] - 14:24, 15:7 delayed [1] - 14:12 deleterious [2] - 9:14, 23:6 deliver [1] - 18:5 delivered [1] - 40:9 Delta [1] - 11:19

demand [3] - 22:2, 22:14, 41:2 **Department** [1] - 33:2 dependent[1] - 25:15 depth [1] - 36:18 desal [3] - 18:6, 19:23, 45:1 desalination [13] - 16:8, 16:9, 16:18, 17:10, 17:18, 17:23, 19:13, 22:21, 33:14, 34:1, 41:18, 44:21, 44:23 desalinization [3] - 9:20, 17:20, 18:2 Desalinization [1] - 17:25 describing [1] - 47:8 deserve [1] - 22:3 designated [3] - 31:13, 32:3, designation [1] - 40:4 designed [1] - 35:14 desired [1] - 43:7 Desist [2] - 9:8, 14:2 desist [2] - 22:17, 24:17 details [3] - 10:10, 16:19, 46:7 deter[1] - 37:6 determination [1] - 37:24 determine [2] - 37:23, 38:1 develop [4] - 8:7, 26:24, 29:4, 31:13 developed [1] - 31:20 developer [1] - 23:8 developing [2] - 16:19, 17:18 development [8] - 23:23, 23:24, 24:16, 25:6, 26:17, 38:16, 44:15, 45:11 developments [2] - 38:22, 47:12

devil's [1] - 29:7 difference [1] - 39:8 different [8] - 4:13, 5:8, 6:17, 13:23, 16:7, 24:8, 34:3, 42:11 digress [1] - 36:21

diligent[1] - 9:24 diligently [2] - 16:5, 44:9 diminish [1] - 17:20 direct [1] - 7:1 directing [1] - 15:10

directly [2] - 5:23, 12:17 Director [1] - 15:11 **Directors** [1] - 5:2

discreet [1] - 28:16 discuss [3] - 32:19, 35:15,

38:25 discussion [1] - 33:9 disinterested [1] - 37:14 distant [1] - 11:14

distinguished [1] - 6:4 distinguishing [1] - 40:11 District [11] - 10:2, 10:9, 15:5, 17:7, 24:22, 25:3, 26:19, 30:10, 40:5, 40:6, 45:9 disturb [1] - 8:16 diversifies [1] - 17:11 diversion [2] - 9:12, 20:4 diversions [10] - 10:3, 14:4, 14:5, 18:10, 19:18, 19:19, 20:10, 21:14, 24:20, 26:8 doled [1] - 40:15 domain [1] - 34:9 domestic [1] - 32:19 done [2] - 19:3, 34:2 Donlan [1] - 15:20 down [10] - 21:2, 21:10, 23:19, 24:24, 25:8, 30:17, 36:1, 39:1, 39:6, 43:12 downsizing [1] - 17:10 dozen [3] - 27:13, 27:16, 27:17 draft [1] - 45:1 draw [2] - 18:21, 46:5 drawdown [1] - 20:15 driven [1] - 35:3 dry [1] - 18:22 dryer [1] - 18:18

# E

18:18, 18:22, 18:23, 38:14,

ducking [1] - 33:23

39:7, 39:15, 41:15

dynamics [1] - 10:15

east [3] - 7:5, 8:1, 28:20

during [11] - 11:2, 18:11,

eastern [1] - 32:25 economic [3] - 23:6, 24:11, 25:7 economically [1] - 25:15 economics [1] - 25:20 economy [1] - 27:6 effect [5] - 9:14, 14:8, 21:11, 23:17, 29:22 effectively [2] - 39:11, 41:10 effects [2] - 17:11, 25:20 effort [4] - 10:4, 11:4, 41:14, 44:24 efforts [5] - 9:18, 9:24, 10:1, 16:6, 44:22 EIR [2] - 45:1, 45:7 either [6] - 21:16, 28:3. 31:14, 31:24, 33:9, 43:19 either/or [1] - 36:2 elaborate [2] - 9:11, 39:9 elaboration [1] - 39:18 elected [1] - 23:14 email [3] - 43:19, 46:17, 47:1 end [5] - 14:3, 21:1, 23:10, 38:6, 41:16

engage [1] - 39:3 ensure [1] - 26:23 **enterprise** [1] - 4:19 entire [1] - 25:21 Entirely [1] - 15:24 entirely [1] - 34:9 entities [1] - 27:1 entity [3] - 7:14, 26:21, 32:14 environmental [2] - 17:11, 24:10 Environmental [2] - 14:10, 14:11 equally [1] - 26:14 equivalent [1] - 21:17 especially [1] - 42:17 essential [2] - 4:6, 19:8 et [3] - 11:17, 11:19, 16:24 events [1] - 47:7 everyday [1] - 24:12 examines [1] - 24:23 example [2] - 28:19, 43:19 exception [1] - 19:4 excess [2] - 18:11, 30:17 exchange [3] - 40:7, 40:22, 41:15 exchanged [1] - 40:5 Executive [1] - 15:10 existing [5] - 20:5, 20:6, 24:24, 26:10, 28:22 exists [1] - 30:20 expansion [1] - 24:24 expansions [1] - 25:3 expect[1] - 47:9 expense [1] - 33:12 expensive [1] - 33:12 expert [1] - 46:4 expertise [1] - 4:9 experts [1] - 42:10 explain [1] - 16:16 explained [1] - 30:14 express [2] - 20:13, 20:17 extend [2] - 13:16, 14:15 extended [1] - 14:25

## F

extraordinarily [1] - 16:25

extends [1] - 6:25

extension [1] - 41:16

extensive [1] - 5:18

face [1] - 29:11 facing [1] - 26:10 factors [1] - 15:7 facts [1] - 44:2 fail [1] - 34:14 failed [1] - 10:4 failure [2] - 28:25, 29:1 faith [3] - 34:11, 36:4, 41:14 fall [1] - 23:21

false [1] - 27:9 far [1] - 46:3 faster [1] - 18:6 favorable [1] - 16:3 federal [2] - 5:9, 14:21 feed [1] - 21:12 feet [8] - 39:11, 40:7, 40:8, 40:12, 40:14, 40:17, 41:8, 41:15 felt[1] - 20:18 fifth [1] - 20:7 file [2] - 47:1, 47:4 filing [2] - 4:13, 37:20 filtration [1] - 16:23 finalize [1] - 39:16 finalized [1] - 41:18 finally [1] - 9:20 financial [1] - 40:24 fine [5] - 20:25, 21:5, 39:19, 47:6, 47:24 fingers [1] - 24:1 finished [1] - 8:20 firefighter [1] - 11:23 first [6] - 9:6, 10:1, 24:8, 33:1, 38:21, 43:13 fits [1] - 27:25 five [6] - 11:22, 14:13, 19:12, 19:21, 19:23, 35:8 fixture [1] - 24:24 flow [1] - 25:13 flows [1] - 18:11 focus [1] - 44:24 follow [1] - 15:23 following [2] - 10:20, 38:17 foot [5] - 32:4, 39:12, 39:25, 41:15 forbid [1] - 29:1 forget [1] - 23:2 46:6

form [4] - 16:3, 22:16, 44:7, formally [1] - 19:14

formed [1] - 7:14 former[1] - 40:3 forms [1] - 31:10 Fort [2] - 40:3, 40:4 forth [1] - 37:17 forty [1] - 42:24 forward [1] - 6:18

foul [1] - 23:18 founded [1] - 37:7 four [2] - 6:12, 14:13

fourth [1] - 20:7 frame [1] - 32:8

framework [1] - 31:12 Francisco [1] - 11:25

frankly [1] - 9:9 free [2] - 46:16, 46:19

freight [1] - 30:19 Friday [2] - 43:9, 43:14 friend [2] - 10:7, 30:2 friends [1] - 12:21 fruitful [1] - 35:6 fruition [1] - 32:7 full [1] - 42:15 functions [1] - 31:15 fundamentally [1] - 41:6 funded [1] - 8:12 furthermore [1] - 35:21 future [2] - 8:8, 19:11

## G

gatekeeper [1] - 10:23

gather [1] - 38:5 general [2] - 10:8, 31:16 generally [4] - 5:14, 6:9, 30:13, 42:7 GERARD [2] - 15:19, 47:7 Gerard [1] - 15:19 glad [1] - 29:5 glossary [4] - 7:21, 14:3, 17:14, 46:23 golf [5] - 32:16, 39:23, 40:9, 40:15, 40:16 goodness [1] - 23:18 gradual [3] - 7:24, 8:8, 21:19 graduate [1] - 12:22 grasp[1] - 22:25 grateful [1] - 44:6 Great[1] - 16:15 greater [1] - 6:8 ground [4] - 4:11, 18:4, 18:15, 40:22 Ground [1] - 6:8 groundwater [19] - 4:25, 5:25, 7:2, 7:4, 7:25, 19:16, 27:25, 28:2, 28:7, 28:14, 28:15, 28:24, 30:11, 31:14, 31:15, 33:3, 40:21, 41:17, 45:5 Groundwater [5] - 6:7, 7:15, 17:15, 19:14, 31:11 grown [1] - 12:15 grumbles [1] - 34:16

# Н

32:10, 32:11, 32:13, 38:23

GSA [6] - 31:20, 31:24,

GWR [3] - 19:13, 19:23,

guess [1] - 13:6

22:20

habitat [3] - 9:15, 18:13, 18:23 Hall [1] - 24:13 hand [2] - 36:18, 36:19 handle [1] - 43:21 harmony [1] - 15:15 hat [1] - 26:19

hear [1] - 37:9 heard [1] - 24:5 hearing [4] - 5:8, 26:3, 44:24, 45:3 helpful [2] - 8:14, 46:25 hence [1] - 41:4 Hetchy [1] - 11:23 high [7] - 4:7, 12:20, 15:25, 16:25, 23:10, 28:4, 30:14 highly [6] - 16:22, 24:2, 25:5, 25:14, 28:14, 32:5 hills [1] - 18:14 home [1] - 25:1 honest[1] - 31:4 Honor [22] - 4:3, 5:13, 7:12, 10:19, 13:5, 13:10, 13:22, 17:21, 21:6, 27:19, 35:11, 38:4, 39:4, 39:21, 41:13, 43:11, 43:22, 44:14, 45:22, 46:12, 46:18, 47:14 Honor's [1] - 21:25 hookups [1] - 30:15 hope [2] - 14:23, 41:13 hopeful [3] - 4:17, 5:2, 31:10 hopefully [1] - 36:4 hopes [1] - 8:4 horse [1] - 21:4 hot [1] - 44:10 housing [3] - 23:9, 23:10 huge [1] - 5:9 hybrid [2] - 17:22 hydrogeologic [1] - 6:18 hydrogeologically [1] -28:23

hats [1] - 4:13

#### ı

illegal [1] - 14:4 illuminating [1] - 46:16 imbalance [1] - 23:9 immediate [1] - 22:2 **immediately** [1] - 29:22 impact [3] - 23:25, 25:7, 47:13 impacts [4] - 23:6, 25:12, 28:17, 29:15 implement [1] - 21:19 implication [2] - 7:4, 13:4 implications [1] - 29:2 important [4] - 27:7, 27:11, 38:15, 39:4 **importantly** [1] - 22:19 imported [1] - 11:18 impossibility [1] - 14:19 impregnated [1] - 5:18 include [3] - 19:13, 32:16, 47:8 including [2] - 11:16, 22:20 incurring [1] - 41:4

independent [1] - 36:6 indicated [1] - 37:19 individuals [1] - 10:6 inefficient [1] - 33:17 influence [1] - 7:6 inform [1] - 34:22 information [5] - 23:13, 38:5, 44:5, 44:7, 44:16 informed [3] - 42:8, 46:9, 47:12 infrastructure [1] - 19:5 inject [1] - 16:22 injected [3] - 16:24, 18:15, 18:16 injections [1] - 20:2 input [3] - 38:18, 42:17, 44:12 inside [1] - 33:10 insiders [1] - 29:16 **inspections** [1] - 29:25 instances [1] - 27:14 institutionally [1] - 28:22 intelligible [1] - 46:6 intending [1] - 22:11 intends [1] - 32:9 intention [6] - 7:7, 17:22, 26:12, 32:1, 35:13, 37:13 intentional [1] - 29:22 intentions [1] - 25:22 interacts [1] - 8:13 interchange [1] - 35:6 interdependent [1] - 28:14 interest [9] - 5:16, 5:18, 12:8, 26:24, 27:4, 27:5, 28:18, 36:7, 37:17 interested [2] - 37:12, 39:10 interesting [2] - 10:14, 10:15 interests [2] - 14:7, 37:14 interim [1] - 18:23 interlineations [1] - 42:6 interpret [1] - 31:3 interrelated [1] - 28:14 interrupt [1] - 15:22 interruptions [1] - 15:22 intervention [1] - 35:22 intrusion [4] - 6:21, 7:23, 29:12, 30:1 invitation [2] - 43:25, 46:8 inviting [2] - 5:12, 44:5 involve [1] - 29:14 involved [5] - 4:12, 27:12, 27:18, 34:6, 34:8 involvement [1] - 47:13 involves [1] - 16:21 issue [2] - 8:22, 46:16 issued [2] - 9:23, 24:17 issues [9] - 5:22, 7:22, 7:24, 8:1, 8:4, 8:6, 9:12, 27:22, 36:1

Item [1] - 8:18 items [2] - 21:1, 45:17 itself [2] - 10:20, 15:3

#### J

Jake [1] - 30:2 jerk [1] - 22:3 job [2] - 10:17, 35:5 joint [1] - 26:22 jointly [2] - 17:6, 27:3 Jose [1] - 34:6 judge [4] - 21:24, 34:20, 42:13, 46:10 Judge [10] - 4:21, 20:14, 22:11, 35:2, 35:14, 36:10, 38:2, 40:18, 41:23, 43:5 judge's [2] - 35:7, 42:5 judgements [1] - 43:3 judges [1] - 43:3 judgment [14] - 4:21, 20:20, 21:10, 21:15, 22:5, 30:1, 38:6, 39:3, 39:5, 39:14, 39:15, 42:4, 42:21, 42:25 judgments [1] - 42:22 judicial [3] - 5:15, 27:15, 47:13 judiciary [1] - 28:12 July [4] - 13:17, 15:14, 22:18, 26:4 June [2] - 22:23, 31:21 JUNE [1] - 4:1 jurisdiction [5] - 7:1, 10:23, 33:5, 33:6, 42:13

### K

Keeley [2] - 11:2, 11:3 keep [1] - 10:12 key [1] - 39:4 kind [1] - 31:6 knee [1] - 22:3 known [1] - 19:14

lack [1] - 35:6

## L

Laguna [9] - 6:15, 6:25, 8:1, 28:20, 30:24, 32:15, 32:21, 36:3, 38:23 Laredo [3] - 10:7, 14:17, 47:7 **LAREDO** [16] - 10:16, 13:10, 13:20, 14:18, 15:2, 15:17, 17:14, 18:3, 24:22, 26:5, 30:19, 38:11, 44:14, 44:19, 44:23, 46:22 Laredo's [1] - 17:6 large [2] - 17:13, 19:21 largely [1] - 19:13

largest [1] - 16:10

last [2] - 33:18, 42:23 laugh [1] - 12:20 law [11] - 5:9, 5:14, 7:17, 19:20, 27:14, 31:22, 31:23, 34:10, 34:13, 36:8, 42:13 layperson [1] - 42:8 least [2] - 29:21, 45:2 leave [1] - 26:13 led [1] - 28:8 left [2] - 10:7, 39:22 legal [2] - 19:19, 20:9 legalize [1] - 10:3 legged [3] - 16:11, 44:16, 47:10 legislation [1] - 11:4 legislative [2] - 5:8, 11:3 lengthy [1] - 41:22 lessen [1] - 18:21 letter [1] - 44:2 letters [1] - 44:1 level [7] - 4:7, 15:25, 24:24, 30:14, 30:17, 30:21, 37:5 levels [2] - 7:25, 26:8 lieu [2] - 40:16, 41:8 life [1] - 12:9 likely [5] - 8:7, 16:2, 31:18, 33:10, 38:1 limited [2] - 12:15, 13:12 limits [1] - 13:16 line [5] - 11:7, 18:5, 19:4, 36:1, 41:17 list [1] - 13:7 litigator [1] - 4:9 live [1] - 29:21 local [2] - 26:19, 31:12 locally [1] - 12:11 locations [2] - 11:14, 33:10 long-term [3] - 8:8, 22:2, 22:3 Look [1] - 23:8 look [5] - 11:5, 12:7, 12:23, 19:11, 37:4 looking [5] - 13:3, 32:12, 37:16, 43:9, 47:15 looks [2] - 32:20, 32:21 loose [1] - 28:7

# М

Lori [1] - 15:19

lowering [1] - 32:24

lowest [1] - 30:17

main [1] - 47:20 maintain [3] - 4:18, 21:21, 21:23 manage [1] - 28:16 managed [2] - 6:9, 30:16 Management [10] - 7:19, 10:2, 10:8, 15:5, 17:7, 24:22, 26:18, 30:10, 31:11,

management [16] - 4:10, 4:24, 8:19, 9:2, 24:15, 27:25, 28:7, 28:11, 28:22, 28:24, 31:15, 32:20, 32:21, 36:15, 36:16, 36:17 managing [3] - 4:6, 18:21, 28:12 manufacture [1] - 28:23 March [4] - 43:9, 43:14, 44:15, 46:24 marching [1] - 36:18 Marina [2] - 40:5, 40:6 master [2] - 30:7, 35:5 match [1] - 20:20 materials [2] - 46:12, 46:13 matter [5] - 12:7, 15:14, 25:5, 47:15, 48:3 mayor [1] - 23:7 Mayor's [1] - 26:21 McCLOTHLIN [1] - 10:18 McGlothin [1] - 10:16 McGlothlin [89] - 4:3, 4:4, 5:13, 5:21, 6:2, 6:6, 6:17, 7:2, 7:12, 7:18, 7:22, 8:17, 8:25, 9:22, 10:14, 12:4, 12:10, 12:14, 13:5, 13:22, 13:25, 15:24, 16:15, 17:4, 17:21, 17:25, 18:8, 19:2, 20:1, 20:6, 20:16, 20:19, 20:23, 20:25, 21:5, 21:23, 22:8, 23:3, 24:3, 24:7, 24:14, 25:14, 25:18, 25:25, 26:6, 27:17, 27:19, 27:23, 28:9, 29:3, 29:5, 29:9, 29:19, 30:2, 30:6, 30:9, 30:23, 31:2, 31:9, 31:23, 33:16, 33:20, 33:23, 34:23, 35:11, 35:20, 36:14, 37:11, 38:3, 38:10, 38:13, 39:20, 40:11, 40:14, 42:4, 42:21, 43:5, 43:11, 43:14, 43:17, 43:22, 45:15, 45:19, 45:22, 46:11, 46:18, 47:3, 47:14, 47:22 Meadows [1] - 11:24 mean [3] - 9:10, 33:13, 33:21 meaningful [1] - 16:9 means [3] - 13:8, 25:8, 43:6 meet [5] - 25:9, 35:15, 38:16, 44:6, 45:16 meeting [1] - 5:11

meetings [3] - 45:14, 45:18,

members [2] - 5:1, 8:13

mention [1] - 30:23

met [1] - 26:9

micro [1] - 16:23

mid [1] - 38:10

memorandum [1] - 40:18

45:25

mid-December [1] - 38:10 might [3] - 23:22, 29:11, 37:9 Miguel [1] - 11:23 milestones [1] - 26:9 mind [3] - 16:12, 23:15, 34:21 misspoke [1] - 6:3 mistake [1] - 6:3 misunderstand [1] - 44:1 mix [1] - 17:24 modification [2] - 47:17, 47:23 modify [1] - 13:16 modifying [1] - 43:20 molecules [2] - 40:8, 40:10 moment [2] - 21:16, 37:16 9:4, 11:11, 15:4, 17:6, 17:7, 19:15, 26:19, 27:3, 45:6 most [5] - 12:8, 13:11, 18:22, 28:2, 31:18 mostly [1] - 42:23 MR [105] - 4:3, 5:13, 5:21, 6:2, 6:6, 6:17, 7:2, 7:12, 7:18, 7:22, 8:17, 8:25, 9:22, 10:14, 10:16, 10:18, 12:4, 12:10, 12:14, 13:5, 13:10, 13:20, 13:22, 13:25, 14:18, 15:2, 15:17, 15:24, 16:15, 17:4, 17:14, 17:21, 17:25, 18:3, 18:8, 19:2, 20:1, 20:6, 20:16, 20:19, 20:23, 20:25, 21:5, 21:23, 22:8, 23:3, 24:3, 24:7, 24:14, 24:22, 25:14, 25:18, 25:25, 26:5, 26:6, 27:17, 27:19, 27:23, 28:9, 29:3, 29:5, 29:9, 29:19, 30:2, 30:6, 30:9, 30:19, 30:23, 31:2, 31:9, 31:23, 33:16, 33:20, 33:23, 34:23, 35:11, 35:20, 36:14, 37:11, 38:3, 38:10, 38:11, 38:13, 39:20, 40:11, 40:14, 42:4, 42:21, 43:5, 43:11, 43:14, 43:17, 43:22, 44:14, 44:19, 44:23, 45:15, 45:19, 45:22, 46:11, 46:18, 46:22, 47:3, 47:14, 47:22

MRWPC [1] - 45:6 MS [2] - 15:19, 47:7 multiple [1] - 14:20 municipal [2] - 11:1, 41:2 muscle [1] - 42:14 must [5] - 8:2, 9:24, 14:21, 31:13, 41:24 mutual [1] - 32:18

## N

National [1] - 14:11 native [1] - 19:16 nature [1] - 23:13 near [1] - 8:8 necessarily [3] - 14:24, 29:14, 32:13 necessary [8] - 18:12, 18:19, 35:15, 35:24, 41:11, 41:13, 41:19, 46:13 need [17] - 5:23, 9:9, 14:25, 21:21, 21:23, 23:9, 23:10, 23:11, 23:22, 24:9, 27:4, 37:21, 38:25, 39:15, 46:7, 47:11, 47:12 needed [2] - 11:9, 40:15 needs [8] - 23:11, 24:10, 24:11, 28:13, 28:21, 31:20, 32:7, 42:9 negotiate [3] - 32:9, 32:14, 36:4 negotiated [1] - 34:16 new [27] - 9:10, 9:25, 10:2, 11:8, 11:15, 16:6, 16:17, 19:22, 20:7, 21:17, 22:10, 23:11, 23:19, 23:23, 23:24, 24:17, 24:18, 25:6, 26:17, 26:24, 27:14, 30:15, 33:11, 33:13, 36:2, 44:2, 44:5 next[12] - 6:20, 7:14, 16:3, 19:23, 21:9, 22:15, 22:24, 31:20, 38:3, 45:12, 47:25 nice [1] - 9:17 nightmares [1] - 29:10 nobody [2] - 5:9, 29:17 nonetheless [2] - 7:25, 36:12 north [3] - 6:23, 34:7, 40:2 nose [1] - 46:3 note [1] - 12:24 nothing [2] - 10:15, 26:11 notice [3] - 22:13, 23:21, 43:16 noticed [1] - 11:24

## 0

observation [1] - 37:11 observations [1] - 24:7 obtain [1] - 9:25

novo [2] - 35:19, 35:20

obvious [2] - 25:18, 26:12 obviously [1] - 35:1 occupancy [1] - 25:16 occur [4] - 27:22, 29:15, 33:1, 45:19 occurred [1] - 45:18 occurring [3] - 6:22, 19:3 officials [2] - 23:14, 23:22 often [1] - 6:9 Often [1] - 34:15 on-site [1] - 25:1 one [10] - 9:19, 18:3, 23:4, 24:16, 27:25, 28:3, 34:5, 34:16, 37:11, 39:21 ones [2] - 6:13, 19:22 ongoing [2] - 23:16, 27:15 oOo[1] - 48:5 open [1] - 5:5 operates [2] - 39:23, 41:3 operational [1] - 42:19 opinion [2] - 17:3, 42:20 opportunities [3] - 23:12, 23:23, 23:24 opposing [1] - 12:3 Ord [2] - 40:3, 40:4 order[13] - 7:1, 8:16, 8:23, 9:6, 12:25, 13:12, 13:15, 15:3, 20:14, 22:17, 24:17, 24:19, 35:3 Order [4] - 9:8, 9:23, 14:2, 15:13 orders [1] - 35:7 original [1] - 21:13 osmosis [1] - 16:23 otherwise [2] - 37:22, 41:9 ourselves [1] - 39:5 outside [2] - 28:6, 33:11 outsiders [1] - 29:14 outstanding [1] - 43:18 overarching [2] - 5:21, 8:20 overdraft [4] - 28:4, 32:2, 32:23, 33:7 overdrafted [2] - 32:4, 32:6 overlap [1] - 7:9 overlapping [1] - 31:17 overlay [1] - 34:24 oversight [1] - 35:23 Owens [1] - 11:19 own [1] - 43:20

# Р

parameters [2] - 21:15, 26:2 part [6] - 20:11, 22:24, 29:20, 38:2, 44:20, 44:21 participants [1] - 23:22 participation [1] - 45:3 particular [3] - 15:8, 24:16, 46:15 particularly [5] - 4:10, 10:7,

32:24, 38:14, 46:15 parties [12] - 5:7, 5:16, 13:13, 14:8, 30:22, 35:15, 36:4, 42:5, 42:17, 43:2, 44:8, 44:9 partly [1] - 11:21 partner [1] - 4:5 parts [1] - 35:1 party [6] - 5:17, 15:5, 15:8, 35:17, 37:8, 37:16 passed [2] - 15:10, 28:10 passing [1] - 5:8 pause [1] - 11:10 pen [1] - 43:6 pending [1] - 13:14 Peninsula [6] - 9:4, 11:12, 15:4, 17:6, 25:21, 26:20 peninsula [8] - 9:13, 10:10, 11:1, 11:13, 19:12, 19:22, 22:15, 38:16 people [6] - 5:6, 12:7, 25:10, 34:12, 34:14, 34:15 per [2] - 39:13 percent [3] - 10:25, 21:12, 21:19 perfectly [1] - 17:4 performed [1] - 13:13 period [4] - 14:15, 18:24, 39:7, 41:16 periods [2] - 18:11, 18:18 permission [3] - 4:15, 19:7, permit [1] - 18:13 permitting [1] - 24:23 perpetual [3] - 21:22, 21:24, 22:7 person [1] - 37:4 perspective [2] - 14:22, 16:1 perspectives [1] - 8:21 petition [3] - 20:13, 37:9, 38:1 phone [1] - 15:20 physical [1] - 36:16 picture [1] - 27:24 piece [1] - 27:8 pipeline [3] - 19:9, 33:19, 33:20 places [2] - 13:23, 42:25 plan [4] - 18:6, 29:22, 32:7, 33:3 plans [1] - 17:18 plant[1] - 45:1 players [2] - 8:14, 27:10 pleased [2] - 4:5, 4:17 plumbing [1] - 11:13 point [3] - 8:10, 8:14, 23:1 pointing [1] - 24:1 poised [1] - 15:14 police [1] - 31:16

Policy [1] - 14:11 policy [2] - 24:9, 36:12 politics [2] - 10:10, 12:7 Pollution [2] - 17:8, 45:7 popular [1] - 11:9 population [2] - 9:16, 12:15 portfolio [2] - 17:12, 17:22 portion [1] - 32:25 portions [1] - 23:4 position [4] - 15:11, 34:9, 34:18, 37:25 possibility [1] - 29:1 post[1] - 19:11 potential [5] - 7:23, 21:8, 22:1, 31:4, 39:15 powers [2] - 26:22, 31:17 practice [2] - 29:8, 36:11 premise [1] - 11:8 prepare [2] - 43:16, 47:1 present[1] - 46:8 presentation [1] - 8:16 presently [5] - 4:24, 19:6, 25:10, 26:8 pressing [2] - 21:4, 23:11 pretty [1] - 8:20 previously [2] - 39:9, 43:3 pride [1] - 27:9 primary [1] - 9:13 principal [2] - 5:14, 5:22 problem [3] - 7:9, 8:7, 29:13 problems [3] - 5:23, 8:8, 22:2 procedure [1] - 18:20 procedures [3] - 14:20, 22:4, 34:14 proceed [2] - 34:11, 38:4 proceeding [5] - 13:14, 15:5, 42:2, 42:10, 45:6 proceedings [3] - 22:3, 37:7, 44:3 process [11] - 4:8, 11:2, 14:12, 24:2, 26:23, 27:4, 28:5, 28:6, 32:8, 33:8, 35:12 produce [3] - 38:5, 39:24, 40:21 producer [1] - 39:24 producers [1] - 39:21 producing [1] - 40:17 product [1] - 42:4 production [4] - 7:5, 33:10, 36:2, 40:17 program [3] - 24:23, 41:7, 41:17 progressive [1] - 12:1 Project [2] - 17:16, 19:15 project [11] - 10:21, 16:6, 16:8, 16:18, 16:21, 17:5, 17:10, 18:2, 18:4, 20:19,

45:8 projects [3] - 22:20, 22:21, 39:17 promised [1] - 45:1 promptly [1] - 35:23 promulgated [1] - 33:4 proper [4] - 24:9, 31:19, 34:3, 36:19 property [1] - 40:6 propose [1] - 38:20 proposed [1] - 38:3 prospect [2] - 24:18, 24:21 protection [1] - 37:1 provide [3] - 38:22, 44:13, 45:23 provided [2] - 19:5, 40:23 provider [1] - 10:25 prudence [1] - 38:25 prudent [1] - 38:14 public [10] - 5:5, 5:9, 5:18, 12:9, 17:3, 23:13, 24:9, 26:24, 36:7, 45:3 Public [7] - 10:22, 14:6, 15:9, 15:11, 19:7, 27:7, 45:2 pump[1] - 19:8 pumping [1] - 6:14 Purchase [1] - 17:8 purchased [1] - 41:7 Pure [1] - 19:15 purpose [2] - 5:11, 22:6 purposes [1] - 17:14 purveyor [2] - 40:2, 40:3 push/pull [1] - 34:24 put [5] - 10:2, 21:1, 42:10,

## Q

43:12, 46:3

quality [2] - 12:4, 17:1
Quality [1] - 14:10
quantity [4] - 20:8, 21:17, 39:6, 39:9
quarter [2] - 38:21, 43:13
questions [5] - 21:7, 29:6, 30:15, 38:18, 46:9
quite [2] - 12:3, 12:23
quorums [1] - 28:4

## R

raised [1] - 21:8 ramp [5] - 17:19, 21:10, 25:8, 39:1, 39:6 rampdown [1] - 21:20 Randall [6] - 4:21, 22:11, 35:2, 35:14, 40:18, 43:5 Randall's [4] - 20:14, 36:10, 38:2, 41:23 Rather [1] - 4:25 rational [1] - 34:17 reach [2] - 8:15, 11:13 reaction [1] - 22:3 read [4] - 9:3, 27:12, 27:16, 42:21 real [1] - 29:13 really [6] - 6:13, 9:9, 24:21, 35:16, 37:1, 47:16 reasonable [1] - 34:18 reasonably [2] - 35:8, 42:18 reasons [1] - 26:9 recalcitrance [1] - 14:24 recap [1] - 9:21 receive [3] - 38:17, 42:17, 44:7 recent[1] - 13:12 recognition [1] - 28:10 recognize [1] - 41:13 recognized [1] - 15:9 recognizing [1] - 23:7 recommendation [2] - 37:2, 37:6 recommendations [1] - 35:9 Record [1] - 18:14 record [1] - 47:20 recovery [7] - 18:9, 18:16, 18:18, 19:10, 19:16, 20:1, 22:22 recycled [1] - 16:20 reduce [2] - 29:20, 29:23 reduced [2] - 26:10, 36:2 reducing [2] - 25:10, 33:10 reduction [2] - 21:13, 21:19 refer [1] - 7:20 referred [10] - 6:3, 6:9, 6:15, 7:15, 16:10, 17:15, 18:8, 19:10, 26:21, 39:2 refuse [1] - 34:14 regard [1] - 20:22 region [1] - 25:21 Regional [3] - 17:7, 26:20, regular [2] - 35:23, 45:13 regularly [1] - 45:19 regulated [1] - 25:5 regulates [1] - 25:3 regulations [1] - 14:22 regulatory [2] - 7:9, 34:24 reinvent [1] - 43:1 related [2] - 5:7, 6:18 relationship [1] - 34:24 relative [1] - 45:16 reliance [1] - 17:20 relief [5] - 15:1, 21:9, 39:1, 39:12, 41:15 relieve [1] - 39:5 remain [1] - 17:23 reminds [1] - 29:6

remove [1] - 24:20

repeat [1] - 43:14

replenish [1] - 41:14 replenished [1] - 41:9 Replenishment [2] - 17:16, 19:14 replenishment [7] - 17:19, 18:4, 18:15, 41:1, 41:4, 41:17, 45:5 report [13] - 9:3, 23:4, 37:20, 37:23, 38:5, 38:17, 45:12, 46:15, 47:4, 47:6, 47:8, 47:25 reporter [1] - 48:1 reporting [1] - 47:20 reports [3] - 27:13, 45:20, 45:24 represented [1] - 4:14 representing [1] - 4:16 request [2] - 16:20, 21:9 requesting [1] - 46:20 require [5] - 11:4, 19:1, 34:19, 36:10, 46:2 required [1] - 43:20 requires [2] - 34:10, 38:6 resolute [1] - 12:3 resolution [1] - 15:10 resort [1] - 33:18 Resource [2] - 9:7, 22:17 resource [2] - 4:6, 4:10 resources [1] - 37:24 Resources [11] - 7:11, 7:13, 9:23, 12:24, 13:11, 13:15, 13:17, 18:14, 30:9, 33:2, 44:21 respect [4] - 4:24, 8:18, 36:10, 38:23 respectful [1] - 44:2 response [3] - 27:6, 30:15 responsibilities [4] - 23:15, 23:21, 30:11, 40:25 responsibility [1] - 33:6 responsible [2] - 27:10, 45:10 rest [2] - 11:12, 11:15 restaurant [2] - 25:4 reverse [1] - 16:23 reversion [1] - 20:2 review [5] - 19:1, 19:2, 35:19, 35:20, 36:13 revised [2] - 22:16, 26:2 revisit [1] - 13:15 rewrote [1] - 43:7 rid [1] - 12:2 rights [1] - 5:17 rigorous [1] - 37:5 riparian [3] - 9:14, 18:12, 18:23

River [11] - 9:12, 10:3, 11:8, 11:19, 14:5, 18:11, 18:22, 19:19, 20:2, 20:10, 27:5

robust [1] - 12:9

role [1] - 35:17

room [2] - 5:8, 24:4

runaway [1] - 30:19

rural [1] - 32:18

Russell [1] - 4:3

## S

safe [6] - 19:18, 20:8, 20:9, 21:13, 21:14 Salinas [5] - 6:8, 6:24, 31:19, 32:11, 34:2 San [2] - 11:25, 34:6 satellite [1] - 32:17 satisfied [1] - 44:9 **satisfy** [1] - 44:8 saw [1] - 17:17 schedule [1] - 43:20 scheduled [3] - 21:9, 26:4, 45:1 school [1] - 12:20 science [1] - 17:2 scope [1] - 16:13 scratched [1] - 43:7 screws [2] - 26:13, 35:2 se [1] - 39:13 sea [4] - 6:21, 7:23, 29:12, 32:5 Seaside [19] - 4:14, 6:6, 9:2, 16:21, 16:24, 18:17, 19:16, 20:3, 20:4, 20:8, 27:5, 28:3, 39:22, 39:23, 40:1, 40:20, 40:23, 40:24, 41:3 seats [1] - 25:3 Seca [9] - 6:15, 6:25, 8:1, 28:20, 30:24, 32:16, 32:21, 36:3, 38:23 Seca-Corral [1] - 36:3 Section [1] - 5:19 see [6] - 8:14, 16:3, 35:4, 42:24, 46:12, 46:15 seek [1] - 35:22 seeking [1] - 19:6 seem [1] - 42:17 semantically [1] - 39:3 Senator [2] - 11:2, 11:3 send [1] - 46:16 sense [3] - 12:19, 13:1, 14:20 sent[1] - 46:20 separate [1] - 41:2 separately [1] - 6:9 September [1] - 45:4 serious [1] - 25:12 serves [1] - 40:3

service [1] - 10:24

ripple [1] - 25:20

24:20

river [5] - 11:6, 12:16, 12:18,

set [4] - 38:20, 42:9, 43:10, 45:3 several [3] - 9:18, 27:19, 34:7 SGMA [2] - 14:1, 31:11 shortage [1] - 25:19 shorter [1] - 32:1 shot [1] - 33:24 show [1] - 25:1 shutting [1] - 23:19 side [2] - 27:25, 36:20 Sierras [1] - 11:18 sign [1] - 11:25 **signature** [1] - 37:2 significant [4] - 4:18, 44:15, 44:25, 45:11 similar [2] - 27:21, 42:24 simply [1] - 44:1 single [1] - 32:13 site [1] - 25:1 sitting [2] - 22:23, 39:22 situation [3] - 11:11, 26:11, 26:16 size [2] - 18:1, 27:25 skeleton [1] - 42:9 slower [1] - 23:23 smile [1] - 12:6 social [2] - 10:15, 28:4 solution [1] - 34:16 someone [2] - 23:17, 37:5 sometime [1] - 37:23 sometimes [4] - 12:8, 16:10, 19:10, 26:20 somewhat [1] - 31:25 sophisticated [1] - 18:20 sordid [1] - 10:10 sorts [1] - 30:17 sound [1] - 29:8 sounds [2] - 14:19, 30:13 source [1] - 9:13 sources [4] - 11:17, 19:12, 19:21, 34:4 Southern [2] - 11:16, 42:23 speaking [1] - 6:10 special [2] - 30:6, 35:5 species [1] - 9:15 specifically [5] - 7:13, 9:15, 16:5, 27:14, 39:5 spirit[1] - 39:14 spoil [2] - 29:17, 29:19 stack [1] - 22:15 stacks [1] - 13:6 staff [6] - 8:12, 8:13, 30:3, 30:10, 45:20, 45:24 staircase [3] - 21:25, 22:1 stake [1] - 5:16 stakeholder [1] - 34:25 stakeholders [6] - 7:8, 27:2, 31:18, 32:15, 37:13, 44:6

standard [1] - 41:3 standing [1] - 12:21 Stanford [1] - 11:22 starts [1] - 35:12 State [15] - 9:7, 9:9, 9:22, 9:23, 11:3, 13:11, 13:14, 13:16, 15:12, 15:13, 16:1, 18:13, 22:17, 26:12, 44:20 state [11] - 11:12, 11:13, 11:16, 14:14, 14:22, 19:20, 25:8, 27:6, 31:13, 31:22, 31:23 State's [1] - 35:1 status [3] - 31:25, 38:21, 45:12 steelhead [1] - 9:16 stems [1] - 5:18 steps [1] - 38:4 still [1] - 36:22 stipulation [2] - 36:7, 42:5 stipulations [2] - 36:5, 36:9 stool [3] - 16:11, 44:17, 47:10 stools [1] - 25:4 storage [8] - 10:2, 18:9, 18:16, 19:10, 19:15, 20:1, 22:21, 29:20 stored [2] - 39:11, 41:11 stratigraphy [1] - 7:2 structure [4] - 8:19, 35:13, 36:16, 36:17 structures [1] - 24:25 stuck [1] - 16:12 **sub** [28] - 5:24, 6:1, 6:2, 6:4, 6:5, 6:7, 6:11, 6:12, 6:14, 6:15, 6:19, 6:23, 6:24, 7:23, 28:20, 29:13, 29:23, 30:24, 31:19, 32:10, 32:13, 32:16, 32:21, 32:25, 38:23, 38:24 sub-area [13] - 6:14, 6:15, 6:19, 7:23, 28:20, 29:13, 29:23, 30:24, 32:10, 32:16, 32:21, 38:23, 38:24 sub-areas [2] - 6:4, 6:12 sub-basin [3] - 6:7, 6:11, 6.12 sub-basins [6] - 5:24, 6:1, 6:4, 6:5, 6:23, 6:24 subject [3] - 21:8, 24:5, 24:15 subjects [1] - 22:12 submitted [1] - 36:13 substantiated [1] - 37:4 substantive [1] - 45:17 subterranean [1] - 12:17 suffer [2] - 21:17, 21:18 **sufficient** [1] - 37:3 summers [1] - 11:22 supervision [3] - 23:16,

27:11, 27:15 supplies [6] - 9:10, 20:7, 26:17, 26:25, 28:15, 36:3 supply [22] - 9:5, 9:13, 9:25, 11:15, 12:12, 12:16, 16:6, 16:8, 16:17, 18:21, 21:22, 21:24, 22:20, 23:16, 23:25, 25:9, 26:1, 29:18, 29:19, 39:17, 40:8, 40:22 support [1] - 36:5 supported [1] - 17:12 surface [1] - 28:16 surprise [1] - 22:7 sustainability [2] - 31:14, 33:3 Sustainability [1] - 7:15 sustainable [1] - 28:24 Sustainable [2] - 7:19, 31:11 sustained [1] - 12:11 **SWMA** [1] - 7:20 synopsis [1] - 9:18 system [3] - 32:17, 34:13, 41:2

T

table [2] - 33:24, 34:11 tables [1] - 32:24 takeaway [1] - 15:25 talks [1] - 23:5 teacher [1] - 12:20 technical [2] - 45:25, 46:1 technically [2] - 6:7, 15:3 Technically [1] - 6:10 ten [2] - 21:12, 21:19 tenor[1] - 43:25 tent[1] - 46:4 term [4] - 8:8, 22:2, 22:3, 40:10 terminology [1] - 42:24 terms [6] - 13:12, 20:13, 20:17, 39:13, 41:24, 42:2 text [1] - 44:7 THE [99] - 5:4, 5:20, 6:1, 6:5, 6:16, 6:25, 7:10, 7:17, 7:21, 8:10, 8:22, 9:17, 10:12, 11:21, 12:6, 12:13, 12:19, 13:8, 13:19, 13:21, 13:24, 14:16, 14:19, 15:15, 15:18, 15:21, 16:12, 17:2, 17:17, 17:23, 18:7, 19:1, 19:25, 20:4, 20:11, 20:17, 20:21, 20:24, 21:3, 21:21, 22:4, 23:1, 23:4, 24:6, 24:12, 25:12, 25:17, 25:24, 26:3, 27:9, 27:18, 27:21, 28:8, 28:25, 29:4, 29:8, 29:17, 29:24, 30:5, 30:8, 30:13, 30:25, 31:6, 31:22, 33:13, 33:19, 33:21, 34:5,

35:5, 35:19, 36:9, 36:24, 37:15, 38:9, 38:12, 39:18, 40:10, 40:13, 41:20, 42:7, 43:4, 43:8, 43:12, 43:15, 43:18, 43:23, 44:18, 44:20, 45:13, 45:18, 45:20, 46:2, 46:14, 46:19, 46:25, 47:5, 47:9, 47:18, 47:24 themselves [1] - 35:15 third [3] - 18:7, 18:8, 21:20 thoughts [1] - 24:3 threatened [1] - 9:15 three [6] - 16:11, 19:22, 27:1, 39:7, 44:16, 47:10 three-legged [3] - 16:11, 44:16, 47:10 three-year [1] - 39:7 Tierra [8] - 28:19, 31:1, 31:19, 32:3, 32:10, 33:4, 36:3, 38:24 tighten [1] - 26:13 tightened [1] - 26:14 tightening [1] - 35:2 timelines [1] - 14:25 today [3] - 35:21, 38:19, 39:23 together [7] - 8:15, 24:10, 26:18, 32:20, 42:10, 46:6, 47:11 took [1] - 43:6 top [1] - 13:7 total [1] - 40:7 totally [1] - 31:7 touch [1] - 47:16 tourism [1] - 25:15 towards [3] - 9:19, 13:7, 41:16 traffic [1] - 34:8 train [1] - 30:20 transcript [2] - 47:19, 48:2 transient [1] - 25:15 transparent [2] - 5:10, 33:8 tread [1] - 23:5 treated [3] - 16:22, 32:5 tremendous [1] - 30:21 tri [1] - 21:9 tri-annual [1] - 21:9 tried [1] - 10:20 triennial [1] - 20:14 trucked [2] - 33:15, 33:17 trust [1] - 30:21 try [2] - 29:11, 42:7

trying [4] - 15:23, 17:19,

two [7] - 6:1, 6:13, 16:17,

type [2] - 26:11, 27:21

27:17, 31:9, 32:1, 39:23

26:16, 34:21

written [3] - 41:22, 42:22, voting [1] - 8:13 U 43:1 ubiquitous [1] - 27:24 W Υ ultimate [1] - 44:19 wait [1] - 35:8 ultimately [2] - 11:4, 35:16 year [16] - 7:15, 13:18, 14:4, waivers [1] - 4:15 ultraviolet [1] - 16:23 21:11, 21:20, 22:18, 22:24, wants [1] - 29:17 unauthorized [2] - 14:5, 30:3, 31:21, 37:25, 38:4, Water [30] - 6:8, 7:11, 7:13, 24:20 38:6, 39:7, 45:2 7:19, 9:7, 9:23, 10:2, 10:8, under [13] - 7:17, 7:19, 14:1, years [12] - 12:21, 12:23, 12:24, 13:11, 13:14, 13:17, 19:20, 20:17, 21:14, 26:10, 12:25, 14:13, 19:23, 22:15, 15:4, 17:7, 17:8, 18:13, 28:11, 29:21, 31:22, 31:23, 32:1, 35:8, 39:16, 40:16, 19:15, 22:17, 24:22, 26:18, 46:3 42:24 26:20, 30:9, 30:10, 33:2, underflow [1] - 20:10 yield [4] - 19:18, 20:9, 21:13, 40:5, 40:6, 44:20, 45:6, underlying [1] - 46:12 21:14 45:8 underneath [1] - 33:23 Yosemite [1] - 11:22 water [77] - 4:10, 4:11, 5:14, understandable [1] - 15:24 5:15, 6:21, 7:23, 9:5, 9:10, yourself [1] - 11:11 understood [2] - 24:14, 29:9 9:13, 9:25, 10:10, 11:15, undertaken [1] - 17:5 11:18, 12:11, 12:15, 13:2, unduly [1] - 23:25 16:6, 16:8, 16:17, 16:20, unfortunately [1] - 10:4 17:1, 17:12, 18:4, 18:6, unique [1] - 11:10 18:9, 18:14, 18:15, 18:16, units [1] - 23:20 19:12, 19:16, 19:17, 19:21, unless [1] - 45:16 20:8, 21:11, 21:17, 22:20, unlikely [1] - 33:2 23:16, 23:19, 23:25, 24:15, up [10] - 9:9, 11:23, 17:19, 24:18, 25:2, 25:6, 25:9, 21:7, 22:15, 31:8, 34:21, 25:11, 25:19, 26:1, 26:10, 37:22, 40:17, 42:9 26:17, 26:24, 27:2, 28:16, update [1] - 38:22 28:24, 29:12, 29:23, 31:15, updates [1] - 44:8 32:5, 32:18, 32:24, 33:11, uplifting [1] - 10:12 33:13, 33:22, 34:2, 36:2, upwards [1] - 14:13 37:24, 38:15, 39:17, 39:24, urban [1] - 11:1 40:2, 40:3, 40:8, 40:14, urgency [1] - 13:2 40:20, 40:22, 41:2, 41:8 usage [1] - 30:18 Watermaster [26] - 4:4, 4:16, users [1] - 32:19 4:21, 4:25, 8:3, 8:12, uses [1] - 28:16 23:18, 30:5, 30:6, 32:8, usurp [1] - 27:14 35:12, 35:14, 35:18, 35:21, Utilities [7] - 10:22, 14:7, 36:15, 37:12, 40:19, 40:20, 15:9, 15:12, 19:7, 27:7, 40:24, 41:7, 41:12, 45:14, 45:2 45:15, 46:5, 46:14, 46:23 utility [2] - 10:24 Watermaster's [1] - 35:4 ways [1] - 18:17 V wear[1] - 26:19 welcome [1] - 46:11 vacuum [1] - 9:3 wells [3] - 18:17, 30:3 Valley [7] - 6:8, 6:24, 11:14, wet [2] - 40:8, 40:10 11:17, 31:19, 32:11, 34:3 wheel [2] - 43:1, 43:6 variety [1] - 34:3 whereby [1] - 40:20 various [3] - 26:9, 30:11, whole [1] - 8:22 31:17 willing [1] - 45:23 verbatim [1] - 42:25 winter [1] - 18:11 versa [2] - 28:18, 28:21 wise [1] - 4:20 viable [1] - 24:21 withdrawals [1] - 29:23 vice [2] - 28:18, 28:20 wonderful [1] - 10:16 view [1] - 42:12 word [3] - 4:19, 21:18, 28:23 vigilance [1] - 30:14 words [2] - 20:20, 33:14 vigor [1] - 42:15 works [1] - 8:12 virtue [1] - 14:24 worn [1] - 4:13 visit [1] - 25:17 writing [1] - 34:5 vote [2] - 10:4, 35:16

		1	ON BEHALF OF CALIFORNIA AMERICAN WATER:
		2	LORI GERARD, ESQ.
1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	1	Cal-Am Water Company
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF MONTEREY	3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3	***************************************		Pacific Grove, CA 93950
4	CALIFORNIA AMERICAN WATER,	4	Email: Lori.girard@am.water.com
5	Plaintiff,		
6	vs. Case No. M66343	5	ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS, LLP
7	CITY OF SEASIDE, et al.,	6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
8	Defendants.		2600 Capitol Avenue, suite 400
9	Delendants.	7	Sacramento, CA 95816
10	MONTEREY PENINSULA WATER	1 .	TEL: (916) 447-2166
11	MANAGEMENT DISTRICT,	8	red@eslawfirm.com
12	Intervenor.	9	
13	MONTEREY COUNTY WATER RESOURCES		000
14	AGENCY,	10	
15	Intervenor,	11	
16	AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS	12	
17		13	
18		18	
19	BEFORE THE HONORABLE LESLIE C. NICHOLS	16	
20	DEPARTMENT 13	17	
21	JUNE 17, 2016	18	
22	9:40 A.M.	19	
23	000	21	
24		22	2
25		23	
		24	
	1	25	3
1 2	APPEARANCES ON BEHALF OF THE SEASIDE BASIN WATERMASTER:	1	MONTEREY, CALIFORNIA, JUNE 17, 2016
3	BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK BY: RUSSELL M. MCGLOTHLIN, ESQ.		9:39 A.M.
4	1020 State Street	09:39:33	MR. McGLOTHLIN: Your Honor, again, Russell
5	Santa Barbara, CA 93101 TEL: (805) 963-7000	09:39:35	McGlothlin on behalf of the Watermaster. It's we're
6	Email: rmcglothlin@bhfs.com	09:39:40	very pleased to have you with us and as a partner in
	ON DELIALE OF THE MONTEDEV DENINGHIA WATER MANAGEMENT	09:39:43	
7	ON BEHALF OF THE MONTEREY PENINSULA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT:	09:39:46	
8	DE LAY & LAREDO		, , , ,
9	BY: DAVID LAREDO, ESQ. 606 Forest Avenue	09:39:50	
10	Pacific Grove, CA 93950	09:39:56	
11	TEL: (831) 646-1502 Email: Dave@laredolaw.net	09:40:00 10	
12		09:40:00 1	
13	ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF SEASIDE:	09:40:06 12	I have been involved in this adjudication since
	LAW OFFICES OF PERRY & FREEMAN	09:40:10 13	the filing of the complaint. I've worn different hats.
14	BY: DONALD FREEMAN, ESQ. P.O. BOX 805	09:40:11 14	I've represented the City of Seaside, and, with the
15	CARMEL, CA 93921 TEL: (831) 624-5339	09:40:14	permission of the city, appropriate conflict waivers,
16	Email: klglegal@hotmail.com	09:40:18 10	I'm now representing the Watermaster.
17		09:40:21 1	I am pleased, and I am hopeful to say that they
18	ON BEHALF OF THE MONTEREY PENISULA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT:	09:40:24	
19	(Appearing telephonically)	09:40:30	
	ANTHONY LOMBARDO & ASSOCIATES	_	
20	Anthony Lombardo, Esq. 450 Lincoln Avenue, Suite 101	09:40:34 20	
21	Salinas, CA 93901 TEL: (831) 751-2330	09:40:37 2	
22	Email: tony@alombardolaw.com	09:40:41 2:	
23		09:40:42 2	There is I am not aware of any active
24		09:40:44 24	conflict presently with respect to the management of the
25		09:40:50 2	groundwater basin. Rather, the Watermaster is acting
20			4
	2		

1 cooperatively among the members of the Board of 09:40:55 2 Directors, and we are hopeful that we will work 09:40:59 3 cooperatively with the Court. 09:41:02 4 THE COURT: That's what I concluded. It seemed 09:41:03 5 apparent to me that it is a public -- we have an open .06 6 courtroom. It happens that the only people that are :10 7 here are related in some way to the parties, but this is 00-41-11 different than a legislative hearing room or passing a 8 09:41:14 9 huge federal law, just -- nobody -- public doesn't know 09:41:22 10 about all these amendments, it's very transparent, and 09:41:25 11 that's the purpose of this meeting. I appreciate your 09:41:29 12 inviting me. 09:41:32 13 MR. McGLOTHLIN: Certainly, Your Honor, and I will acknowledge, as a principal of water law generally, 09:41:33 14 09:41:36 15 that conflicts and judicial actions concerning water 09:41:40 16 have both the interest of the parties at stake in 09:41:42 17 adjudicating the rights of the party. They also are 09:41:46 18 impregnated with an extensive public interest that stems from our California Constitution, Article 10, Section 2. 09:41:49 19 09:41:53 20 THE COURT: Right. 09:41:54 21 MR. McGLOTHLIN: I think, as an overarching 09:41:58 22 principal, we acknowledge that there are issues. There are, directly, problems that need to be addressed over 09:42:04 23 time in both of the active sub-basins in the -- in the 09:42:09 24

direct jurisdiction of this order, doesn't it? 1 2 MR. McGLOTHLIN: The groundwater stratigraphy does continue beyond the adjudicated boundaries, and 3 what the implication of that is that groundwater 4 5 production and activities to the east of the adjudicated boundaries has an influence within the adjudicated 6 boundaries, and that's why you've seen the intention to 7 work cooperatively with the stakeholders and the regulatory overlap there to address the problem. 9 THE COURT: And I think that's the Monterey 09:44:00 10 09:44:03 11 County Water Resources Agency?

09:43:26

09:43:30

09:43:35

09:43:41

09:43:44

09:43:47

09:43:51

09:43:56

00-43-56

09:44:05 12

09:44:06 13 09:44:08 14

09:44:11 15

09:44:15 16

09:44:15 17

09:44:19 18

09:44:20 19

09:44:24 20

1

2

3

4

5

7

R

9

09:44:48

09:44:52

09:44:55

09:44:59

09:45:02

09:45:05

09:45:11

09:45:14

09:45:17

09:45:31 10

09:45:45 11

09:45:49 12

09:45:53 13

09:45:59 14

09:46:02 15

09:46:05 16

09:46:09 17

09:46:11 18 09:46:16 19

09:46:18 20

09:46:23 21

09:46:25 22

09:46:32 23 09:46:35 24

09:46:35 25

5

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Your Honor, it is the Monterey County Water Resources Agency, but more specifically it will be an entity that will be formed within the next year, referred to as a Groundwater Sustainability Agency.

THE COURT: That's under the 2014 law? MR. McGLOTHLIN: That's correct, that comes under what is the Sustainable Water Management Act, and, if you may, I will probably refer to it as SWMA.

THE COURT: I'd like a glossary so --

09:44:26 21 09:44:31 22 MR. McGLOTHLIN: So you have the issues of the 09:44:34 23 potential sea water intrusion in the costal sub-area, 09:44:41 24 you have the issues of a gradual decline, but, 09:44:43 25

nonetheless, a continuing decline of groundwater levels

7

THE COURT: Again, those two sub-basins are? 1 2 MR. McGLOTHLIN: The coastal sub area -- I may have misspoke mistake, they should be referred to 3 4 sub-areas to be distinguished from sub-basins. 5

THE COURT: Sub-basins.

09:42:16 25

..18

09:42:22

09:42:24

09:42:28

09-42-30

09:42:32

09:42:35

09:42:38

09:42:41 09:42:45 10

09:42:45 11

09:42:45 12

09:42:48 13

09:42:51 14

09:42:55 15

09:42:59 16

09:43:00 17

09:43:02 18

09:43:07 19

09:43:09 20

09:43:12 21

09:43:21 24

09:43:23 25

~15 **22** 

,6 **23** 

6

7

8

9

groundwater basin.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: And, if I may, Seaside Groundwater Basin is technically a sub-basin of the greater Salinas Valley Ground Water Basin, but it is separately managed and often referred to generally as just the basin. Technically speaking, it is a sub-basin.

Within that sub-basin there are four sub-areas, but only two are the ones that are really active where there's pumping, and that is the coastal sub-area, and what is referred to as the Laguna Seca sub-area.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: They have different challenges going forward. Related, there's hydrogeologic connectivity between them, the coastal sub-area being next to the coast.

The concern is sea water intrusion, as is the case occurring -- it has been occurring for decades -to the north within the other sub-basins -- coastal sub-basins of the Salinas Valley.

THE COURT: Laguna Seca extends beyond the

in the east Laguna Seca area. These are issues that must be addressed over time.

The Watermaster is acutely aware of what the issues are and is doing its very best and hopes to work cooperatively, of course, with the Court to address these, but the good thing is that these are not issues that are likely to develop into an acute problem in the near future. They are gradual and long-term problems and --

THE COURT: At some point I'd very much appreciate understanding a little bit about how the Watermaster works. You have a staff, it's funded, and the staff interacts with voting members, and so at some point it would be helpful to me to see how the players come together and reach this cooperation, but I don't want to disturb the order of your presentation.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Absolutely, and we can address that in Item 2 in the agenda with respect to the basin management structure.

I'm pretty much finished with the overarching perspectives.

THE COURT: Is -- is the whole issue of county compliance with the order something you're going to get

MR. McGLOTHLIN: I was just going to get to

1 that. 09:46:38 2 The management of the Seaside basin, of course, 09:46:38 3 is not in a vacuum, and, as you read in our report, the 04-46-40 community of the Monterey Peninsula has been dealing 4 09:46:47 with a water supply challenge for decades which has 5 become more acute beginning in 1995 with the first order 6 J:53 7 from the State Water Resource Control Board and then 09:46:57 again in 2009 with the Cease and Desist Order which was, 8 09:47:01 9 frankly, the State saying time is up, we really need and mean for you to find new water supplies. 09:47:08 10 09:47:12 11 And as I think -- I don't have to elaborate, the issues concern diversion from the Carmel River, the 09:47:15 12 other primary source of water supply for the peninsula, 09:47:20 13 which is having a deleterious effect on riparian 09:47:23 14 09:47:29 15 habitat, and specifically the threatened species of the

coastal steelhead population.

09:47:34 16

09:47:36 17

09:47:40 18

09:47:42 19

09:47:46 20

09:47:49 21

09:47:50 22

09;47:57 23

09:48:01 24

09:48:06 25

08

09:48:11

09:48:18

09:48:27

09:48:30

09:48:34

09:48:38

09:48:42

09:48:45 10

09:48:50 11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

THE COURT: Again, because you had a nice synopsis there of the several efforts that the county has made, one to work towards the dam and certain other things, finally into desalinization. Could you recap that?

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Certainly. In 1995, the State issued Order 9510, State Water Resources Control Board, that said you must, Cal Am, move with diligent efforts to obtain a new water supply.

9

09:49:30

09:49:32

09:49:39

09:49:45

09:49:52

09:49:54

09:49:55

09:49:58 8

09:50:02 09:50:06 10

09:50:07 11

09:50:10 12

09:50:11 13

09:50:16 14

09:50:20 15

09:50:25 16

09:50:27 17

09:50:30 18 09:50:34 19

09:50:36 20

09:50:36 21

09:50:39 22

09:50:42 23

09:50:44 24

09:50:48 25

09:50:54

09:50:57

09:51:00

09:51:01

09:51:04

09:51:04

09:51:07

09:51:12

09:51:14

09:51:21 10

09:51:23 11

09:51:26 12

09:51:27 13

09:51:30 14 09:51:33 15

09:51:37 16

09:51:40 17

09:51:44 18

09:51:46 19

09:51:48 20

09:51:53 21

09:51:57 22

09:51:57 23

09:52:02 24

09:52:09 25

4

5

6

7

9

7

9

municipal and urban use on the peninsula. 2 And during that process, Senator Keeley --3 State Senator Keeley, I believe, brought a legislative effort and ultimately legislation to require the

Commission to look at all alternatives to a damn on the 6 river.

I may be out of line here, but I think the premise was new dams on the Carmel River weren't very popular and we needed to find another alternative.

May I just pause and say it's a unique situation you find yourself here on the Monterey Peninsula compared to the rest of the state. There is no state plumbing to the peninsula. We cannot reach out to the Central Valley or any other distant locations for a new water supply, that much of the other -- rest of the state, including Southern California and Central Valley, et cetera, the Bay area, all have their sources of imported water, whether it's from the Sierras, the Delta, the Colorado River, the Owens Aqueduct, et cetera.

THE COURT: I worked my way partly through Stanford working five summers at Yosemite, so I was a firefighter, and I worked across Hetchy, up to Miguel Meadows, out there, and -- so, of course, I noticed the anomaly that San Francisco has said on their sign that

11

First efforts were with cooperation with the Water Management District to put a storage -- new dam on the Carmel River that would legalize the diversions, and, unfortunately, that effort failed for -- on a vote concerning bonding for the dam.

And, if I may, we have some individuals here, particularly my good friend Mr. Laredo to my left, who is -- has been general counsel to the Water Management District since 1979, and he could tell you the very sordid details of water politics on the peninsula better than I could.

09:48:51 12 THE COURT: I want to keep this uplifting. You

09:48:56 13 know --

09:48:56 14 MR. McGLOTHLIN: Well, it's interesting. There's nothing more interesting than social dynamics. 09:49:00 15

MR. LAREDO: Mr. McGlothin is doing a wonderful

09:49:06 16 09:49:08 17 job.

09:49:08 18 MR. McCLOTHLIN: I'm doing my very best, Your 09:49:08 19 Honor.

09:49:08 20 So, following that, Cal Am itself tried for a 09:49:13 21 dam project and had an application before the California Public Utilities Commission which, of course, asserts ^~·49:16 **22** :0 23 jurisdiction, and is the gatekeeper for anything that

09:49:22 24 the utility does, and the utility is the service 09:49:25 25 provider to probably well over 95 percent of the

10

1 they're progressive, but when they got to the question 2 of whether or not to -- let's get rid of the dams, they 3 were quite resolute in opposing that.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Very good quality to get something through --

THE COURT: I don't smile at this. It's -it's not a matter of politics, people look for an interest, and they can sometimes conflict with the most robust public decisions, just life.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: We'd agree, for better or not, we are a locally sustained community here for water supply.

THE COURT: That is a big challenge.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: It's a very big challenge. As the population has grown and the like, the limited water supply from the river -- and when I say the river, it's actually a subterranean take, but it's directly affecting the river.

THE COURT: What is your sense of -- of course, my daughter, who is a high school teacher, used to laugh when I had friends of more than 25 years standing, but now she has a daughter who's a college graduate, 25 years doesn't look quite so long to her.

I, of course, note that -- the Water Resources Board made that order 21 years ago, and, you know, you

have to -- you have challenges and you do get a sense of 1 relief. 09:52:14 1 09:55:04 2 MR. LAREDO: That's correct, when we talk about 2 urgency from the water board that their -- they're 09:55:05 09:52:19 3 looking at this more closely, and if so, that 3 community itself. Technically the order is against Cal 09:55:07 09:52:24 Am. My client is the Monterey Peninsula Water 4 09:52:27 4 implication is there. 09-55-08 Management District. We are a party to that proceeding, 5 5 MR. McGLOTHLIN: It does, Your Honor, and very 09:55:12 .27 6 closely. I wouldn't guess where this stacks in their 09:55:13 6 but I believe that you are correct that many, many .:30 7 agenda, but I know it's towards the top of the list. factors have caused the delay for which there is no 7 09:55:16 09:52:35 8 particular party at blame. 8 THE COURT: That means we get close attention 09:55:21 09:52:37 9 because we want to be in compliance. The Public Utilities Commission recognized that 09:55:23 09:55:26 10 and passed a resolution directing that its Executive 09:52:44 10 MR. LAREDO: Your Honor, if I can comment. We Director communicate the position of the Public 09:52:46 11 know that the State Water Resources Control Board's most 09:55:26 11 09:52:48 12 recent order was in 2009. That is time limited in terms 09:55:36 12 Utilities Commission that the amendment to the State 09:55:38 13 Board Order was appropriate, and the State Board is 09:52:55 13 of when it has to be performed and the parties to that 09:55:41 14 proceeding have a pending application to the State Water poised to consider that matter on July 19th. 09:52:58 14 THE COURT: And you are in harmony with Cal Am 09:53:04 15 Resources Control Board to revisit its order and to 09:55:44 15 09:55:44 16 09:53:10 16 extend and modify the limits, and that's on the State on that application? 09:53:13 17 Water Resources Control Board calendar for July 19th of 09:55:44 17 MR. LAREDO: That's correct. 09:55:48 18 THE COURT: And who is Cal Am's counsel? 09:53:17 18 this year. 09:55:49 19 MS. GERARD: Lori Gerard, and also on the 09:53:17 19 THE COURT: Was that the 2020? 09:55:52 20 phone we have Mr. Donlan. 09:53:22 20 MR. LAREDO: That's correct. THE COURT: Yes, thank you. 09:53:23 21 THE COURT: 2020, 2022, or is it just --09:55:57 21 09:53:28 22 MR. McGLOTHLIN: No, your Honor, 2020 moving 09:55:57 22 The interruptions are not to interrupt, I'm 09:56:00 23 09:53:31 23 just trying to follow along. around in different places. 09:53:31 24 THE COURT: Right. 09:56:03 24 MR. McGLOTHLIN: Entirely understandable. 09:56:04 25 09:53:32 25 MR. McGLOTHLIN: That is the -- that's the The takeaway from this, the high level 15 13 1 perspective, is that the State understands where -- or I 1 compliance deadline under SGMA, but under this -- what 09:56:08 :34 2 we call the CDO, the Cease and Desist Order -- add that 2 believe they understand -- and I believe we will likely 09:56:14 09:53:38 3 see some form of favorable action next month on that to the glossary -- the CDO had a deadline of the end of 09:53:44 3 09:56:16 4 09:56:21

5

09:56:27

09:56:30

09:56:32

09:56:37

09:56:41

09:56:51 15

09:56:52 16

09:56:54 17

09:56:58 18

09:57:03 19

09:57:07 20

09:57:11 21

09:57:15 22

09:57:19 23

09:57:23 24

4 this year to get off the -- to cease illegal diversions 09:53:49 5 or unauthorized diversions from the Carmel River. 09:53:53 Because of constraints at the California Public 6 09:53:57 Utilities Commission that all interests acknowledged are 7 beyond the effect of the parties here and California 8 09:54:05 American, they are a big concern. 9 09:54:08 09:54:12 10 The California Environmental Quality Act, 09:54:14 11 National Environmental Policy Act compliance that has 09:54:18 12 taken some time, that process has been delayed for 09:54:21 13 upwards of four to five years, and so there is now an

application that is going before the state board to

09:54:33 16 THE COURT: So if I understand it --

extend that time period now until 2021.

09:54:37 17 Mr. Laredo?

09:54:26 14

09:54:29 15

09:54:38 19

09:54:41 20

09:54:46 21

09:54:55 24

09:55:00 25

·49 **22** 

<sub>.1</sub> 23

09:54:37 18 MR. LAREDO: Yes.

> THE COURT: It sounds like an impossibility in the sense that there are multiple procedures that you must comply with, and going through the federal and state regulations has created, from your perspective, and you hope this is convincing with the board, not recalcitrance or delay, but necessarily, by virtue of those timelines, has extended compliance and you need

application because we are -- we, as a community, Cal Am specifically, as a company, have been diligently making 6 efforts to complete a new water supply project.

7 If I may, there are different braids of that 8 water supply project, it's not just desalination, 9 although desalination it a meaningful -- probably is the 09:56:43 10 largest -- what's sometimes referred to as a 09:56:46 11 three-legged stool.

09:56:48 12 THE COURT: That's what stuck in my mind. I 09:56:49 13 knew it was broader in scope, but I wasn't sure what it 09:56:51 14 was.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Great.

And, if you will, I will explain. There are -two other braids of the collective new water supply will be not only the desalination project that California American is developing -- we can talk about the details at your request -- as well as an advanced recycled water project that involves the Seaside Basin in that it will inject highly treated -- advanced treated, going through reverse osmosis, micro filtration, ultraviolet, et cetera, before being injected into the Seaside Basin.

This is -- by the way, this is extraordinarily high 09:57:27 25

09:57:30 1	quality water.	09:59:50 1	THE COURT: Will that require CEQA review?
09:57:32 2	THE COURT: I think the science is away ahead	09:59:56 2	MR. McGLOTHLIN: The CEQA review has already
09:57:34 3	of public opinion.	09:59:58 3	been done for that and is already occurring. The ASR is
09:57:37 4	MR. McGLOTHLIN: Perfectly stated.	10:00:00 4	already on line, with the exception it will be
38 5	And that is a project that is being undertaken	10:00:03 5	augmented, provided that infrastructure that we are
. J/:41 6	jointly by Mr. Laredo's client, the Monterey Peninsula	10:00:08 6	presently I should say Cal Am is presently seeking
09:57:47 7	Water Management District and the Monterey Regional	10:00:11 7	permission from the California Public Utilities
09:57:48	Water Pollution Control Agency with a Water Purchase	10:00:15	Commission to add in an booster pump and an essential
09:57:51 9	Agreement from California American. That allows the	10:00:17 9	pipeline that will add to our ability to do aquifer
09:57:56 10	downsizing of the desalination project and some of the	10:00:20 10	storage and recovery, sometimes referred to as ASR.
09:57:58 11	environmental effects there, and also diversifies the	10:00:23 11	And the future, post 2021, should look like a
09:58:03 12	portfolio of water, and is supported by the community at	10:00:34 12	combination of five sources of water for the peninsula
09:58:06 13	large to date.	10:00:38 13	largely, which include the desalination, the GWR,
09:58:07 14	MR. LAREDO: For the purposes of your glossary,	10:00:38 14	Groundwater Replenishment, also known formally as the
09:58:09 15	that is commonly referred to as the Groundwater	10:00:47 15	Pure Water Monterey Project, aquifer storage and
09:58:12 16	Replenishment Project.	10:00:48 16	recovery water, the native Seaside groundwater basin
09:58:15 17	THE COURT: Oh, yes, I saw the is it the	10:00:52 17	water consistent and within the confines of the basin
09:58:17 18	case that you're developing some plans for desalination,	10:00:55 18	safe yield, and some continuing diversions from the
09:58:22 19	but trying to ramp up on the replenishment so as to	10:00:58 19	Carmel River within the legal authorized diversions
09:58:27 20	diminish the reliance on desalinization?	10:01:05 20	under state law.
09:58:28 21	MR. McGLOTHLIN: Yes, Your Honor. The	10:01:06 21	Those are the five large sources of water for
09:58:30 22	intention is a hybrid of portfolio hybrid approach.	10:01:10 22	the peninsula. The three new ones are the are the
09:58:35 23	THE COURT: So desalination will remain in the .	10:01:14 23	desal, GWR and ASR, and the next five years is the
09:58:38 24	mix.	10:01:14 24	critical THE COURT: And the ASR is, again, what?
09:58:39 25	MR. McGLOTHLIN: Desalinization they are not 17	10:01:14 23	19
	11		10
j.,a			
	complimentary, and the only competition is the size of	10:01:26 1	MR. McGLOTHLIN: Aguifer storage and recovery.
3:40 1 09:58:44 2	complimentary, and the only competition is the size of the desalinization project.	10:01:26 1	MR. McGLOTHLIN: Aquifer storage and recovery.  That's the Carmel River reversion to injections into the
09:58:44 2	the desalinization project.	•	MR. McGLOTHLIN: Aquifer storage and recovery. That's the Carmel River reversion to injections into the Seaside basin.
09:58:44 <b>2</b> 09:58:44 <b>3</b>	the desalinization project.  MR. LAREDO: Yes, and also one advantage of the	10:01:26 2	That's the Carmel River reversion to injections into the
09:58:44 2	the desalinization project.  MR. LAREDO: Yes, and also one advantage of the ground water replenishment project is that it that	10:01:26 2	That's the Carmel River reversion to injections into the Seaside basin.
09:58:44 <b>2</b> 09:58:44 <b>3</b> 09:58:47 <b>4</b>	the desalinization project.  MR. LAREDO: Yes, and also one advantage of the	10:01:26 <b>2</b> 10:01:28 <b>3</b> 10:01:28 <b>4</b>	That's the Carmel River reversion to injections into the Seaside basin.  THE COURT: The diversion and the Seaside basin
09:58:44 <b>2</b> 09:58:44 <b>3</b> 09:58:47 <b>4</b> 09:58:47 <b>5</b>	the desalinization project.  MR. LAREDO: Yes, and also one advantage of the ground water replenishment project is that it that appears that will be able to come on line and deliver	10:01:26 <b>2</b> 10:01:28 <b>3</b> 10:01:28 <b>4</b> 10:01:32 <b>5</b>	That's the Carmel River reversion to injections into the Seaside basin.  THE COURT: The diversion and the Seaside basin are existing?
09:58:44	the desalinization project.  MR. LAREDO: Yes, and also one advantage of the ground water replenishment project is that it that appears that will be able to come on line and deliver water faster than the desal plan.	10:01:26 <b>2</b> 10:01:28 <b>3</b> 10:01:28 <b>4</b> 10:01:32 <b>5</b> 10:01:34 <b>6</b>	That's the Carmel River reversion to injections into the Seaside basin.  THE COURT: The diversion and the Seaside basin are existing?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: The existing yeah, the
09:58:44	the desalinization project.  MR. LAREDO: Yes, and also one advantage of the ground water replenishment project is that it that appears that will be able to come on line and deliver water faster than the desal plan.  THE COURT: And the third?	10:01:26	That's the Carmel River reversion to injections into the Seaside basin.  THE COURT: The diversion and the Seaside basin are existing?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: The existing yeah, the fourth and fifth, which are not new supplies, are the
09:58:44	the desalinization project.  MR. LAREDO: Yes, and also one advantage of the ground water replenishment project is that it that appears that will be able to come on line and deliver water faster than the desal plan.  THE COURT: And the third?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: The third is referred to as	10:01:26	That's the Carmel River reversion to injections into the Seaside basin.  THE COURT: The diversion and the Seaside basin are existing?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: The existing yeah, the fourth and fifth, which are not new supplies, are the safe quantity of water from the Seaside basin, within
09:58:44 2 09:58:44 3 09:58:47 4 09:58:47 5 09:58:50 6 09:58:53 7 09:58:55 8 09:58:59 9	the desalinization project.  MR. LAREDO: Yes, and also one advantage of the ground water replenishment project is that it that appears that will be able to come on line and deliver water faster than the desal plan.  THE COURT: And the third?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: The third is referred to as aquifer storage and recovery water.	10:01:26	That's the Carmel River reversion to injections into the Seaside basin.  THE COURT: The diversion and the Seaside basin are existing?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: The existing yeah, the fourth and fifth, which are not new supplies, are the safe quantity of water from the Seaside basin, within the safe yield, and the safe or authorized legal
09:58:44 2 09:58:44 3 09:58:47 4 09:58:47 5 09:58:50 6 09:58:53 7 09:58:55 8 09:58:59 9 09:59:01 10	the desalinization project.  MR. LAREDO: Yes, and also one advantage of the ground water replenishment project is that it that appears that will be able to come on line and deliver water faster than the desal plan.  THE COURT: And the third?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: The third is referred to as aquifer storage and recovery water.  What that is is diversions from the Carmel	10:01:26	That's the Carmel River reversion to injections into the Seaside basin.  THE COURT: The diversion and the Seaside basin are existing?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: The existing yeah, the fourth and fifth, which are not new supplies, are the safe quantity of water from the Seaside basin, within the safe yield, and the safe or authorized legal diversions from the Carmel River underflow.  THE COURT: Part of this you're going to get to it, I think is that you anticipate it may there
09:58:44 2 09:58:44 3 09:58:47 4 09:58:47 5 09:58:50 6 09:58:53 7 09:58:55 8 09:58:59 9 09:59:01 10 09:59:03 11	the desalinization project.  MR. LAREDO: Yes, and also one advantage of the ground water replenishment project is that it that appears that will be able to come on line and deliver water faster than the desal plan.  THE COURT: And the third?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: The third is referred to as aquifer storage and recovery water.  What that is is diversions from the Carmel River during the winter, during periods of excess flows when it's not necessary or critical for riparian habitat, all authorized by permit from the State Water	10:01:26	That's the Carmel River reversion to injections into the Seaside basin.  THE COURT: The diversion and the Seaside basin are existing?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: The existing yeah, the fourth and fifth, which are not new supplies, are the safe quantity of water from the Seaside basin, within the safe yield, and the safe or authorized legal diversions from the Carmel River underflow.  THE COURT: Part of this you're going to get to it, I think is that you anticipate it may there may be a petition to the court because the express terms
09:58:44 2 09:58:44 3 09:58:47 4 09:58:47 5 09:58:50 6 09:58:53 7 09:58:55 8 09:58:59 9 09:59:01 10 09:59:03 11 09:59:07 12	the desalinization project.  MR. LAREDO: Yes, and also one advantage of the ground water replenishment project is that it that appears that will be able to come on line and deliver water faster than the desal plan.  THE COURT: And the third?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: The third is referred to as aquifer storage and recovery water.  What that is is diversions from the Carmel River during the winter, during periods of excess flows when it's not necessary or critical for riparian habitat, all authorized by permit from the State Water Resources Record Board, that water taken over the hills	10:01:26	That's the Carmel River reversion to injections into the Seaside basin.  THE COURT: The diversion and the Seaside basin are existing?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: The existing yeah, the fourth and fifth, which are not new supplies, are the safe quantity of water from the Seaside basin, within the safe yield, and the safe or authorized legal diversions from the Carmel River underflow.  THE COURT: Part of this you're going to get to it, I think is that you anticipate it may there may be a petition to the court because the express terms of Judge Randall's order dealing with the triennial
09:58:44 2 09:58:44 3 09:58:47 4 09:58:47 5 09:58:50 6 09:58:53 7 09:58:55 8 09:58:59 9 09:59:01 10 09:59:03 11 09:59:07 12 09:59:13 13	the desalinization project.  MR. LAREDO: Yes, and also one advantage of the ground water replenishment project is that it that appears that will be able to come on line and deliver water faster than the desal plan.  THE COURT: And the third?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: The third is referred to as aquifer storage and recovery water.  What that is is diversions from the Carmel River during the winter, during periods of excess flows when it's not necessary or critical for riparian habitat, all authorized by permit from the State Water	10:01:26	That's the Carmel River reversion to injections into the Seaside basin.  THE COURT: The diversion and the Seaside basin are existing?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: The existing yeah, the fourth and fifth, which are not new supplies, are the safe quantity of water from the Seaside basin, within the safe yield, and the safe or authorized legal diversions from the Carmel River underflow.  THE COURT: Part of this you're going to get to it, I think is that you anticipate it may there may be a petition to the court because the express terms
09:58:44 2 09:58:44 3 09:58:47 4 09:58:47 5 09:58:50 6 09:58:55 7 09:58:55 8 09:58:59 9 09:59:01 10 09:59:01 11 09:59:07 12 09:59:13 13 09:59:15 14 09:59:17 15 09:59:23 16	the desalinization project.  MR. LAREDO: Yes, and also one advantage of the ground water replenishment project is that it that appears that will be able to come on line and deliver water faster than the desal plan.  THE COURT: And the third?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: The third is referred to as aquifer storage and recovery water.  What that is is diversions from the Carmel River during the winter, during periods of excess flows when it's not necessary or critical for riparian habitat, all authorized by permit from the State Water Resources Record Board, that water taken over the hills and injected just like the ground water replenishment water, injected through aquifer storage and recovery	10:01:26	That's the Carmel River reversion to injections into the Seaside basin.  THE COURT: The diversion and the Seaside basin are existing?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: The existing yeah, the fourth and fifth, which are not new supplies, are the safe quantity of water from the Seaside basin, within the safe yield, and the safe or authorized legal diversions from the Carmel River underflow.  THE COURT: Part of this you're going to get to it, I think is that you anticipate it may there may be a petition to the court because the express terms of Judge Randall's order dealing with the triennial drawdown; is that correct?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: That's correct.
09:58:44 2 09:58:44 3 09:58:47 4 09:58:47 5 09:58:50 6 09:58:55 8 09:58:55 9 09:58:59 11 09:59:01 10 09:59:01 11 09:59:07 12 09:59:13 13 09:59:15 14 09:59:17 15 09:59:23 16 09:59:27 17	the desalinization project.  MR. LAREDO: Yes, and also one advantage of the ground water replenishment project is that it that appears that will be able to come on line and deliver water faster than the desal plan.  THE COURT: And the third?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: The third is referred to as aquifer storage and recovery water.  What that is is diversions from the Carmel River during the winter, during periods of excess flows when it's not necessary or critical for riparian habitat, all authorized by permit from the State Water Resources Record Board, that water taken over the hills and injected just like the ground water replenishment water, injected through aquifer storage and recovery wells, wells that go both ways, into the Seaside	10:01:26	That's the Carmel River reversion to injections into the Seaside basin.  THE COURT: The diversion and the Seaside basin are existing?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: The existing yeah, the fourth and fifth, which are not new supplies, are the safe quantity of water from the Seaside basin, within the safe yield, and the safe or authorized legal diversions from the Carmel River underflow.  THE COURT: Part of this you're going to get to it, I think is that you anticipate it may there may be a petition to the court because the express terms of Judge Randall's order dealing with the triennial drawdown; is that correct?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: That's correct.  THE COURT: Under those express terms,
09:58:44 2 09:58:44 3 09:58:47 4 09:58:47 5 09:58:50 6 09:58:53 7 09:58:55 8 09:58:59 9 09:59:01 10 09:59:03 11 09:59:03 11 09:59:03 12 09:59:13 13 09:59:15 14 09:59:17 15 09:59:23 16 09:59:27 17 09:59:31 18	the desalinization project.  MR. LAREDO: Yes, and also one advantage of the ground water replenishment project is that it that appears that will be able to come on line and deliver water faster than the desal plan.  THE COURT: And the third?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: The third is referred to as aquifer storage and recovery water.  What that is is diversions from the Carmel River during the winter, during periods of excess flows when it's not necessary or critical for riparian habitat, all authorized by permit from the State Water Resources Record Board, that water taken over the hills and injected just like the ground water replenishment water, injected through aquifer storage and recovery wells, wells that go both ways, into the Seaside Basin for recovery when during dryer or other periods	10:01:26	That's the Carmel River reversion to injections into the Seaside basin.  THE COURT: The diversion and the Seaside basin are existing?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: The existing yeah, the fourth and fifth, which are not new supplies, are the safe quantity of water from the Seaside basin, within the safe yield, and the safe or authorized legal diversions from the Carmel River underflow.  THE COURT: Part of this you're going to get to it, I think is that you anticipate it may there may be a petition to the court because the express terms of Judge Randall's order dealing with the triennial drawdown; is that correct?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: That's correct.  THE COURT: Under those express terms, that's that's felt to comply with.
09:58:44 2 09:58:44 3 09:58:47 4 09:58:47 5 09:58:50 6 09:58:55 8 09:58:55 8 09:58:59 9 09:59:01 10 09:59:01 11 09:59:07 12 09:59:13 13 09:59:15 14 09:59:17 15 09:59:23 16 09:59:27 17 09:59:31 18 09:59:33 19	the desalinization project.  MR. LAREDO: Yes, and also one advantage of the ground water replenishment project is that it that appears that will be able to come on line and deliver water faster than the desal plan.  THE COURT: And the third?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: The third is referred to as aquifer storage and recovery water.  What that is is diversions from the Carmel River during the winter, during periods of excess flows when it's not necessary or critical for riparian habitat, all authorized by permit from the State Water Resources Record Board, that water taken over the hills and injected just like the ground water replenishment water, injected through aquifer storage and recovery wells, wells that go both ways, into the Seaside Basin for recovery when during dryer or other periods when were necessary.	10:01:26	That's the Carmel River reversion to injections into the Seaside basin.  THE COURT: The diversion and the Seaside basin are existing?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: The existing yeah, the fourth and fifth, which are not new supplies, are the safe quantity of water from the Seaside basin, within the safe yield, and the safe or authorized legal diversions from the Carmel River underflow.  THE COURT: Part of this you're going to get to it, I think is that you anticipate it may there may be a petition to the court because the express terms of Judge Randall's order dealing with the triennial drawdown; is that correct?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: That's correct.  THE COURT: Under those express terms, that's that's felt to comply with.  MR. McGLOTHLIN: Yes, we don't have a project
09:58:44 2 09:58:44 3 09:58:47 4 09:58:47 5 09:58:50 6 09:58:55 8 09:58:55 9 09:59:01 10 09:59:03 11 09:59:07 12 09:59:13 13 09:59:13 13 09:59:17 15 09:59:23 16 09:59:23 16 09:59:23 17 09:59:31 18 09:59:33 19 09:59:35 20	MR. LAREDO: Yes, and also one advantage of the ground water replenishment project is that it that appears that will be able to come on line and deliver water faster than the desal plan.  THE COURT: And the third?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: The third is referred to as aquifer storage and recovery water.  What that is is diversions from the Carmel River during the winter, during periods of excess flows when it's not necessary or critical for riparian habitat, all authorized by permit from the State Water Resources Record Board, that water taken over the hills and injected just like the ground water replenishment water, injected through aquifer storage and recovery wells, wells that go both ways, into the Seaside Basin for recovery when during dryer or other periods when were necessary.  And Cal Am has a very sophisticated procedure	10:01:26	That's the Carmel River reversion to injections into the Seaside basin.  THE COURT: The diversion and the Seaside basin are existing?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: The existing yeah, the fourth and fifth, which are not new supplies, are the safe quantity of water from the Seaside basin, within the safe yield, and the safe or authorized legal diversions from the Carmel River underflow.  THE COURT: Part of this you're going to get to it, I think is that you anticipate it may there may be a petition to the court because the express terms of Judge Randall's order dealing with the triennial drawdown; is that correct?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: That's correct.  THE COURT: Under those express terms, that's that's felt to comply with.  MR. McGLOTHLIN: Yes, we don't have a project that match the words of the judgment, that's correct.
09:58:44 2 09:58:44 3 09:58:47 4 09:58:47 5 09:58:50 6 09:58:53 7 09:58:55 8 09:58:59 9 09:59:01 10 09:59:03 11 09:59:03 11 09:59:13 13 09:59:15 14 09:59:17 15 09:59:21 17 09:59:31 18 09:59:33 19 09:59:35 20 09:59:39 21	MR. LAREDO: Yes, and also one advantage of the ground water replenishment project is that it that appears that will be able to come on line and deliver water faster than the desal plan.  THE COURT: And the third?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: The third is referred to as aquifer storage and recovery water.  What that is is diversions from the Carmel River during the winter, during periods of excess flows when it's not necessary or critical for riparian habitat, all authorized by permit from the State Water Resources Record Board, that water taken over the hills and injected just like the ground water replenishment water, injected through aquifer storage and recovery wells, wells that go both ways, into the Seaside Basin for recovery when during dryer or other periods when were necessary.  And Cal Am has a very sophisticated procedure for managing its apply to supply to lessen its draw from	10:01:26	That's the Carmel River reversion to injections into the Seaside basin.  THE COURT: The diversion and the Seaside basin are existing?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: The existing yeah, the fourth and fifth, which are not new supplies, are the safe quantity of water from the Seaside basin, within the safe yield, and the safe or authorized legal diversions from the Carmel River underflow.  THE COURT: Part of this you're going to get to it, I think is that you anticipate it may there may be a petition to the court because the express terms of Judge Randall's order dealing with the triennial drawdown; is that correct?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: That's correct.  THE COURT: Under those express terms, that's that's felt to comply with.  MR. McGLOTHLIN: Yes, we don't have a project that match the words of the judgment, that's correct.  THE COURT: And so you're anticipating working.
09:58:44 2 09:58:44 3 09:58:47 4 09:58:47 5 09:58:50 6 09:58:55 8 09:58:55 8 09:58:59 9 09:59:01 10 09:59:01 10 09:59:07 12 09:59:13 13 09:59:17 15 09:59:23 16 09:59:23 16 09:59:24 17 09:59:31 18 09:59:33 19 09:59:35 20 09:59:39 21 145 22	MR. LAREDO: Yes, and also one advantage of the ground water replenishment project is that it that appears that will be able to come on line and deliver water faster than the desal plan.  THE COURT: And the third?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: The third is referred to as aquifer storage and recovery water.  What that is is diversions from the Carmel River during the winter, during periods of excess flows when it's not necessary or critical for riparian habitat, all authorized by permit from the State Water Resources Record Board, that water taken over the hills and injected just like the ground water replenishment water, injected through aquifer storage and recovery wells, wells that go both ways, into the Seaside Basin for recovery when during dryer or other periods when were necessary.  And Cal Am has a very sophisticated procedure for managing its apply to supply to lessen its draw from the Carmel River during dry months when it's most	10:01:26	That's the Carmel River reversion to injections into the Seaside basin.  THE COURT: The diversion and the Seaside basin are existing?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: The existing yeah, the fourth and fifth, which are not new supplies, are the safe quantity of water from the Seaside basin, within the safe yield, and the safe or authorized legal diversions from the Carmel River underflow.  THE COURT: Part of this you're going to get to it, I think is that you anticipate it may there may be a petition to the court because the express terms of Judge Randall's order dealing with the triennial drawdown; is that correct?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: That's correct.  THE COURT: Under those express terms, that's that's felt to comply with.  MR. McGLOTHLIN: Yes, we don't have a project that match the words of the judgment, that's correct.  THE COURT: And so you're anticipating working.  A. What regard.
09:58:44 2 09:58:44 3 09:58:47 4 09:58:47 5 09:58:50 6 09:58:55 8 09:58:55 9 09:59:01 10 09:59:03 11 09:59:07 12 09:59:13 13 09:59:15 14 09:59:17 15 09:59:23 16 09:59:23 16 09:59:23 17 09:59:31 18 09:59:33 19 09:59:35 20 09:59:39 21 45 22 48 23	MR. LAREDO: Yes, and also one advantage of the ground water replenishment project is that it that appears that will be able to come on line and deliver water faster than the desal plan.  THE COURT: And the third?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: The third is referred to as aquifer storage and recovery water.  What that is is diversions from the Carmel River during the winter, during periods of excess flows when it's not necessary or critical for riparian habitat, all authorized by permit from the State Water Resources Record Board, that water taken over the hills and injected just like the ground water replenishment water, injected through aquifer storage and recovery wells, wells that go both ways, into the Seaside Basin for recovery when during dryer or other periods when were necessary.  And Cal Am has a very sophisticated procedure for managing its apply to supply to lessen its draw from the Carmel River during dry months when it's most critical to the riparian habitat during this interim	10:01:26	That's the Carmel River reversion to injections into the Seaside basin.  THE COURT: The diversion and the Seaside basin are existing?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: The existing yeah, the fourth and fifth, which are not new supplies, are the safe quantity of water from the Seaside basin, within the safe yield, and the safe or authorized legal diversions from the Carmel River underflow.  THE COURT: Part of this you're going to get to it, I think is that you anticipate it may there may be a petition to the court because the express terms of Judge Randall's order dealing with the triennial drawdown; is that correct?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: That's correct.  THE COURT: Under those express terms, that's that's felt to comply with.  MR. McGLOTHLIN: Yes, we don't have a project that match the words of the judgment, that's correct.  THE COURT: And so you're anticipating working.  A. What regard.  MR. McGLOTHLIN: If I
09:58:44 2 09:58:44 3 09:58:47 4 09:58:47 5 09:58:50 6 09:58:55 8 09:58:55 8 09:58:59 9 09:59:01 10 09:59:01 10 09:59:07 12 09:59:13 13 09:59:17 15 09:59:23 16 09:59:23 16 09:59:24 17 09:59:31 18 09:59:33 19 09:59:35 20 09:59:39 21 145 22	MR. LAREDO: Yes, and also one advantage of the ground water replenishment project is that it that appears that will be able to come on line and deliver water faster than the desal plan.  THE COURT: And the third?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: The third is referred to as aquifer storage and recovery water.  What that is is diversions from the Carmel River during the winter, during periods of excess flows when it's not necessary or critical for riparian habitat, all authorized by permit from the State Water Resources Record Board, that water taken over the hills and injected just like the ground water replenishment water, injected through aquifer storage and recovery wells, wells that go both ways, into the Seaside Basin for recovery when during dryer or other periods when were necessary.  And Cal Am has a very sophisticated procedure for managing its apply to supply to lessen its draw from the Carmel River during dry months when it's most	10:01:26	That's the Carmel River reversion to injections into the Seaside basin.  THE COURT: The diversion and the Seaside basin are existing?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: The existing yeah, the fourth and fifth, which are not new supplies, are the safe quantity of water from the Seaside basin, within the safe yield, and the safe or authorized legal diversions from the Carmel River underflow.  THE COURT: Part of this you're going to get to it, I think is that you anticipate it may there may be a petition to the court because the express terms of Judge Randall's order dealing with the triennial drawdown; is that correct?  MR. McGLOTHLIN: That's correct.  THE COURT: Under those express terms, that's that's felt to comply with.  MR. McGLOTHLIN: Yes, we don't have a project that match the words of the judgment, that's correct.  THE COURT: And so you're anticipating working.  A. What regard.

18

I think we put the items here and at the end we can go 10:02:41 2 down the agenda --10:02:43 3 THE COURT: I'm getting the cart before the 10:02:45 4 horse, but these are just the things that are pressing. 10:02:46 5 MR. McGLOTHLIN: I'm fine working that way, 6 Your Honor, and I think it's constructive for you to ask ∠:53 7 questions as they come up. 10:02:54 The subject you just raised was the potential 8 10:02:56 9 request for relief from the next scheduled tri-annual 10:02:58 ramp down of the judgment, which would go into the 10:03:04 10 10:03:07 11 effect for the 2018 water year through 2021, and that would be -- what that is is a 560 acre feed, ten percent 10:03:12 12 of the original combined safe yield of 5,600, reduction 10:03:16 13 in allowed diversions under the safe yield, and the 10:03:21 14 10:03:25 15 judgment has parameters in it which said -- and if I may be colloquial for a moment -- you will either bring in 10:03:30 16 10:03:34 17 new water of equivalent quantity or you will suffer --10:03:37 18 not suffer, that's probably a wrong word -- you will implement a ten percent reduction on a gradual -- every 10:03:41 19 10:03:44 20 third year rampdown. 10:03:45 21 THE COURT: Because we need to maintain a 10:03:47 22 perpetual supply --MR. McGLOTHLIN: Because we need to maintain a 10:03:49 23 10:03:47 24 perpetual supply, and this is your -- this is the judge that -- Your Honor's staircase, not a cliff, a 10:03:54 25 21

2 10:05:21 before I forget it. MR. McGLOTHLIN: Certainly. 3 10:05:24 4 THE COURT: One of the portions of the report 10:05:24 it talks about the -- the -- tread cautiously because of 5 10:05:26 6 deleterious economic impacts. 10:05:31 7 Having been a mayor of a city and recognizing 10:05:35 that developer will come in and say, "Look, there's a 8 10:05:40 housing imbalance, there's a need for affordable 9 10:05:41 housing, there's a need for high end housing, these are 10:05:45 10 pressing needs. We need to find new business 10:05:45 11 10:05:48 12 opportunities." What is the nature of the public information so 10:05:50 13 10:05:53 14

THE COURT: I have a question at this point,

1

10:05:19

10:05:57 15

10:06:00 16

10:06:05 17

10:06:10 18

10:06:15 19

10:06:19 20

10:06:22 21 10:06:25 22

10:06:28 23

10:06:32 24

10:06:34 25

10:06:41

10:06:43

10:06:46

10:06:48

10:06:50

10:06:52

10:06:53

10:06:55

10:06:57

10:07:08 12

10:07:11 13

10:07:11 14 10:07:13 15

10:07:15 16

10:07:20 17

10:07:23 18

10:07:27 19

10:07:30 20

10:07:33 21

10:07:37 22

10:07:39 23

10:07:43 24

10:07:49 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

that those elected officials carrying out their responsibilities always have clearly in their mind that there's ongoing supervision of the water supply and that the -- so they -- in effect, someone is not claiming foul later and saying, my goodness, the Watermaster and the Court is shutting down our water for the 250 new units which we just created, when, if they had advance notice, of course, responsibilities fall upon many officials and participants, they might have said we need to go slower on these new opportunities for development because these new opportunities for development, although attractive, may impact the water supply unduly.

24

1 staircase, a potential staircase, is to say, again, the 2 problems are long-term, they don't demand an immediate knee jerk reaction, they deserve long-term proceedings. 3

.·nn

10:04:01

10:04:04

10:04:09

10:04:11

10:04:15

10:04:15

10:04:17

10:04:19

10:04:25 10

10:04:28 11

10:04:33 12

10:04:35 13

10:04:35 14

10:04:40 15

10:04:43 16

10:04:43 17

10:04:52 18

10:04:55 19

10:04:59 20

10:05:03 21

·--s:08 **22** 

4

5

6

7

Я

9

THE COURT: And there are procedures for amendment of the judgment, and we can go very cautious about that because we don't want to defeat the purpose of perpetual surprise.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Certainly, and the -- so what we brought to your attention, we wanted -- we wanted to bring it to your attention, since you are new to this case -- had Judge Randall been here we were intending to bring the same subjects so that there was advance notice.

We don't know where the demand and -- will stack up on the peninsula in the next couple years. We are not certain yet how -- what form of revised cease and desist order from the State Water Resource Control Board we will get in July or -- this year, and, importantly, we don't know yet where we will be with the water supply projects, including the GWR and desalination projects and augmented ASR for storage and recovery.

,9 **23** We don't then, sitting here in June of 2016, 10:05:12 24 next year -- better part of next year we will have a 10:05:17 25 better grasp on that.

I'm not pointing fingers at anybody, I'm saying this is a highly calibrated process.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: I have a couple thoughts, if I may, on that, and I think there are others in the room that should be heard on that subject.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: A couple observations.

First, that there are many different actors 9 that need to coordinate for proper public policy here. We are balancing environmental needs together with 10:07:01 10 10:07:05 11 economic and consumptive use needs.

> THE COURT: It's an everyday decision at City Hall.

> > MR. McGLOTHLIN: Understood.

On the subject of water management and particular development, one aspect of the cease and desist order issued in 2009 was a moratorium on new water connections, so the prospect of new water connections, until we have complied with the order, can remove the unauthorized diversions from the river, are really not a viable prospect.

MR. LAREDO: And the Water Management District has an active permitting program so it examines any expansion of use down to the fixture level, or existing structures, so if you wanted to add a bathroom to your

home, you would have to show that you have an on-site 10:07:53 1 2 water credit for that. 10:07:56 3 The District regulates expansions of seats in a 10:07:57 4 restaurant, bars, stools in a restaurant, so it is a 10:08:04 5 very highly regulated matter. There is very little 6 water that would be available for that new development, 13:د so the economic impact comment would be if there is this 7 10:08:14 8 ramp down, or the state board cliff, that -- the means 10:08:18 to meet that water supply that's available would have to 10:08:22 9 come from reducing those people who are presently using 10:08:25 10 10:08:29 11 water. 10:08:30 12 THE COURT: And, of course, the serious impacts 10:08:34 13 that would flow from that. MR. McGLOTHLIN: And this community is highly 10:08:36 14 dependent economically on its tourism and transient 10:0B:40 15 10:08:43 16 occupancy. THE COURT: Come and visit. 10:08:43 17 10:08:47 18 MR. McGLOTHLIN: And so -- there is obvious 10:08:54 19 concern if there was an acute water shortage, that would have ripple effects into the economics, for not just the 10:08:59 20 Peninsula but the entire region, so -- I don't think 10:09:02 21 anybody has intentions of making that into more than it 10:09:06 22 10:09:11 23 is. It is -- it is just what it is. 10:09:14 24 THE COURT: Right. 10:09:15 25 MR. McGLOTHLIN: And I want to -- the other

All three of those entities, along with many stakeholders, some behind me, and in this water community here in Monterey, are jointly working through this process. We need to balance between interest in the Seaside basin, interest on the Carmel River, the economy, the response to the state, response to the Public Utilities Commission, so you are a very important piece of a constellation of actors.

THE COURT: I don't have any false pride over this, but there are many responsible players. The court's supervision is important here.

Are you involved as counsel in any -- I read

Are you involved as counsel in any -- I read from the reports that -- there are about a dozen instances with the new law does not specifically usurp the authority of ongoing judicial supervision.

I thought I read about a dozen.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Two dozen.

THE COURT: Are you involved in any of those?

MR. McGLOTHLIN: I am, Your Honor, in several

10:11:37 **20** of them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10:10:36

10:10:39

10:10:43

10:10:45

10:10:48

10:10:54

10:10:57

10:11:00

10:11:04

10:11:08 10

10:11:11 11

10:11:13 12

10:11:16 13

10:11:21 14

10:11:25 15

10:11:29 16

10:11:32 17

10:11:33 18

10:11:35 19

10:11:40 22

10:11:43 23

10:11:44 24

10:11:44 25

10:11:44

10:11:47

10:11:52

10:11:54

10:11:56

10:11:59

10:12:01

10:12:05

10:12:08

10:12:10 10

10:12:13 11

10:12:17 12

10:12:19 13

10:12:26 14

10:12:28 15

10:12:31 16

10:12:34 17

10:12:37 18

10:12:41 19

10:12:47 20

10:12:51 21

10:12:54 22

10:13:00 23

10:13:05 24

10:13:08 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

THE COURT: So they have these similar type issues that occur.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: They do.

A broader picture is we do not have ubiquitous one size fits all side groundwater management in

27

aspect of balancing the water supply is we anticipate
 that this revised CDO will have parameters - THE COURT: For which there's a hearing
 scheduled in July?
 MR. LAREDO: That's correct.
 MR. McGLOTHLIN: Correct. We anticipate to

.18

10:09:20

10:09:24

10:09:26

10:09:28

10:09:30

10:09:32

10:09:36

10:09:38

10:09:45 10

10:09:50 11

10:09:53 12

10:09:58 13

10:10:03 14

10:10:03 15

10:10:04 16

10:10:07 17

10:10:11 18

10:10:14 19

10:10:19 20

10:10:21 21

10-10:24 22

10:10:32 24

10:10:36 25

.9 23

7

8

9

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Correct. We anticipate that it will have conditional permission for continuing diversions at levels that they presently are.

If milestones are not met for various reasons, we may be facing reduced water, even under our existing CDOs. It's not an all or nothing type situation.

The obvious intention of the State is to tighten screws where appropriate and leave them tightened as they are, where they are equally appropriate.

We are trying to balance the CDO situation, the development of new water supplies, when I say we, the community, Cal Am, together with the Water Management District. Another hat I wear is for the local Monterey Peninsula Regional Water Authority, which is sometimes referred as the Mayor's authority, which is an entity -- a joint powers authority created to cooperate, and, if you will, birddog the process to ensure consistency with the public interest as we develop these new water supplies.

California.

The most challenged groundwater basins, of which the Seaside Basin is one, either because of overdraft or because of high social quorums, have gone through the adjudicatory process.

Until now, outside of the adjudicatory process, there's been loose to no groundwater management.

THE COURT: That led to the 2014 Act.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: As it did. As the Act was passed, there was recognition that it had to be coordinated with management under -- in those basins where the judiciary is already managing it.

There also needs to be acknowledgement that groundwater is highly interdependent and interrelated both between other groundwater supplies and between the surface water, and so we will manage discreet uses, with the understanding that there is impacts both from other areas to the area of interest and vice versa. That is the case here, for example, with the Coral de Tierra Area to the east of Laguna Seca sub-area, and vice versa, so there is -- needs to be coordination between existing management, both institutionally and hydrogeologically, to manufacture a word there, to achieve sustainable groundwater water management. THE COURT: Does the failure -- I want to

28

forbid that possibility -- but does the failure of 1 10:13:12 2 cooperation have implications for court here? 10:13:15 3 MR. McGLOTHLIN: Yes, it does. 10:13:23 4 THE COURT: How would that develop? 10:13:25 5 MR. McGLOTHLIN: I'm glad you asked, and it .30 6 reminds me of you asking for and anticipate questions ა:32 7 and what the devil's arguments are. 10:13:36 8 THE COURT: I found that's a sound practice. 10:13:37 MR. McGLOTHLIN: Understood. 9 10:13:41 10:13:42 10 I'll give you some of the nightmares that we 10:13:46 11 might face and we will try to avoid. Both sea water intrusion in the costal 10:13:51 12 sub-area, that's a real problem. That doesn't 10:13:54 13 necessarily involve cooperation with outsiders, but it 10:13:57 14 10:14:01 15 will -- if that were to occur, I have impacts that 10:14:05 16 insiders within the basin have to cooperate. 10:14:08 17 THE COURT: Nobody wants that. It would spoil 10:14:12 18 the supply, wouldn't it? 10:14:12 19 MR. McGLOTHLIN: It would spoil the supply, it would reduce the storage, you know, for the better part 10:14:17 20 10:14:19 21 of our live times at least, and it would also, under the 10:14:22 22 intentional plan that's already in effect, immediately 10:14:24 23 reduce water withdrawals from the coastal sub-area. 10:14:30 24 THE COURT: How is that -- who goes out to make 10:14:34 25 whatever inspections are appropriate to make the best

1 de Tierra. 10:15:42 2 MR. McGLOTHLIN: Yeah, you asked what happens 10:15:43 3 if there's not cooperation. I interpret that as to, you 10:15:46 know, "What are the potential conflicts, be honest with 4 10:15:47 5 me." 10:15:50 THE COURT: That's right, just the kind of 6 10:15:50 things so I wouldn't be totally blindsided if something 10:15:52 7 came up. 10:15:55 MR. McGLOTHLIN: So that could come in two 9 10-15-56 forms. We are hopeful that we -- well, if I may, the --10:15:58 10 10:16:04 11 the SGMA, Sustainable Groundwater Management Act 10:16:08 12 framework is that local agencies and the critical basins as designated by the state must develop and appoint a 10:16:13 13 10:16:17 14

groundwater sustainability agency, which is either an agency with groundwater or water management functions and/or the cities and counties with general police powers, or some combination of various overlapping stakeholders which is most likely to be the case for the Corral de Tierra sub area and the Salinas Valley proper, so you have a GSA which needs to be developed by next year, June of 2017.

THE COURT: Is that under the state law? MR. McGLOTHLIN: That's under the state law. And that agency, the GSA, has either until 2020 or 2022, depending on the status of the basin. It's somewhat

31

1 judgment as to whether there is intrusion? .:37 2 MR. McGLOTHLIN: My good friend Bob Jake and 10:14:40 his staff are, every year, monitoring those wells that 3 10:14:43 4 10:14:48 5 THE COURT: Is that within the Watermaster. 10:14:48 MR. McGLOTHLIN: Watermaster, your special 6 10:14:51 7 master. 10:14:54 THE COURT: Thank you. Я 10:14:55 MR. McGLOTHLIN: And the County Water Resources 9 10:14:56 10:14:58 10 Agency and the Water Management District also have staff and responsibilities on various areas of groundwater as 10:15:01 11 10:15:05 12 well. 10:15:06 13 THE COURT: Sounds to me like generally there's 10:15:08 14

been a high level of vigilance. You certainly explained the response to my questions about no new hookups and -because if you didn't have it managed to the -- right down to the lowest level, you'd have all sorts of excess usage.

10:15:12 15

10:15:14 16

10:15:18 17

10:15:24 18

10:15:26 19

10:15:29 20

10:15:30 21

45-45:33 22

MR. LAREDO: It could be a runaway freight train, and I don't believe that exists, and there is a tremendous level of cooperation and trust among the narties.

<sub>4</sub> 23 MR. McGLOTHLIN: The only thing I would mention 10:15:38 24 in brief, the Laguna Seca sub-area --10:15:39 25

THE COURT: You were going to talk about Corral

1 confusing. The intention was two years shorter if the 2 basin is critical overdraft.

The Corral de Tierra is not designated as critically overdrafted. The 180 foot 400 foot aquifer which is highly treated with sea water has been designated as critically overdrafted.

The plan needs to come into fruition by 2020-2022 time frame. In that process, Watermaster intends to collaborate, cooperate, negotiate with the GSA for the Corral de Tierra sub-area, which may be the GSA for the broader Salinas Valley. As things are looking, that's probably going to be the case, but not necessarily, it could be a single GSA for just that sub basin -- cooperate and negotiate with that entity and all of the other stakeholders that are in the Laguna Seca sub-area, which include a golf course.

California American has its satellite system there, as well as some mutual water companies, rural domestic users, and cooperate and discuss what management looks like there together with what management looks like in the Laguna Seca sub-area, with the acknowledgement we all have to be cognizant that over time we have to cure the collective overdraft that is lowering the water tables, particularly in the eastern portion of the sub area.

32

10:16:21 15

10:16:24 16

10:16:31 17

10:16:34 18

10:16:38 19

10:16:41 20

10:16:45 21

10:16:47 22

10:16:49 23

10:16:51 24

10:16:57 25

10:17:02

10:17:06

10:17:06

10:17:10

10:17:13

10:17:17

10:17:18

10:17:23

10:17:27

10:17:31 10

10:17:35 11

10:17:41 12

10:17:44 13

10-17-48 14

10:17:49 15

10:17:53 16

10:17:53 17

10:17:58 18

10:18:03 19

10:18:07 20

10:18:09 21

10:18:14 22

10:18:17 23

10:18:22 24

10:18:25 25

3

4

5

6

7

8

1 If we do not, the first thing that will occur 10:18:26 2 is that it's unlikely the Department of Water Resources 10:18:29 3 will approve the groundwater sustainability plan 10:18:32 promulgated for the Corral de Tierra. Moreover, you 4 10:18:37 5 have continuing jurisdiction -- the court has continuing 6 jurisdiction and a responsibility to correct that ....8:42 7 overdraft. 10:18:45 In that process, just to be transparent, 8 10:18:47 9 there's going to have to be a discussion about either 10:18:50 10:18:52 10 reducing production in likely both locations, inside and 10:18:59 11 outside of the basin, and/or bringing in new water, 10:19:01 12 which is expensive and that expense has to be allocated. THE COURT: You mean new water, not through 10:19:06 13 desalination. In other words, find a way to have it 10:19:08 14 10:19:11 15 trucked in or something like that? 10:19:13 16 MR. McGLOTHLIN: The beauty of it is we have 10:19:17 17 time. It won't be trucked in, that's very inefficient. 10:19:17 18 That's the call of last resort. THE COURT: You said there's no pipeline. 10:19:18 19 10:19:18 20 MR. McGLOTHLIN: There's no pipeline. 10:19:20 21 THE COURT: So what do you mean when you say 10:19:23 22 "bring in water?" MR. McGLOTHLIN: I'm ducking underneath the 10:19:24 23 10:19:26 24 table right now because I don't want to be shot by 10:19:29 25 anybody here.

be. The State's obviously been doing that in some parts 2 here, tightening the screws here, and Judge Randall did 3 that with his order, which has driven and -- you know, birthed the cooperation that you see at Watermaster's. 4

1

5

6

9

10:21:02

10:21:06

10:21:09

10:21:15

10:21:18

10:21:20

10.21.27 7

10:21:32

10:21:36

10:21:38 10

10:21:39 11

10:21:41 12

10:21:43 13

10:21:47 14

10:21:50 15

10:21:56 16

10:21:59 17

10:22:07 18

10:22:10 19

10:22:13 20

10:22:15 21

10:22:20 22

10:22:23 23

10:22:28 24

10:22:30 25

10:22:35

10:22:40

10:22:43

10.22.52

10:22:55

10:22:59

10:22:59

10:23:01

10:23:02

10:23:05 10

10:23:12 11

10:23:14 12

10:23:17 13 10:23:19 14

10:23:22 15

10:23:27 16

10:23:31 17

10:23:35 18

10:23:40 19

10:23:46 20

10:23:48 21

10:23:51 22

10:23:54 23

10:23:55 24

10:23:58 25

9

33

THE COURT: Your job as special master, though, is if you feel there is a lack of fruitful interchange and the judge's orders, you know, are -- you don't want to wait five years if they're not being reasonably addressed, then, to bring recommendations to the court; is that right?

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Yes, Your Honor, and that process starts -- you asked about the Watermaster structure. The intention, as I understand it from the Watermaster designed by Judge Randall, is for the parties themselves to meet, to discuss, if necessary to ultimately vote, but it's really an advisory decision and advisory role to the court, so any party can bring a challenge to a Watermaster decision.

THE COURT: It's a de novo review.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: It is de novo review, and, furthermore, the Watermaster, as it is here today, will seek the court's intervention and cooperation and oversight on a regular basis, so -- and more promptly when necessary.

So what you asked about what conflicts would

35

It could be -- it could be desalination. It could be water done in cooperation with the Salinas Valley proper. There are a variety of different sources.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Я

9

30:*و* 

10:19:34

10:19:38

10:19:39

10:19:40

10:19:45

10:19:48

10:19:52

10:19:59 10

10:20:06 11 10:20:12 12

10:20:18 13

10:20:21 14

10:20:25 15

10:20:29 16

10:20:34 17

10:20:40 18

10:20:41 19

10:20:43 20

10:20:46 21 -<sub>48</sub> 22

10:20:53 24

10:20:58 25

л **23** 

THE COURT: I'm not writing a book. One of the CEQA cases I had involved of the City of San Jose and several contiguous counties to the north, and it involved traffic cooperation, and the city was taking the position that it was entirely within their domain, and I said, well, yes, but the law of CEQA requires you to proceed in good faith, and they came to the table.

You know, it's -- people don't want decisions made for them, but we know in the system of law that if people fail or refuse, that there has to be procedures to break the deadlock. Often people -- as we know, the best negotiated solution is one that everybody grumbles at a little bit, assuming it is a rational and reasonable position.

I won't require you to go further on that because I don't want anybody to think, oh, the judge has already made up his mind, I'm just trying to broadly inform myself.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: I think we all appreciate the push/pull relationship between the regulatory overlay and stakeholder cooperative, which is where we want to

1 becoming down the line, those issues of combination 2 or -- either/or of reduced production and/or new water 3 supplies to the collective Laguna Seca-Corral de Tierra, 4 hopefully the parties will negotiate in good faith and 5 come to stipulations that the Court can support, or the 6 Court is independent to make the decision whether or not 7 the stipulation is in the public interest and consistent 8 with law.

THE COURT: If those stipulations do not require the amendment of Judge Randall's in any respect, has it been the practice or consequence that they would nonetheless, because they are policy changes, be submitted to the court for review?

MR. McGLOTHLIN: It is my counsel to Watermaster that they do, that the management is something -- the management structure, the physical management structure is something that the court should be in depth aware of and approve and be marching hand in hand. I do not think it is proper for the court to be, you know, off to the side.

And if I may digress, that is the case in some other basins where the court is not aware that it still has these cases.

THE COURT: No, I'm very much attuned for so long as I'm active, so I would like to -- and, you know,

36

Page 33 to 36 of 49 09/21/2016 06:48:30 AM 9 of 22 sheets

it's really for the protection of all. If a 10:24:06 2 recommendation is made to the Court for a signature, 10:24:10 3 there should be a sufficient basis that any critical 10:24:13 4 person could look at it and say yes, it's substantiated, 10:24:21 5 so if someone -- if it goes through that rigorous level 22 6 of analysis and recommendation, it may deter adversarial \_4:26 7 proceedings because it's well founded, all things 10:24:35 considered, and if it's deficient in some way, a party 8 10:24:38 9 might petition the court and say we want you to hear 10:24:46 10 this. 10:24:46 11 MR. McGLOTHLIN: I would make one observation that Watermaster is comprised of interested 10:24:46 12 stakeholders, but, as a collection, the intention is to 10:24:49 13 act not disinterested but in the collective interests. 10:24:52 14 10:24:56 15 THE COURT: Correct, I understand that. And it's not just a cumbia moment, each party is looking to 10:24:58 16 10:25:03 17 the interest of their constituents and so forth, but in the aggregate we can't have it all. 10:25:06 18

Are there any other -- so I think you indicated in the report that later you'd be filing a report, and even earlier if you anticipated a need to calendar something, otherwise it would come up on an annual report, because didn't you determine that sometime after the water resources board makes a determination you'll be in a better position by later in the year to

application for relief from the ramp down.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10:26:48

10:26:51

10:26:59

10:27:02

10:27:04

10:27:07

10:27:11

10:27:14

10:27:16

10:27:23 10

10:27:26 11

10:27:31 12

10:27:39 13

10:27:42 14

10:27:46 15

10:27:48 16

10:27:51 17

10:27:53 18

10:27:55 19

10:27:58 20

10:28:00 **21** 10:28:03 **22** 

10:28:07 23

10:28:12 24

10:28:15 25

10:28:18

10:28:21

10:28:24

10:28:31

10:28:34

10:28:37

10:28:42

10:28:46

10:28:50 **9** 10:28:50 **10** 

10:28:58 11

10:28:58 12

10:28:58 13

10:28:58 14

10:29:01 15

10:29:05 16

10:29:09 17

10:29:15 18

10:29:18 19

10:29:21 20

10:29:27 21

10:29:32 22

10:29:35 23

10:29:39 24

10:29:44 25

37

You've referred to that as an amendment of the judgment. How we engage semantically, it's not important. The key there, Your Honor, is that the judgment specifically says that we can relieve ourselves in ramp down if we were bringing in comparable quantity each and every year during that three-year period.

The difference here is we brought in more than that quantity previously which I can elaborate on how we did that if you're interested. And since we've effectively brought in and stored 2,500 acre feet, we would be asking for a 1,680 -- 1,680-acre foot relief, so it would not be, per se, per the terms of the judgment, but certainly consistent with the spirit of the judgment and consistent with potential need during these later years, these crunch years, as we finalize the water supply projects.

THE COURT: Any brief elaboration that you can give me now would be fine.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Certainly.

Your Honor, one of the producers from the is the City of Seaside. Counsel sitting to my left for the City of Seaside today operates two 18-hole golf courses. They produce water as an alternative producer, and they have a 540-acre foot allocation.

39

determine whether you're likely to have to petition to amend any part of Judge Randall's decision.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Yes. What is proposed as next steps, Your Honor, is that we proceed through this year, gather more information; we produce the annual report at the end of the year, which the judgment requires that we do.

We think it is --

THE COURT: And what's the date for that?

MR. McGLOTHLIN: Mid-December.

MR. LAREDO: December 15th.

10:26:01 12 THE COURT: Thank you.

10:26:02 13 MR. McGLOTHLIN: And we think it would be prudent during this -- particularly during this, you know, challenging time or important time of water

development on the peninsula, that we meet with you, you know, annually following the annual report to receive

know, annually following the annual report to receive your questions, input, conversation like we're having

10:26:21 **19** today.

10:26:22 20

10:26:25 21

----29 22

10:26:39 24

,2 **23** 

10:25:11 19

10:25:15 20

10:25:18 21

10:25:23 22

10:25:24 23

10:25:26 24

10:25:30 25

10:25:40

10:25:44

10:25:47

10:25:51

10:25:53

10:25:54

10:25:58 10

10:26:00 11

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

We would propose that we set a calendar, a status conference first quarter of 2017, and that at that time we would provide you an update on developments with respect to Laguna Seca sub-area and the GSA composition for the Corral de Tierra sub-area, and also

10:26:42 **25** discuss with you the need and prudence of making an

1 The City of Seaside, by contract, made an 2 arrangement with the water purveyor to the north and the 3 water purveyor that serves the former Fort Ord area by designation by the Fort Ord Use Authority, that is the 4 Marina Coast Water District. The City exchanged 5 property that the Marina Coast Water District wanted in 6 7 exchange for 2,500 acre feet, not annually, in total, 2,500 acre feet of wet molecules of water supply that it 9 delivered to the golf course --

THE COURT: Is wet molecules a term of art?

MR. McGLOTHLIN: It's distinguishing between an annual acre feet, as in an allocation.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. McGLOTHLIN: 2,500 acre feet of water.

It doled that out to the golf course as needed for a course of many years in lieu of the golf course producing its up to 540 acre feet of production right.

Judge Randall approved of a memorandum of understanding between the Watermaster and the City of Seaside, whereby the Watermaster said bring that water in, do not produce your groundwater, that will augment the ground water supply. In exchange, they have provided a credit to the City of Seaside -- they, the Watermaster, to the City of Seaside against financial responsibilities that the city has accrued and will be

accruing for replenishment assessments associated with 1 10:29:45 2 its municipal system, which is a separate water demand 10:29:48 10:29:52 3 at the City of Seaside, which operates as a standard allocation, hence why it's incurring the replenishment 4 10:29:56 5 assessment. 56 6 So, if I may -- and fundamentally, the \_a:57 Watermaster has purchased through this credit program 7 10:30:00 2,500 acre feet of water that has now been, in lieu, 8 10:30:05 replenished into the basin and would not otherwise be 9 10:30:05 10:30:10 10 there but for that arrangement, and it's effectively stored in the basin, and, if necessary, and the 10:30:13 11 10:30:17 12 Watermaster decides and the community decides it's necessary, the hope was Your Honor would recognize that 10:30:20 13 that is a good faith effort to replenish some 2,500 acre 10:30:23 14 10:30:29 15 feet in exchange for a 1,680 foot relief during this 10:30:34 16 critical period towards the end of this extension while the groundwater replenishment program is coming on line, 10:30:37 17 the desalination is finalized and in completion, if 10:30:42 18 10:30:45 19 necessary. THE COURT: We'll complete here before too 10:30:45 20 10:30:50 21 lona. There are many -- I've written lengthy 10:30:51 22 decisions, but I certainly believe that Judge Randall's 10:30:53 23 10:33:23 24 giving terms of art, definition, and the like must have 10:30:59 24 10:31:03 25 been collaborative.

1 didn't reinvent the wheel. It was written by the 10:32:25 2 parties taking advantage of the good thought of other 10:32:29 3 judges and other judgements previously. 10:32:31 THE COURT: Sure. 4 10:32:32 5 MR, McGLOTHLIN: And Judge Randall was not 10:32:34 asleep, by any means, at the wheel. He took his pen and 6 10:32:37 scratched out, and we rewrote it as he desired. 10:32:42 7 THE COURT: I understand. 10:32:46 9 I'm looking at Friday, March 17th. 10:32:47 10:32:49 10 Do you want to set a date now? 10:32:51 11 MR. McGLOTHLIN: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: I'll put that date down. It's in 10:32:54 12 10:32:57 13 the first quarter. 10:33:00 14 MR. McGLOTHLIN: To repeat, Friday, March 17th. 10:33:00 15 THE COURT: Yes. 10:33:03 16 If you could prepare notice on that. MR. McGLOTHLIN: Absolutely. 10:33:06 17 10:33:10 18 THE COURT: The outstanding agreement is that I 10:33:12 19 can either call you or email you if, for example, I 10:33:14 20 found that my own schedule required modifying that, I'd tell you and you'd handle that? 10:33:18 21 10:33:20 22 MR. McGLOTHLIN: Yes, Your Honor, absolutely. 10:33:22 23 THE COURT: Is that all right?

41

10:33:27 25

10:33:31

10:33:34

10:33:37

10:33:37

10:33:40

10:33:43

10:33:47

10:33:50

10:33:53

10:34:20 17

10:34:21 18

10:34:22 19

10:34:24 **20** 

10:34:27 21

10:34:29 23

10:34:31 24

10:34:34 25

stool.

1 Did he ask for -- is this an adversarial .04 proceeding, but I'm sure many terms were agreed to; is 2 10:31:07 3 that right? 10:31:12 4 MR. McGLOTHLIN: The judgment is a product of 10:31:13 5 stipulation amongst the parties, with the good judge's 10:31:15 interlineations, things he wanted and did not want. 6 10:31:18 7 THE COURT: Generally what I try to do is find 10:31:21 8 out what are the decisions that an informed layperson 10:31:24 needs to make and set up the skeleton and then let the 9 10:31:28 10:31:34 10 experts put it all together, and that proceeding a different way is not a very comfortable approach, 10:31:40 11 10:31:43 12 because my view is within the confines of the 10:31:45 13 jurisdiction that the law confers upon a judge, we have a lot of muscle and it should be used carefully, and 10:31:50 14 10:31:54 15 when it has to be, used with full vigor, there's no way around that, but other times it's best I think to 10:31:58 16 10:32:00 17 receive -- best input, especially when the parties seem to be reasonably aligned, at coming to a good 10:32:03 18 10:32:06 19 operational decision. 10:32:07 20 That would be my opinion. MR. McGLOTHLIN: If you read the judgment in 10:32:09 21 comparison to judgments that have been written in other 40-22:11 22 basins, mostly in Southern California over the last 4 23 10:32:17 24 forty years, you would see very similar terminology, 10:32:21 25 verbatim in some places. The judgment was not -- we

1 letters, I didn't misunderstand it, it was simply 2 respectful letter saying we don't know of any new facts. 3 There's not been not adversarial proceedings. We'll 4 certainly be attentive, but we don't -- you know, they're not inviting new information, but I'm very 5 6 grateful for the chance to meet with the stakeholders, to receive information, not only in text form but through updates, to satisfy myself that the parties are 9 working diligently and for the parties to be satisfied that they don't have a cold bench, they have a hot 10:33:56 10 bench, and I have been active in my work. 10:34:00 11 10:34:05 12 Is there any other input that anyone would like 10:34:08 13 to provide at this time? 10:34:12 14 MR. LAREDO: Your Honor, if I may, between now 10:34:13 15 and March, there will be significant development and information on the other aspects of the three-legged 10:34:17 16

And that would be for the -- I certainly accept

the invitation to -- because the tenor of a couple

THE COURT: Right. MR. LAREDO: Which is the ultimate answer. THE COURT: Part of it is the State Water Resources Control Board, part of it is the desalination 10:34:28 22

MR. LAREDO: That's correct. The desalination effort has been the focus of a hearing that just concluded before the CPUC for significant bays and the

43

09/21/2016 06:48:30 AM Page 41 to 44 of 49 11 of 22 sheets

10:34:39	1	promised draft EIR on the desal plant is scheduled to	10:36:54	you could just prepare it, maybe file it, and email it
10:34:44	2	come out this year, at least the Public Utilities	10:36:57 2	to me.
10:34:49	3	Commission has set a public participation hearing in, I	10:36:58 3	MR. McGLOTHLIN: Maybe we could do that and
10:34:52	4	believe, September on that.	10:37:00 4	file that with the annual report.
56	5	The groundwater replenishment also is actively	10:37:02 <b>5</b>	THE COURT: That's okay too.
7:59	6	proceeding. The MRWPC, Monterey Regional Water	10:37:04 6	Actually, with the annual report is fine.
10:35:06	7	Pollution Control Agency, has already certified the EIR	10:37:13 7	MS. GERARD: On the events that Mr. Laredo was
10:35:08	8	on its aspect of that project, and the Water Management	10:37:15	describing, we can include that in the annual report.
10:35:10	9	District has, this coming Monday, the 20th, its action	10:37:18 9	THE COURT: Oh, yes, I would expect that
10:35:14	10	as a responsible agency to certify that, so we	10:37:21 10	because you're talking about the three-legged stool,
10:35:17	11	anticipate that there will be significant development to	10:37:23 11	and all of these things need to come together, and I
10:35:20	12	report at the next status conference.	10:37:26 12	need to be well informed on those developments and how
10:35:23	13	THE COURT: Is there a regular calendar of	10:37:30 13	they impact any judicial involvement.
10:35:26	14	meetings for the Watermaster Board?	10:37:32 14	MR. McGLOTHLIN: Your Honor, the only other
10:35:29	15	MR. McGLOTHLIN: The Watermaster Board is	10:37:33 15	matter I'm looking through the agenda that we
10:35:31	16	calendared to meet monthly, unless there's no relative	10:37:35 16	didn't really touch on is the basin boundary
10:35:36	17	substantive agenda items.	10:37:39 17	modification.
10:35:36	18	THE COURT: So those meetings have occurred?	10:37:40 18	THE COURT: Why don't we just ask, because I
10:35:38	19	MR. McGLOTHLIN: They occur regularly.	10:37:59 19	may ask for a transcript, let's say we're going off the
10:35:38		THE COURT: And are there staff reports in	10:38:02 20	record and the only main thing you'll be reporting on
10:35:41		advance?	10:38:06 21	is
10:35:42	22	MR. McGLOTHLIN: There are, and if Your Honor	10:38:06 22	MR. McGLOTHLIN: The basin boundary
10:35:43	23	would like, we certainly be willing to provide you with	10:38:08 23	modification.
10:35:49	24	the agenda and staff reports for there are not only	10:38:09 24	THE COURT: That's fine, that will be covered
10:35:51	25	board meetings, there are also technical advisory	10:38:10 25	in the next annual report.
		45		47
J.,				
E				
.51	1	technical committee	1	And we thank the court reporter, and I'm sure
.51 10:35:56	1 2	technical committee THE COURT: I don't think I require that at	1 2	And we thank the court reporter, and I'm sure they'll be asking for a transcript.
	Ţ.			
10:35:56	2	THE COURT: I don't think I require that at this time. I don't want to put my nose too far under the tent, as if I'm an expert on that.	2	they'll be asking for a transcript.  (The matter was adjourned at 10:38 a.m.)
10:35:56 10:35:57	2 3 4	THE COURT: I don't think I require that at this time. I don't want to put my nose too far under the tent, as if I'm an expert on that.  I assume that the Watermaster will draw that	3	they'll be asking for a transcript.
10:35:56 10:35:57 10:36:00	2 3 4 5	THE COURT: I don't think I require that at this time. I don't want to put my nose too far under the tent, as if I'm an expert on that.  I assume that the Watermaster will draw that together in good, intelligible form and give me all the	2 3 4 5 6	they'll be asking for a transcript.  (The matter was adjourned at 10:38 a.m.)
10:35:56 10:35:57 10:36:00 10:36:05	2 3 4 5 6	THE COURT: I don't think I require that at this time. I don't want to put my nose too far under the tent, as if I'm an expert on that.  I assume that the Watermaster will draw that together in good, intelligible form and give me all the details that you think I need.	2 3 4 5 6 7	they'll be asking for a transcript.  (The matter was adjourned at 10:38 a.m.)
10:35:56 10:35:57 10:36:00 10:36:05 10:36:07	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE COURT: I don't think I require that at this time. I don't want to put my nose too far under the tent, as if I'm an expert on that.  I assume that the Watermaster will draw that together in good, intelligible form and give me all the details that you think I need.  Also, accept my invitation to present all the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	they'll be asking for a transcript.  (The matter was adjourned at 10:38 a.m.)
10:35:56 10:35:57 10:36:00 10:36:05 10:36:07 10:36:13	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE COURT: I don't think I require that at this time. I don't want to put my nose too far under the tent, as if I'm an expert on that.  I assume that the Watermaster will draw that together in good, intelligible form and give me all the details that you think I need.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	they'll be asking for a transcript.  (The matter was adjourned at 10:38 a.m.)
10:35:56 10:35:57 10:36:00 10:36:05 10:36:07 10:36:13 10:36:14 10:36:16 10:36:21	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: I don't think I require that at this time. I don't want to put my nose too far under the tent, as if I'm an expert on that.  I assume that the Watermaster will draw that together in good, intelligible form and give me all the details that you think I need.  Also, accept my invitation to present all the questions that you would anticipate that an informed judge would.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	they'll be asking for a transcript.  (The matter was adjourned at 10:38 a.m.)
10:35:56 10:35:57 10:36:00 10:36:05 10:36:07 10:36:14 10:36:14 10:36:16 10:36:21	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE COURT: I don't think I require that at this time. I don't want to put my nose too far under the tent, as if I'm an expert on that.  I assume that the Watermaster will draw that together in good, intelligible form and give me all the details that you think I need.  Also, accept my invitation to present all the questions that you would anticipate that an informed judge would.  MR. McGLOTHLIN: And we would always welcome	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	they'll be asking for a transcript.  (The matter was adjourned at 10:38 a.m.)
10:35:56 10:35:57 10:36:00 10:36:05 10:36:07 10:36:14 10:36:14 10:36:21 10:36:23 10:36:26	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE COURT: I don't think I require that at this time. I don't want to put my nose too far under the tent, as if I'm an expert on that.  I assume that the Watermaster will draw that together in good, intelligible form and give me all the details that you think I need.  Also, accept my invitation to present all the questions that you would anticipate that an informed judge would.  MR. McGLOTHLIN: And we would always welcome Your Honor to ask to see any materials, any underlying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	they'll be asking for a transcript.  (The matter was adjourned at 10:38 a.m.)
10:35:56 10:35:57 10:36:00 10:36:05 10:36:07 10:36:13 10:36:14 10:36:16 10:36:21 10:36:23 10:36:26	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: I don't think I require that at this time. I don't want to put my nose too far under the tent, as if I'm an expert on that.  I assume that the Watermaster will draw that together in good, intelligible form and give me all the details that you think I need.  Also, accept my invitation to present all the questions that you would anticipate that an informed judge would.  MR. McGLOTHLIN: And we would always welcome Your Honor to ask to see any materials, any underlying materials, agendas, as necessary.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	they'll be asking for a transcript.  (The matter was adjourned at 10:38 a.m.)
10:35:56 10:35:57 10:36:00 10:36:05 10:36:07 10:36:14 10:36:14 10:36:21 10:36:23 10:36:26 10:36:29 10:36:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE COURT: I don't think I require that at this time. I don't want to put my nose too far under the tent, as if I'm an expert on that.  I assume that the Watermaster will draw that together in good, intelligible form and give me all the details that you think I need.  Also, accept my invitation to present all the questions that you would anticipate that an informed judge would.  MR. McGLOTHLIN: And we would always welcome Your Honor to ask to see any materials, any underlying materials, agendas, as necessary.  THE COURT: I will say to the Watermaster, if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	they'll be asking for a transcript.  (The matter was adjourned at 10:38 a.m.)
10:35:56 10:35:57 10:36:00 10:36:05 10:36:07 10:36:14 10:36:14 10:36:23 10:36:26 10:36:26 10:36:20 10:36:30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE COURT: I don't think I require that at this time. I don't want to put my nose too far under the tent, as if I'm an expert on that.  I assume that the Watermaster will draw that together in good, intelligible form and give me all the details that you think I need.  Also, accept my invitation to present all the questions that you would anticipate that an informed judge would.  MR. McGLOTHLIN: And we would always welcome Your Honor to ask to see any materials, any underlying materials, agendas, as necessary.  THE COURT: I will say to the Watermaster, if you see that a particular report is particularly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	they'll be asking for a transcript.  (The matter was adjourned at 10:38 a.m.)
10:35:56 10:35:57 10:36:00 10:36:05 10:36:07 10:36:13 10:36:14 10:36:21 10:36:21 10:36:22 10:36:29 10:36:30 10:36:33	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: I don't think I require that at this time. I don't want to put my nose too far under the tent, as if I'm an expert on that.  I assume that the Watermaster will draw that together in good, intelligible form and give me all the details that you think I need.  Also, accept my invitation to present all the questions that you would anticipate that an informed judge would.  MR. McGLOTHLIN: And we would always welcome Your Honor to ask to see any materials, any underlying materials, agendas, as necessary.  THE COURT: I will say to the Watermaster, if you see that a particular report is particularly illuminating on an issue, feel free to send it to me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	they'll be asking for a transcript.  (The matter was adjourned at 10:38 a.m.)
10:35:56 10:35:57 10:36:00 10:36:05 10:36:05 10:36:14 10:36:14 10:36:21 10:36:23 10:36:23 10:36:26 10:36:30 10:36:36	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT: I don't think I require that at this time. I don't want to put my nose too far under the tent, as if I'm an expert on that.  I assume that the Watermaster will draw that together in good, intelligible form and give me all the details that you think I need.  Also, accept my invitation to present all the questions that you would anticipate that an informed judge would.  MR. McGLOTHLIN: And we would always welcome Your Honor to ask to see any materials, any underlying materials, agendas, as necessary.  THE COURT: I will say to the Watermaster, if you see that a particular report is particularly illuminating on an issue, feel free to send it to me.  I think you have an email address for me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	they'll be asking for a transcript.  (The matter was adjourned at 10:38 a.m.)
10:35:56 10:35:57 10:36:00 10:36:05 10:36:07 10:36:14 10:36:14 10:36:21 10:36:23 10:36:26 10:36:33 10:36:36 10:36:38 10:36:38	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: I don't think I require that at this time. I don't want to put my nose too far under the tent, as if I'm an expert on that.  I assume that the Watermaster will draw that together in good, intelligible form and give me all the details that you think I need.  Also, accept my invitation to present all the questions that you would anticipate that an informed judge would.  MR. McGLOTHLIN: And we would always welcome Your Honor to ask to see any materials, any underlying materials, agendas, as necessary.  THE COURT: I will say to the Watermaster, if you see that a particular report is particularly illuminating on an issue, feel free to send it to me.  I think you have an email address for me.  MR. McGLOTHLIN: I do, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	they'll be asking for a transcript.  (The matter was adjourned at 10:38 a.m.)
10:35:56 10:35:57 10:36:00 10:36:05 10:36:05 10:36:13 10:36:14 10:36:21 10:36:23 10:36:26 10:36:30 10:36:36 10:36:36 10:36:40 10:36:41	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE COURT: I don't think I require that at this time. I don't want to put my nose too far under the tent, as if I'm an expert on that.  I assume that the Watermaster will draw that together in good, intelligible form and give me all the details that you think I need.  Also, accept my invitation to present all the questions that you would anticipate that an informed judge would.  MR. McGLOTHLIN: And we would always welcome Your Honor to ask to see any materials, any underlying materials, agendas, as necessary.  THE COURT: I will say to the Watermaster, if you see that a particular report is particularly illuminating on an issue, feel free to send it to me.  I think you have an email address for me.  MR. McGLOTHLIN: I do, Your Honor.  THE COURT: And you're free to do that, without	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	they'll be asking for a transcript.  (The matter was adjourned at 10:38 a.m.)
10:35:56 10:35:57 10:36:00 10:36:05 10:36:07 10:36:13 10:36:14 10:36:21 10:36:23 10:36:23 10:36:33 10:36:36 10:36:38 10:36:41 10:36:41	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: I don't think I require that at this time. I don't want to put my nose too far under the tent, as if I'm an expert on that.  I assume that the Watermaster will draw that together in good, intelligible form and give me all the details that you think I need.  Also, accept my invitation to present all the questions that you would anticipate that an informed judge would.  MR. McGLOTHLIN: And we would always welcome Your Honor to ask to see any materials, any underlying materials, agendas, as necessary.  THE COURT: I will say to the Watermaster, if you see that a particular report is particularly illuminating on an issue, feel free to send it to me.  I think you have an email address for me.  MR. McGLOTHLIN: I do, Your Honor.  THE COURT: And you're free to do that, without me requesting each and every communication be sent out	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	they'll be asking for a transcript.  (The matter was adjourned at 10:38 a.m.)
10:35:56 10:35:57 10:36:00 10:36:05 10:36:07 10:36:14 10:36:14 10:36:21 10:36:23 10:36:26 10:36:33 10:36:36 10:36:38 10:36:40 10:36:41 10:36:41	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: I don't think I require that at this time. I don't want to put my nose too far under the tent, as if I'm an expert on that.  I assume that the Watermaster will draw that together in good, intelligible form and give me all the details that you think I need.  Also, accept my invitation to present all the questions that you would anticipate that an informed judge would.  MR. McGLOTHLIN: And we would always welcome Your Honor to ask to see any materials, any underlying materials, agendas, as necessary.  THE COURT: I will say to the Watermaster, if you see that a particular report is particularly illuminating on an issue, feel free to send it to me.  I think you have an email address for me.  MR. McGLOTHLIN: I do, Your Honor.  THE COURT: And you're free to do that, without me requesting each and every communication be sent out to me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	they'll be asking for a transcript.  (The matter was adjourned at 10:38 a.m.)
10:35:56 10:35:57 10:36:00 10:36:05 10:36:07 10:36:14 10:36:14 10:36:16 10:36:23 10:36:23 10:36:26 10:36:33 10:36:36 10:36:38 10:36:41 10:36:41 10:36:46	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: I don't think I require that at this time. I don't want to put my nose too far under the tent, as if I'm an expert on that.  I assume that the Watermaster will draw that together in good, intelligible form and give me all the details that you think I need.  Also, accept my invitation to present all the questions that you would anticipate that an informed judge would.  MR. McGLOTHLIN: And we would always welcome Your Honor to ask to see any materials, any underlying materials, agendas, as necessary.  THE COURT: I will say to the Watermaster, if you see that a particular report is particularly illuminating on an issue, feel free to send it to me.  I think you have an email address for me.  MR. McGLOTHLIN: I do, Your Honor.  THE COURT: And you're free to do that, without me requesting each and every communication be sent out to me.  MR. LAREDO: I have a question for the court.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	they'll be asking for a transcript.  (The matter was adjourned at 10:38 a.m.)
10:35:56 10:35:57 10:36:00 10:36:05 10:36:07 10:36:13 10:36:14 10:36:21 10:36:23 10:36:23 10:36:33 10:36:36 10:36:34 10:36:41 10:36:41 10:36:41 10:36:46	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: I don't think I require that at this time. I don't want to put my nose too far under the tent, as if I'm an expert on that.  I assume that the Watermaster will draw that together in good, intelligible form and give me all the details that you think I need.  Also, accept my invitation to present all the questions that you would anticipate that an informed judge would.  MR. McGLOTHLIN: And we would always welcome Your Honor to ask to see any materials, any underlying materials, agendas, as necessary.  THE COURT: I will say to the Watermaster, if you see that a particular report is particularly illuminating on an issue, feel free to send it to me.  I think you have an email address for me.  MR. McGLOTHLIN: I do, Your Honor.  THE COURT: And you're free to do that, without me requesting each and every communication be sent out to me.  MR. LAREDO: I have a question for the court.  Would you like that Watermaster glossary in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	they'll be asking for a transcript.  (The matter was adjourned at 10:38 a.m.)
10:35:56 10:35:57 10:36:00 10:36:05 10:36:07 10:36:14 10:36:14 10:36:16 10:36:23 10:36:23 10:36:26 10:36:33 10:36:36 10:36:36 10:36:36 10:36:41 10:36:41 10:36:46 40:36:46 40:36:46 40:36:46	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE COURT: I don't think I require that at this time. I don't want to put my nose too far under the tent, as if I'm an expert on that.  I assume that the Watermaster will draw that together in good, intelligible form and give me all the details that you think I need.  Also, accept my invitation to present all the questions that you would anticipate that an informed judge would.  MR. McGLOTHLIN: And we would always welcome Your Honor to ask to see any materials, any underlying materials, agendas, as necessary.  THE COURT: I will say to the Watermaster, if you see that a particular report is particularly illuminating on an issue, feel free to send it to me.  I think you have an email address for me.  MR. McGLOTHLIN: I do, Your Honor.  THE COURT: And you're free to do that, without me requesting each and every communication be sent out to me.  MR. LAREDO: I have a question for the court.  Would you like that Watermaster glossary in advance of March 17th?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	they'll be asking for a transcript.  (The matter was adjourned at 10:38 a.m.)
10:35:56 10:35:57 10:36:00 10:36:05 10:36:07 10:36:13 10:36:14 10:36:21 10:36:23 10:36:23 10:36:33 10:36:36 10:36:34 10:36:41 10:36:41 10:36:41 10:36:46	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE COURT: I don't think I require that at this time. I don't want to put my nose too far under the tent, as if I'm an expert on that.  I assume that the Watermaster will draw that together in good, intelligible form and give me all the details that you think I need.  Also, accept my invitation to present all the questions that you would anticipate that an informed judge would.  MR. McGLOTHLIN: And we would always welcome Your Honor to ask to see any materials, any underlying materials, agendas, as necessary.  THE COURT: I will say to the Watermaster, if you see that a particular report is particularly illuminating on an issue, feel free to send it to me.  I think you have an email address for me.  MR. McGLOTHLIN: I do, Your Honor.  THE COURT: And you're free to do that, without me requesting each and every communication be sent out to me.  MR. LAREDO: I have a question for the court.  Would you like that Watermaster glossary in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	they'll be asking for a transcript.  (The matter was adjourned at 10:38 a.m.)

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA )			
2	COUNTY OF MONTEREY )			
3				
4	I JUDIE A. NICHOLAS, Certified Shorthand			
5	Reporter, License 12229, in and for the State of			
6	California, do here by certify:			
7	That said proceedings were reported at the time			
8	and place stated herein, and thereafter transcribed by			
9	computer-aided transcription.			
10	I further certify that I am not interested in			
11	the outcome of this action, nor connected with, nor			
12	related to any of the parties of said action.			
13				
14	Dated this day of, 2016.			
15				
16				
17				
18				
19	JUDIE A. NICHOLAS, CSR 12229			
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
	49			